

# Matchings and Independent Sets of a Fixed Size in Regular Graphs

Teena Carroll\*   David Galvin<sup>†</sup>   Prasad Tetali<sup>‡</sup>

November 2008

## Abstract

We use an entropy based method to study two graph maximization problems. We upper bound the number of matchings of fixed size  $\ell$  in a  $d$ -regular graph on  $N$  vertices. For  $\frac{2\ell}{N}$  bounded away from 0 and 1, the logarithm of the bound we obtain agrees in its leading term with the logarithm of the number of matchings of size  $\ell$  in the graph consisting of  $\frac{N}{2d}$  disjoint copies of  $K_{d,d}$ . This provides asymptotic evidence for a conjecture of S. Friedland *et al.*. We also obtain an analogous result for independent sets of a fixed size in regular graphs, giving asymptotic evidence for a conjecture of J. Kahn. Our bounds on the number of matchings and independent sets of a fixed size are derived from bounds on the partition function (or generating polynomial) for matchings and independent sets.

## 1 Introduction

Given a  $d$ -regular graph  $G$  on  $N$  vertices and a particular type of subgraph, a natural class of problems arises: “How many subgraphs of this type can  $G$  contain?” In this paper we give upper bounds on the number of partial matchings of a fixed fractional size, and on the number of independent sets

---

\*Mathematics Department, St. Norbert College, De Pere WI.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, South Bend IN

<sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematics & School of Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta GA. Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0701043.

of a fixed size, in a general  $d$ -regular graph, and we show that our bounds are asymptotically matched at the logarithmic level by the graph consisting of  $\frac{N}{2d}$  disjoint copies of  $K_{d,d}$ . (See [2] and [4] for graph theory basics.)

Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph on  $N$  vertices with partition classes  $A$  and  $B$ . Suppose that the degree sequence of one side is given by  $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{|A|}$ . It follows from the well-known theorem of Brégman concerning the permanent of 0-1 matrices [3] (see also [1]) that we can bound the number of perfect matchings in  $G$  using the following expression:

**Theorem 1.1** (*Brégman*) *Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{perfect}}(G)$  be the set of perfect matchings in  $G$ . Then*

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\text{perfect}}(G)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{|A|} (r_i!)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}.$$

When  $r_i = d$  for all  $i$  and  $|A|$  is divisible by  $d$ , equality in the above theorem is achieved by the graph consisting of  $\frac{N}{2d}$  disjoint copies of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{d,d}$ , so we know that among  $d$ -regular bipartite graphs on  $N$  vertices, with  $2d|N$ , this graph contains the greatest number of perfect matchings. (Wanless [12] has considered the case when  $2d$  is not a multiple of  $N$ , obtaining lower bounds on  $|\mathcal{M}_{\text{perfect}}(G)|$  and some structural results on the maximizing graphs in this case.)

Friedland *et al.* [6] propose an extension of this observation, which they call the Upper Matching Conjecture. Write  $m_\ell(G)$  for the number of matchings in  $G$  of size  $\ell$ , and write  $DK_{N,d}$  for the graph consisting of  $\frac{N}{2d}$  disjoint copies of  $K_{d,d}$ .

**Conjecture 1.2** *For any  $N$ -vertex,  $d$ -regular graph  $G$  with  $2d|N$  and any  $0 \leq \ell \leq N/2$ ,*

$$m_\ell(G) \leq m_\ell(DK_{N,d}).$$

In this note we upper bound the logarithm of the number of  $\ell$ -matchings of a regular graph and show that, at the level of the leading term, this upper bound is achieved by the disjoint union of the appropriate number of copies of  $K_{d,d}$ . We will use the parameterization  $\alpha = \frac{2\ell}{N}$ , and refer interchangeably to a matching of size  $\ell$  or a matching whose size is an  $\alpha$ -fraction of the maximum possible matching size. In what follows,  $H(x) = -x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)$  is the usual binary entropy function. (All logarithms in this note are base 2.)

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular graph on  $N$  vertices and  $\ell$  an integer satisfying  $0 \leq \ell \leq \frac{N}{2}$ . Set  $\alpha = \frac{2\ell}{N}$ . The number of matchings in  $G$  of size  $\ell$  satisfies*

$$\log(m_\ell(G)) \leq \frac{N}{2} [\alpha \log d + H(\alpha)].$$

*This bound is tight up to the first order term: for fixed  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\log(m_\ell(DK_{N,d})) \geq \frac{N}{2} \left[ \alpha \log d + 2H(\alpha) + \alpha \log \left( \frac{\alpha}{e} \right) + \Omega \left( \frac{\log d}{d} \right) \right],$$

*with the constant in the  $\Omega$  term depending on  $\alpha$ .*

In [7] an asymptotic variant of Conjecture 1.2 is presented. Let  $\{G_k\}$  be a sequence of  $d$ -regular bipartite graphs with  $|V_k|$ , the number of vertices of  $G_k$ , growing to infinity, and fix  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Set

$$h_{\{G_k\}}(\alpha) = \limsup (\log m_{\ell_k}(G_k)) / |V_k|$$

where the limit is over all sequences  $\{\ell_k\}$  with  $2\ell_k/|V_k| \rightarrow \alpha$ . The Asymptotic Upper Matching Conjecture asserts that

$$h_{\{G_k\}}(\alpha) \leq h_{\{kK_{d,d}\}}(\alpha)$$

where  $kK_{d,d}$  is the graph consisting of  $k$  disjoint copies of  $K_{d,d}$ . Theorem 1.3 shows that for each fixed  $\alpha$ , there is a constant  $c_\alpha$  (independent of  $d$ ) with  $h_{\{G_k\}}(\alpha) \leq h_{\{kK_{d,d}\}}(\alpha) + c_\alpha$ .

We show similar results for the number of independent sets in  $d$ -regular graphs. A point of departure for our consideration of independent sets is the following result of Kahn [10]. For any graph  $G$  write  $\mathcal{I}(G)$  for the set of independent sets in  $G$  and write  $i_t(G)$  for the set of independent sets of size  $t$  (i.e., with  $t$  vertices).

**Theorem 1.4** *(Kahn) For any  $N$ -vertex,  $d$ -regular bipartite graph  $G$ ,*

$$|\mathcal{I}(G)| \leq |\mathcal{I}(K_{d,d})|^{N/2d}.$$

Note that when  $2d|N$ , we have  $|\mathcal{I}(K_{d,d})|^{N/2d} = |\mathcal{I}(DK_{N,d})|$ . Kahn [10] proposes the following natural conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.5** For any  $N$ -vertex,  $d$ -regular graph  $G$  with  $2d|N$  and any  $0 \leq t \leq N/2$ ,

$$i_t(G) \leq i_t(DK_{N,d}).$$

We provide asymptotic evidence for this conjecture.

**Theorem 1.6** For  $N$ -vertex,  $d$ -regular  $G$ , and  $0 \leq t \leq N/2$ ,

$$i_t(G) \leq \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{N}{2}(H(\frac{2t}{N}) + \frac{2}{d})} & \text{in general} \\ 2^{\frac{N}{2}(H(\frac{2t}{N}) + \frac{1}{d} - \frac{\log e}{2d}(1 - \frac{2t}{N})^d)} & \text{if } G \text{ is bipartite} \\ 2^t \binom{N}{t} & \text{if } G \text{ has a perfect matching.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

On the other hand,

$$i_t(DK_{N,d}) \geq \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{1}{c}) \binom{N}{t} 2^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{c}{d}(1 - \frac{2t}{N})^d)} & \text{for any } c > 1 \\ 2^t \binom{N}{t} \prod_{k=1}^{t-1} (1 - \frac{2kd}{N}) & \text{for } t \leq \frac{N}{2d}. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

If  $N$ ,  $d$  and  $t$  are sequences satisfying  $t = \alpha \frac{N}{2}$  for some fixed  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $G$  is a sequence of  $N$ -vertex,  $d$ -regular graphs, then from (1)

$$\log i_t(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{N}{2} [H(\alpha) + \frac{2}{d}] & \text{in general} \\ \frac{N}{2} [H(\alpha) + \frac{1}{d}] & \text{if } G \text{ is bipartite,} \end{cases}$$

whereas if  $N = \omega(d \log d)$  and  $d = \omega(1)$  then taking  $c = 2$  in the first bound of (2) and using Stirling's formula to analyze the behavior of  $\binom{N/2}{\alpha N/2}$ , we obtain the near matching lower bound

$$\log i_t(DK_{N,d}) \geq \frac{N}{2} \left[ H(\alpha) + \frac{1}{d}(1 + o(1)) \right].$$

If  $N = o(d/(1 - \alpha)^d)$  and  $G$  is bipartite, then the gap between our bounds on  $i_t(G)$  and  $i_t(DK_{N,d})$  is just a multiplicative factor of  $O(\sqrt{N})$ ; indeed, in this case (taking any  $c = \omega(1)$ ) we obtain from the first bound of (2) that

$$i_t(DK_{N,d}) \geq (1 - o(1)) \binom{N}{t} 2^{\frac{N}{2}(H(\alpha) + \frac{1}{d})}.$$

For smaller sets, whose sizes scale with  $N/d$  rather than  $N$ , the final bounds in (1) and (2) come into play. Specifically, for any  $N$ ,  $t$  and  $d$

$$i_t(DK_{N,d}) \geq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{N}{t}\right)2^{t(1+o(1))} & \text{if } t = o\left(\frac{N}{d}\right) \\ (1+o(1))\left(\frac{N}{t}\right)2^t & \text{if } t = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{d}}\right) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Note that in the latter case, for  $G$  with a perfect matching we have  $i_t(G) \leq (1+o(1))i_t(DK_{N,d})$ . To obtain (3) from (2) we use

$$\prod_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(1 - \frac{2kd}{N}\right) \geq \exp\left\{-\frac{4d}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} k\right\} \geq \exp\left\{-\frac{2dt(t-1)}{N}\right\}.$$

## 2 Counting Matchings

Given a graph  $G$  and a nonnegative real number  $\lambda$ , we can form weighted matchings of  $G$  by assigning each matching containing  $\ell$  edges weight  $\lambda^\ell$ . The weighted partition function,  $Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G)$ , gives the total weight of matchings. Formally,

$$Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G) := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}(G)} \lambda^{|m|} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} m_k(G) \lambda^k.$$

(This is often referred to as the generating function for matchings or the matching polynomial). We will prove Theorem 1.3 by showing a bound on the partition function, and then using that bound to limit the number of matchings of a particular weight (size).

**Lemma 2.1** *For all  $d$ -regular graphs  $G$ ,  $Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G) \leq (1 + d\lambda)^{\frac{N}{2}}$*

This lemma is easily proven in the bipartite case; the difficulty arises when we want to prove the same bound for general graphs. Indeed, if  $G$  is a bipartite graph with bipartition classes  $A$  and  $B$ , we can easily see that the right hand side above counts a superset of weighted matchings. Elements in this superset are sets of edges no two of which are adjacent to the same element of  $A$  (but with no restriction on incidences with  $B$ ).

**Proof of Lemma 2.1** To prove this lemma, we will use the following result of Friedgut [5], which describes a weighted version of the information theoretic Shearer's Lemma.

**Theorem 2.2** (Friedgut) *Let  $H = (V, E)$  be a hypergraph, and  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r$  subsets of  $V$  such that every  $v \in V$  belongs to at least  $t$  of the sets  $F_i$ . Let  $H_i$  be the projection hypergraphs:  $H_i = (V, E_i)$ , where  $E_i = \{e \cap F_i : e \in E\}$ . For each edge  $e \in E$ , define  $e_i = e \cap F_i$ , and assign each  $e_i$  a nonnegative real weight  $w_i(e_i)$ . Then*

$$\left( \sum_{e \in E} \prod_{i=1}^r w_i(e_i) \right)^t \leq \prod_i \sum_{e_i \in E_i} w_i(e_i)^t$$

The first step in applying this theorem is to define appropriate variables. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a  $d$ -regular graph, with its vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N\}$ . We will use  $G$  to form an associated matching hypergraph,  $H = (E, \mathcal{M})$ , where the vertex set of the hypergraph is the edge set of  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  is the sets of matchings in  $G$ . Let  $F_i$  be the set of edges incident to a vertex  $v_i \in V$ . Note that each edge in  $E$  is covered twice by  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i$ , so we may take  $t = 2$ . We define the trace sets,  $E_i = \{F_i \cap m : m \in \mathcal{M}\}$ , as the set of possible intersections of a matching with the set of edges incident with  $v_i$ . Let  $m_i = m \cap F_i$ . Then for all  $i$ , assign

$$w_i(m_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m_i = \emptyset \\ \sqrt{\lambda} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

With these definitions we have  $\sum_{m_i \in E_i} w_i(m_i)^2 = 1 + d\lambda$ , and for a fixed  $m$ ,  $\prod_i w_i(m_i) = \sqrt{\lambda}^{(2|m|)}$ . Putting these expressions into Theorem 2.2, we have that

$$(Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G))^2 = \left( \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \lambda^{|m|} \right)^2 \leq \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + d\lambda).$$

Therefore,

$$Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G) \leq (1 + d\lambda)^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

□

**Remark 2.1** *After the submission of this paper, L. Gurvits pointed out an alternative proof of Lemma 2.1, which applies to graphs with average degree  $d$  and actually gives a slight improvement when  $G$  does not have a perfect matching. By a result of Heilmann and Lieb [9], the roots of  $Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G) = 0$*

are all real and negative, and so we can write  $Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G) = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu(G)} (1 + \alpha_i \lambda)$  for some positive  $\alpha_i$ 's with  $\sum \alpha_i = (Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G))'|_{\lambda=0} = |E(G)| = \frac{Nd}{2}$ , where  $\nu(G)$  is the size of the largest matching of  $G$ . Applying the arithmetic mean - geometric mean inequality to this expression we obtain

$$Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G) \leq \left(1 + \lambda \frac{\sum \alpha_i}{\nu(G)}\right)^{\nu(G)} = \left(1 + \lambda \frac{Nd}{2\nu(G)}\right)^{\nu(G)} \leq (1 + d\lambda)^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** We begin with the upper bound. We may assume  $0 < \ell < N/2$ , since the extreme cases  $\ell = 0, N/2$  are obvious. For fixed  $\ell$ , a single term of the partition function  $Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G)$  is bounded by the whole sum, and so by Lemma 2.1 we have  $m_\ell(G)\lambda^\ell \leq Z_\lambda^{\text{match}}(G) \leq (1 + d\lambda)^{\frac{N}{2}}$  and

$$m_\ell(G) \leq (1 + d\lambda)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^\ell. \quad (4)$$

We take

$$\lambda = \frac{\ell}{d\left(\frac{N}{2} - \ell\right)}$$

to minimize the right hand side of (4) and obtain the upper bound in Theorem 1.3 (in the case  $\ell = \frac{\alpha N}{2}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \log(m_\ell(G)) &\leq \log\left(\frac{N}{\frac{N}{2} - \ell}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{d\left(\frac{N}{2} - \ell\right)}{\ell}\right)^\ell \\ &= \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{2\ell}{N} \log d + H(2\ell/N)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{2} (\alpha \log d + H(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

We now turn to the lower bound. We begin by observing

$$m_\ell(DK_{N,d}) = \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_{N/2d} \\ 0 \leq a_i \leq d, \sum_i a_i = \ell}} \prod_{i=1}^{N/2d} \binom{d}{a_i}^2 a_i! \quad (5)$$

Here the  $a_i$ 's are the sizes of the intersections of the matching with each of the components of  $DK_{N,d}$ , and the term  $\binom{d}{a_i}^2 a_i!$  counts the number of matchings of size  $a_i$  in a single copy of  $K_{d,d}$ . (The binomial term represents

the choice of  $a_i$  endvertices for the matching from each partition class, and the factorial term tells us how many ways there are to pair the endvertices from the top and bottom to form a matching.)

From Stirling's formula we have that there is an absolute constant  $c \geq 1$  such that for any  $d \geq 1$  and  $0 < a < d$ ,

$$\log \left( \binom{d}{a}^2 a! \right) \geq a \log d + a \log \frac{a}{d} - a \log e + 2H(a/d)d - \log cd, \quad (6)$$

and we may verify by hand that (6) holds also for  $a = 0, d$ . Combining (5) and (6) we see that  $\log(m_\ell(DK_{N,d}))$  is bounded below by

$$\frac{N}{2} \left( \frac{2\ell}{N} \log d - \frac{2\ell}{N} \log e - \frac{\log cd}{d} + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2d} \left( a_i \log \frac{a_i}{d} + 2H(a_i/d)d \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

for any valid sequence of  $a_i$ 's. To get our lower bound in the case  $\ell = \alpha \frac{N}{2}$ , we consider (7) for that sequence of  $a_i$ 's in which each  $a_i$  is either  $\lfloor \alpha d \rfloor$  or  $\lceil \alpha d \rceil$ . Note that by the mean value theorem, there is a constant  $c_\alpha > 0$  such that both

$$\log \frac{\lceil \alpha d \rceil}{d}, \log \frac{\lfloor \alpha d \rfloor}{d} \geq \log \alpha - \frac{c_\alpha}{d}$$

and

$$H \left( \frac{\lceil \alpha d \rceil}{d} \right), H \left( \frac{\lfloor \alpha d \rfloor}{d} \right) \geq H(\alpha) - \frac{c_\alpha}{d}.$$

(Here we use

$$\left| \frac{\lceil \alpha d \rceil}{d} - \alpha \right|, \left| \frac{\lfloor \alpha d \rfloor}{d} - \alpha \right| \leq \frac{1}{d}$$

and  $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ .) Putting these bounds into (7) we obtain

$$\log(m_\ell(DK_{N,d})) \geq \frac{N}{2} \left( \alpha \log d + 2H(\alpha) + \alpha \log \left( \frac{\alpha}{e} \right) + \Omega \left( \frac{\log d}{d} \right) \right),$$

with the constant in the  $\Omega$  term depending on  $\alpha$ . □

### 3 Counting Independent Sets

In this section we prove the various assertions of Theorem 1.6. We begin with the second bound in (1). We use a result from [8], which states that for any  $\lambda > 0$  and any  $d$ -regular  $N$ -vertex bipartite graph  $G$ , the weighted independent set partition function satisfies

$$Z_\lambda^{\text{ind}}(G) := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(G)} \lambda^{|I|} \leq (2(1 + \lambda)^d - 1)^{\frac{N}{2d}}. \quad (8)$$

Choose  $\lambda$  so that  $\frac{\lambda N}{2(1+\lambda)} = t$ . Noting that  $i_t(G) \lambda^{\frac{\lambda N}{2(1+\lambda)}}$  is the contribution to  $Z_\lambda^{\text{ind}}(G)$  from independent sets of size  $t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_t(G) &\leq \frac{Z_\lambda^{\text{ind}}(G)}{\lambda^{\frac{\lambda N}{2(1+\lambda)}}} \\ &\leq \frac{(2(1 + \lambda)^d - 1)^{\frac{N}{2d}}}{\lambda^{\frac{\lambda N}{2(1+\lambda)}}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{N}{2d}} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda}{\lambda^{\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}}} \right)^{N/2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2(1 + \lambda)^d - 1} \right)^{\frac{N}{2d}} \\ &= 2^{H\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right) \frac{N}{2} + \frac{N}{2d}} e^{-\frac{N}{4d(1+\lambda)^d}} \\ &= 2^{H\left(\frac{2t}{N}\right) \frac{N}{2} + \frac{N}{2d} - \frac{N \log e}{4d} \left(1 - \frac{2t}{N}\right)^d}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We use (8) to make the critical substitution in (9).

To obtain the first bound in (1) we need the following analog of (8) for  $G$  not necessarily bipartite:

$$Z_\lambda^{\text{ind}}(G) \leq 2^{\frac{N}{d}} (1 + \lambda)^{\frac{N}{2}}. \quad (10)$$

From (10) we easily obtain the claimed bound, following the steps of the derivation of the second bound in (1) from (8). We prove (10) by using a more general result on graph homomorphisms. For graphs  $G = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $H = (V_2, E_2)$  set

$$\text{Hom}(G, H) = \{f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2 : \{u, v\} \in E_1 \Rightarrow \{f(u), f(v)\} \in E_2\}.$$

That is,  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  is the set of graph homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $H$ . Fix a total order  $\prec$  on  $V(G)$ . For each  $v \in V(G)$ , write  $P_\prec(v)$  for  $\{w \in V(G) : \{w, v\} \in E(G), w \prec v\}$  and  $p_\prec(v)$  for  $|P_\prec(v)|$ . The following natural generalization of a theorem of J. Kahn is due to D. Galvin (see [11] for a proof).

**Theorem 3.1** *For any  $d$ -regular and  $N$ -vertex graph  $G$  (not necessarily bipartite) and any total order  $\prec$  on  $V(G)$ ,*

$$|Hom(G, H)| \leq \prod_{v \in V(G)} |Hom(K_{p_{\prec}(v), p_{\prec}(v)}, H)|^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

If  $G$  is bipartite with bipartition classes  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\prec$  satisfies  $u \prec v$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{E}, v \in \mathcal{O}$  then Theorem 3.1 reduces to the main result of [8].

To prove (10), we first note that (by continuity) it is enough to prove the result for  $\lambda$  rational. Let  $C$  be an integer such that  $C\lambda$  is also an integer, and let  $H_C$  be the graph which consists of an independent set of size  $C\lambda$  and a complete looped graph on  $C$  vertices, with a complete bipartite graph joining the two. As described in [8] we have, for any graph  $G$  on  $N$  vertices,

$$|Hom(G, H_C)| = C^N Z_{\lambda}^{\text{ind}}(G).$$

For  $G$   $d$ -regular and  $N$ -vertex, we apply Theorem 3.1 twice to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\lambda}^{\text{ind}}(G) &= \frac{|Hom(G, H_C)|}{C^N} \\ &\leq \frac{\prod_{v \in V(G)} |Hom(K_{p_{\prec}(v), p_{\prec}(v)}, H_C)|^{\frac{1}{d}}}{C^N} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{v \in V(G)} (C^{2p_{\prec}(v)} Z_{\lambda}^{\text{ind}}(K_{p_{\prec}(v), p_{\prec}(v)}))^{\frac{1}{d}}}{C^N} \\ &\leq \frac{C^{\frac{2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} p_{\prec}(v)}{d}} \prod_{v \in V(G)} (2(1 + \lambda)^{p_{\prec}(v)})^{\frac{1}{d}}}{C^N} \\ &= 2^{\frac{N}{d}} \frac{C^{\frac{2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} p_{\prec}(v)}{d}} (1 + \lambda)^{\frac{\sum_{v \in V(G)} p_{\prec}(v)}{d}}}{C^N}. \end{aligned}$$

Now noting that

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} p_{\prec}(v) = |E(G)| = \frac{Nd}{2}$$

we obtain

$$Z_{\lambda}(G) \leq 2^{\frac{N}{d}} (1 + \lambda)^{\frac{N}{2}},$$

as claimed.

We now turn to the third bound in (1). Fix a perfect matching of  $G$  joining a set of vertices  $A \subseteq V(G)$  of size  $N/2$  to the set  $B := V(G) \setminus A$ .

Let  $f$  be the bijection from subsets of  $A$  to subsets of  $B$  that moves the set along the chosen matching. Every independent set in  $G$  of size  $t$  is of the form  $I_A \cup I_B$  where  $I_A \subseteq A$ ,  $I_B \subseteq B$ ,  $f(I_A) \cap I_B = \emptyset$  and  $|I_A| + |I_B| = t$ . We therefore count all the independent sets of size  $t$  (and more) by choosing a subset of  $A$  of size  $t$  ( $\binom{N/2}{t}$  choices) and a subset of this set to send to  $B$  via  $f$  ( $2^t$  choices).

To obtain the first bound in (2), we introduce a probabilistic framework and use Markov's inequality. If we divide a set of size  $N/2$  into  $N/2d$  blocks of size  $d$  and choose a uniform subset of size  $t$ , then the probability that this set misses a particular block is  $\binom{N/2-d}{t} / \binom{N/2}{t}$ . Let  $X$  be a random variable representing the number of blocks that the  $t$ -set misses. Let  $b_k$  equal the number of  $t$ -sets which miss exactly  $k$  blocks. Then  $\mathbb{P}(X = k) = b_k / \binom{N/2}{t}$ . Let  $\chi_A$  be the indicator variable for the event  $A$ . Then

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N}{2d}} \chi_{\{\text{block } i \text{ empty}\}}$$

and by linearity of expectation the expected number of blocks missed satisfies

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{N}{2d} \frac{\binom{N/2-d}{t}}{\binom{N/2}{t}} \leq \frac{N}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{2t}{N}\right)^d. \quad (11)$$

From Markov's inequality we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{c\mu} \mathbb{P}(X = k) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq c\mu) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right).$$

We substitute the previously discussed value for  $\mathbb{P}(X = k)$ , yielding the inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{c\mu} b_k \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \binom{N/2}{t}. \quad (12)$$

How many independent sets of size  $t$  does  $DK_{N,d}$  have? To choose an independent set from  $DK_{N,d}$  of size  $t$ , we first create a bipartition  $\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{O}$  of  $DK_{N,d}$  by choosing (arbitrarily) one of the bipartition classes of each of the  $N/2d$   $K_{d,d}$ 's of  $DK_{N,d}$  to be in  $\mathcal{E}$ . We then choose a subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  of size  $t$ . The number of subsets of  $\mathcal{E}$  which have empty intersection with exactly  $k$  of the  $K_{d,d}$ 's that make up  $DK_{N,d}$  is precisely  $b_k$ . Each of these subsets corresponds

to  $2^{\frac{N}{2d}-k}$  independent sets in  $DK_{N,d}$ . Combining this observation with (11) and (12) we obtain the first bound in (2):

$$\begin{aligned} i_t(DK_{N,d}) &= 2^{\frac{N}{2d}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k} b_k \\ &\geq 2^{\frac{N}{2d}-c\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{c\mu} b_k \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \binom{\frac{N}{2}}{t} 2^{\frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{c}{d} \left(1 - \frac{t}{M}\right)^d\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we turn to the second bound in (2). We obtain the claimed bound by considering all of the independent sets whose intersection with each component of  $DK_{N,d}$  has size either 0 or 1:

$$i_t(DK_{N,d}) \geq (2d)^t \binom{\frac{N}{2d}}{t}.$$

After a little algebra, the right hand side above is seen to be exactly the right hand side of the second bound in (2).

## References

- [1] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer. *The Probabilistic Method*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 2 edition, 2000. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization.
- [2] B. Bollobás. *Modern Graph Theory*, volume 184. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. Graduate Texts in Mathematics.
- [3] L. M. Brégman. Certain properties of nonnegative matrices and their permanents. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 211:27–30, 1973.
- [4] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*, volume 173. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 3 edition, 2005. Graduate Texts in Mathematics.
- [5] E. Friedgut. Hypergraphs, entropy, and inequalities. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 111(9):749–760, 2004.

- [6] S. Friedland, E. Krop, P. Lundow, and K. Markström. On the number of matchings in regular graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 15: #R110, 2008.
- [7] S. Friedland, E. Krop, P. Lundow and K. Markström. On the Validations of the Asymptotic Matching Conjectures. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 133:513–533, 2008.
- [8] D. Galvin and P. Tetali. On weighted graph homomorphisms. *Graphs, morphisms and statistical physics*, 63:97–104, 2004. DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.
- [9] O. Heilmann and E. Lieb. Theory of monomer-dimer systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 25:190232, 1972.
- [10] J. Kahn. An entropy approach to the hard-core model on bipartite graphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 10(3):219–237, 2001.
- [11] M. Madiman and P. Tetali. Information inequalities for joint distributions, with interpretations and applications. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, to appear.
- [12] I. Wanless. A lower bound on the maximum permanent in  $\Lambda_n^k$ . *Linear Algebra Appl.* 373:153–167, 2003.