## Course: CS 1050C - QUIZ 3 (FALL '03)

Instructor : Prasad Tetali, office: Skiles 126, email: tetali@math.gatech.edu

Time: 20 minutes Total Points : 2+2+3+3+2+3 = 15 pts.

Please write your name and explain all your answers.

Name: Prasad Tetali Date: Nov. 24th, 2003.

Throughout you may assume that a relation is a *binary* relation.

**Problem 1.** (2pts) Let  $S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Consider the relation  $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), ..., (n, n)\}$  on S. Is R an equivalence relation? Explain your answer.

*Soln.* Yes, it is an equivalence relation: clearly reflexive; symmetry and transitivity are vacuously true.

**Problem 2**. (2pts) How many *symmetric* relations can one define on a set of *n* elements?

Soln. (similar problem done in class, with n = 8.) Since a relation on a set S is a subset of  $S \times S$ , if S has size n, then there are  $n \times n = n^2$  possible pairs to choose from in forming a relation. If the relation has to be symmetric, then we only have  $n^2 - \binom{n}{2}$  choices, since every time (x, y) is included in the relation (for  $x \neq y$ ), then (y, x) has to be included as well, and there are precisely  $\binom{n}{2}$  such pairs.

Thus the answer is  $2^{n^2 - \binom{n}{2}}$ , since we get to either include or not include for each of the available choices.

**Problem 3**. (3pts) Find the transitive closure of the following relation, illustrated using a directed graph:

Soln. The only pairs to be included to get the transitive closure are (1,3) and (7,9).

**Problem 4**. (3pts) Answer in True or False:

(a) Reflexivity and Transitivity imply Symmetry of a relation.

*False*, for example consider the relation  $R = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2)\}$  on the set  $S = \{1, 2\}$ . It is reflexive and transitive, but not symmetric.

(b) Given a partition of a set, one can always define an equivalence relation so that the equivalence classes correspond to the parts of the partition.

True, simply define the relation R by xRy if x and y are in the same part of the partition. Can easily be checked that this is well-defined and that it is an equivalence relation.

(c) It takes a polynomial in n steps to move n disks from one peg to another in the Tower of Hanoi problem.

*False*, since it takes  $2^n - 1$  steps, which is exponential in n.

**Problem 5.** (2pts) A set of blocks contains blocks of heights 1,2, and 4 inches. Let  $t_n$  be the number of ways to construct a tower of height n inches using blocks from the set. Find a recurrence relation for  $t_n$ , for  $n \ge 1$ .

Soln. First partition the towers based on the first block: either the first block is of height 1 in. or 2 in. or 4 in. In each case, the problem reduces to building a (shorter) tower of height 1 in. or 2 in. or 4 in. less, respectively. Thus we see that, for  $n \ge 5$ , we have

$$t_n = t_{n-1} + t_{n-2} + t_{n-4},$$

for the number of towers of height n.

The initial conditions can be worked out by explicitly writing down all possible solutions:  $t_1 = 1$ ,  $t_2 = 2$  (since we have (1,1) or (2)),  $t_3 = 3$  (since we have (2,1) or (1,2) or (1,1,1)), and finally  $t_4 = 6$ .

**Problem 6.** (3pts) Solve for  $C_n$  in terms of n, given that  $C_0 = 1$  and  $C_1 = 2$ , and for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$C_n + C_{n-1} - 6C_{n-2} = 0.$$

Soln. As outlined in class, first write down the characteristic equation,  $x^2 + x - 6 = 0$ , which has the roots -3, 2.

Since the roots are distinct, the general solution is  $C_n = a(-3)^n + b(2)^n$ .

Use the initial conditions to determine a and b:

$$C_0 = 1 = a + b,$$
  
 $C_1 = 2 = a(-3) + b(2),$ 

imply that a = 0 and b = 1.

Thus the final solution is  $C_n = 2^n$ .