

# On Double and Multiple Interval Graphs

---

William T. Trotter, Jr.  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Frank Harary  
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

## ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss a generalization of the familiar concept of an interval graph that arises naturally in scheduling and allocation problems. We define the interval number of a graph  $G$  to be the smallest positive integer  $t$  for which there exists a function  $f$  which assigns to each vertex  $u$  of  $G$  a subset  $f(u)$  of the real line so that  $f(u)$  is the union of  $t$  closed intervals of the real line, and distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$  are adjacent if and only if  $f(u)$  and  $f(v)$  meet. We show that (1) the interval number of a tree is at most two, and (2) the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  has interval number  $\lceil (mn+1)/(m+n) \rceil$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A graph  $G$  is called an *interval graph* if there is a function  $f$  that assigns to each vertex  $u$  of  $G$  a closed interval of the real line  $R$  so that distinct vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$  are adjacent if and only if  $f(u) \cap f(v) \neq \emptyset$ . Structural characterizations of interval graphs have been provided by Lekkerkerker and Boland [7] who specified the forbidden subgraphs, Gilmore and Hoffman [2] in terms of cycles, and Fulkerson and Gross [1] in terms of matrices. Definitions not given here can be found in Ref. 5.

In this paper, we consider a generalization of the concept of an interval graph; we are motivated by scheduling and allocation problems that arise when a graph is used to model constraints on interactions between

components of a large scale system. For a graph  $G$ , we define\* the *interval number* of  $G$ , denoted  $i(G)$ , as the smallest positive integer  $t$  for which there exists a function  $f$  which assigns to each vertex  $u$  of  $G$  a subset  $f(u)$  of  $R$  which is the union of  $t$  (not necessarily disjoint) closed intervals of  $R$  and distinct vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$  are adjacent if and only if  $f(u) \cap f(v) \neq \emptyset$ . The function  $f$  is called a  $t$ -*representation* of  $G$ . Thus  $G$  is an interval graph if and only if its interval number is one. Obviously every graph  $G$  with  $p$  vertices has an interval number  $i(G) \leq p-1$ , and thus  $i(G)$  is well defined.

A number  $m$  is called an *upper bound* for a representation  $f$  of a graph  $G$  when  $m > r$  for every number  $r$  in  $f(u)$  and every vertex  $u$  of  $G$ .

We will frequently find it convenient to impose an additional restriction on a representation of a graph. A  $t$ -representation  $f$  of a graph  $G$  is said to be *displayed* if for every vertex  $u$  of  $G$ , there exists an open interval  $I_u$  contained in  $f(u)$  so that  $I_u \cap f(v) = \emptyset$  for every vertex  $v$  in  $G$  with  $u \neq v$ .

Recall that for any tree  $T$ , the tree  $T'$  is obtained by removing all the endvertices of  $T$ . A *caterpillar* is a tree  $T$  for which  $T'$  is a path. It was noted in Harary and Schwenk [6] that  $T$  is a caterpillar if and only if  $T$  does not contain the subdivision graph of  $K_{1,3}$  as a subtree.

**Theorem 1.** If  $T$  is a tree, then  $i(T) = 1$  if  $T$  is a caterpillar and  $i(T) = 2$  if it is not.

**Proof.** If  $T$  is a tree and does not contain the subdivision graph of  $K_{1,3}$  as a subtree, then it follows from the forbidden subgraph characterization of Ref. 7 that  $T$  is an interval graph. On the other hand, if  $T$  contains this subdivision graph, then  $T$  is not an interval graph and  $i(T) \geq 2$ .

Now we proceed by induction on the number of vertices to show that every tree has a displayed 2-representation. If  $T$  is the one point tree, the result is trivial. Next assume that for some  $k \geq 1$ , every tree on  $k$  vertices has a displayed 2-representation and let  $T$  be a tree with  $k+1$  vertices.

Choose an endvertex  $u$  of  $T$  and let  $f$  be a displayed 2-representation of the tree  $T-u$ . Let  $v$  be the unique vertex adjacent to  $u$  in  $T$  and let  $I_v$  be an open interval contained in  $f(v)$  so that  $I_v \cap f(w) = \emptyset$  for every vertex  $w$  in  $T-u$  with  $w \neq v$ . Choose a closed interval  $A$  contained in  $I_v$ .

---

\* Roberts [8] has studied another generalization of interval graphs. He defines the *boxicity* of a graph  $G$  as the smallest positive integer  $t$  for which there exists a function  $f$  which assigns to each vertex  $u$  of  $G$  a sequence  $f(u)(1), f(u)(2), \dots, f(u)(t)$  of closed intervals of  $R$  so that distinct vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$  are adjacent if and only if  $f(u)(i) \cap f(v)(i) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, t$ .

Now choose an upper bound  $m$  for  $f$  and define  $g(w) = f(w)$  for every vertex  $w$  in  $T - u$  and  $g(u) = A \cup [m, m + 1]$ . It is clear that  $g$  is a displayed 2-representation of  $T$  and our proof is complete. ■

## 2. COMPLETE BIPARTITE GRAPHS

We now derive our main result. We use the notation  $\lceil x \rceil$  to represent the smallest integer among those which are at least as large as  $x$ .

**Theorem 2.** The interval number of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  is given by

$$i(K_{m,n}) = \lceil (mn + 1)/(m + n) \rceil.$$

**Proof.** We first show that  $i(K_{m,n}) \geq \lceil (mn + 1)/(m + n) \rceil$ . Suppose that  $f$  is a  $t$ -representation of  $K_{m,n}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that for each vertex  $u$  in  $K_{m,n}$ ,  $f(u)$  is the union  $A_1(u) \cup A_2(u) \cup \dots \cup A_t(u)$  of  $t$  pairwise disjoint closed intervals.

We now use  $f$  to determine a graph  $G$ . The vertices of  $G$  are the ordered pairs of the form  $(u, i)$  where  $u$  is a vertex in  $K_{m,n}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$  with distinct vertices  $(u, i)$  and  $(v, j)$  adjacent in  $G$  when  $A_i(u) \cap A_j(v) \neq \emptyset$ . The function  $g$  defined by  $g(u, i) = A_i(u)$  is a 1-representation of  $G$  so  $G$  is an interval graph. Since  $G$  is bipartite, it is triangle-free. Since  $G$  is an interval graph, it does not contain a cycle of four or more vertices as an induced subgraph. Therefore,  $G$  is a forest. Note that  $G$  has  $(m + n)t$  vertices and at most  $(m + n)t - 1$  edges.

Now suppose that  $e = \{u, v\}$  is an edge of  $K_{m,n}$ . Then there exist integers  $i, j$  with  $A_i(u) \cap A_j(v) \neq \emptyset$ , and we may therefore define a function  $h$  from the edge set of  $K_{m,n}$  to the edge set of  $G$  by setting  $h(e) = h(\{u, v\}) = \{(u, i), (v, j)\}$ . Clearly,  $h$  is a one-to-one function and since  $K_{m,n}$  has  $mn$  edges, we see that  $mn \leq (m + n)t - 1$ , i.e.,  $t \geq \lceil (mn + 1)/(m + n) \rceil$ .

We will now show that  $i(K_{m,n}) \leq \lceil (mn + 1)/(m + n) \rceil$ . Let  $t = \lceil (mn + 1)/(m + n) \rceil$ . We will construct an interval graph  $G$  with a 1-representation  $g$ . We will then construct a  $t$ -representation  $f$  of  $K_{m,n}$  by appropriately choosing, for each vertex  $u$  of  $K_{m,n}$ ,  $t$  intervals from the range of  $g$  as the intervals whose union is  $f(u)$ .

We begin by labeling the vertices of  $K_{m,n}$  with the symbols  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  so that  $a_i$  is adjacent to  $b_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $m \geq n$ . Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$  and  $B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ .

We next construct a graph  $T$  whose vertex set is

$$\{u_k : 1 \leq k \leq nt\} \cup \{v_k : 1 \leq k \leq nt - 1\} \cup \{w_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

where  $T$  has the following adjacencies:  $v_k$  is adjacent to  $u_k$  and  $u_{k+1}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, nt - 1$  and  $w_{ij}$  is adjacent to  $u_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The graph  $T$  is a caterpillar and, by Theorem 1, is also an interval graph. Consequently any induced subgraph of  $T$  is also an interval graph.

The next step in the construction is to color some, but not all, of the vertices of  $T$  using the elements of  $A$  as colors. We begin by assigning to  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{nt}$  the colors

$$1, 2, 3, \dots, n, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n, \dots, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

in order. Note that each color from  $B$  is used exactly  $t$  times.

Now let  $s = n - t$ ; then  $2s \leq n - 1$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a set of either  $2s$  or  $2s - 1$  consecutive vertices from the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{nt-1}$ . Consider a subset  $S'$  of  $S$  that contains  $s$  vertices, no two of which are consecutive. Then let  $B'$  be the subset of  $B$  consisting of those integers  $j$  for which there is a vertex  $v$  from  $S'$  and a vertex  $u$  adjacent to  $v$  with  $u$  having color  $j$ . It is easy to verify that  $B'$  must contain  $2s$  elements, i.e., the  $s$  vertices of  $S'$  are adjacent to  $2s$  distinctly colored vertices.

The next step is to assign colors to the first  $ms$  vertices in the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{nt-1}$ . Note that  $t = \lceil (mn + 1)/(m + n) \rceil$  and  $s = n - t$  imply that  $ms \leq nt - 1$ . At this point, we must consider two cases depending on the parity of  $m$ . If  $m$  is even, then assign the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{ms}$  the colors

$$1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, \dots, 3, 4, \dots, m - 1, \\ m, m - 1, m, \dots, m - 1, m$$

in order. Note that each color in  $A$  is to be used exactly  $s$  times. If  $m$  is odd, we modify this scheme as follows. We first assign color  $m$  to  $v_1, v_{n+3}, v_{2n+5}, \dots, v_{(s-1)(n+2)+1}$ . Note that for each  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2s$ , there are integers  $k, l$  for which  $u_k$  is adjacent to  $v_l$ , where  $v_l$  has color  $m$  and  $u_k$  has color  $j$ . Next assign to the  $(m - 1)s$  vertices in the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{ms}$ , which were not assigned color  $m$ , the colors

$$1, 2, 1, 2, \dots, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, \dots, 3, 4, \dots, m - 2, m - 1, \dots, m - 2, m - 1$$

in order. Again we note that each color in  $A$  is to be used exactly  $s$  times.

When  $m$  is even, observe that each color  $i$  from  $A$  is assigned to  $s$  nonconsecutive vertices in a block of  $2s - 1$  consecutive vertices from the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{nt-1}$ . When  $m$  is odd, we observe that distinct vertices that have been assigned color  $m$  are at least  $n + 2$  apart in the

sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}$ . Therefore, we observe that each color  $i$  from  $A$  with  $i \neq m$  is assigned to  $s$  nonconsecutive vertices in a block of  $2s$  or  $2s - 1$  consecutive vertices in the sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}$ . For each color  $i \in A$ , define the set

$$B(i) = \{j \in B: \text{There exist integers } k, l \text{ with } u_k \text{ adjacent to } v_l \text{ for which } u_k \text{ has been assigned color } j \text{ and } v_l \text{ has been assigned color } i\}.$$

We conclude that for all values of  $m$  and for every color  $i$  from  $A$ , the set  $B(i)$  contains exactly  $2s$  elements.

The next step in the construction is to assign colors to some, but not all, of the vertices in  $\{w_{ij}: 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . The construction is the same for all values of  $m$ . Let  $i$  be an element of  $A$ ; assign color  $i$  to vertex  $w_{ij}$  if and only if  $j$  is an element of  $B - B(i)$ . Now let

$$U_1 = \{v_k: 1 \leq k \leq nt - 1\} \cup \{w_{ij}: 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

and let

$$U_2 = \{u_k: 1 \leq k \leq nt\}.$$

Observe that for each color  $i$  from  $A$ , exactly  $t$  vertices of  $U_1$  have been assigned color  $i$ , and for each color  $j$  from  $B$ , exactly  $t$  vertices from  $U_2$  have been assigned color  $j$ ; furthermore, there exist adjacent vertices  $u', u''$  with  $u'$  from  $U_1$ ,  $u''$  from  $U_2$ ,  $u'$  having color  $i$ , and  $u''$  having color  $j$ .

Now let  $G$  be the subgraph of  $T$  generated by the colored vertices and let  $g$  be a 1-representation of  $G$ . The final step in the construction is to use  $g$  to define a  $t$ -representation  $f$  of  $K_{m,n}$ . But this is accomplished simply by defining

$$f(a_i) = \cup \{g(u'): u' \text{ is a vertex from } U_1 \text{ and } u' \text{ has color } i\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$f(b_j) = \cup \{g(u''): u'' \text{ is a vertex from } U_2 \text{ and } u'' \text{ has color } j\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It is trivial to verify that  $f$  is a  $t$ -representation of  $K_{m,n}$ . ■

### 3. OTHER RESULTS

A preliminary version of this paper included a proof of the following result.

**Theorem 3.** If  $G$  has  $p$  vertices, then  $i(G) \leq \lceil p/3 \rceil$ .

This theorem may be established using a two-part argument in which it is proved inductively that a graph on  $3n$  vertices has an  $n$ -representation and a triangle-free graph on  $3n$  vertices has a displayed  $n$ -representation. The proof of the second part makes use of Turán's theorem for the maximum number of edges in a triangle-free graph.

However, the authors did not believe that the upper bound on the interval number of a graph provided by Theorem 3 was best possible. Motivated by the observation that the complete bipartite graph  $K_{2n,2n}$  has  $4n$  vertices and interval number  $n+1$ , the authors conjectured that if  $G$  is a graph with  $p$  vertices, then  $i(G) \leq \lceil (p+1)/4 \rceil$ .

The concept of interval number has been independently investigated by Griggs and West [4]. They obtained the formula given in Theorem 1 for the interval number of a tree as well as the upper bound given in Theorem 3. They also made the same conjecture concerning the maximum interval number of a graph with  $p$  vertices. And they also provided an upper bound on the interval number of a graph in terms of the maximum degree of a vertex in the graph. Specifically, they showed that if the maximum degree of a vertex in a graph  $G$  is  $d$ , then  $i(G) \leq \lceil (d+1)/2 \rceil$ . This last result allowed them to determine that the interval number of the  $n$ -cube  $Q_n$  is  $\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$ , which answered a problem posed in the preliminary version of this paper.

The authors have recently learned that Griggs [3] has established the conjecture by proving that if  $G$  has  $4n-1$  vertices, then  $i(G) \leq n$ .

#### 4. AN OPEN PROBLEM

Lekkerkerker and Boland [7] gave a forbidden subgraph characterization of interval graphs by listing the collection  $\mathcal{F}_2$  of graphs defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{G: i(G) = 2 \text{ but } i(H) = 1 \\ \text{for every proper induced subgraph } H \text{ of } G\}.$$

We propose the general problem of finding for  $t \geq 3$ , the collection

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \{G: i(G) = t \text{ but } i(H) \leq t-1 \text{ for every proper subgraph } H \text{ of } G\}.$$

The problem for  $t=3$  seems to both manageable and interesting since from applied viewpoint, graphs that are the intersection graphs of a family of sets each of which is the union of two intervals of the real line have practical significance, e.g., two work periods separated by a lunch break. By *double interval* graphs, we mean graphs with interval number

two. Theorem 2 shows that  $K_{2n,2n}$  is in  $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and that  $K_{2n-1,2n+2}$  is in  $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$  for every  $n \geq 2$ . In particular, we note then that a forbidden subgraph characterization of double interval graphs will include  $K_{4,4}$  and  $K_{3,6}$ .

## References

- [1] D. R. Fulkerson and O. A. Gross, Incidence matrices and interval graphs. *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965) 835–855.
- [2] P. C. Gilmore and A. J. Hoffman, A characterization of comparability graphs and of interval graphs. *Canad. J. Math.* 16 (1964) 539–548.
- [3] J. Griggs, Extremal values of the interval number of a graph II. Submitted.
- [4] J. Griggs and D. West, Extremal values of the interval number of a graph. Submitted.
- [5] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1969).
- [6] F. Harary and A. J. Schwenk, Trees with hamiltonian square. *Mathematika* 18 (1971) 138–140.
- [7] C. G. Lekkerkerker and J. Ch. Boland, Representation of a finite graph by a set of intervals on the real line. *Fund. Math.* 51 (1962) 45–64.
- [8] F. S. Roberts, On the boxicity and cubicity of a graph. In *Recent Progress in Combinatorics*. Academic, New York (1969) 301–310.