COHERENT and DISSIPATIVE TRANSPORT

in

APERIODIC SOLIDS

Jean BELLISSARD 1 2

Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse & Institut Universitaire de France

Collaborations:

- I. GUARNERI (Università di Como)
- R. MOSSERI (CNRS, Univ. Paris VI-VII)
- R. REBOLLEDO (Pontificia Universidad de Chile
- H. SCHULZ-BALDES (TU Berlin)
- D. SPEHNER (Essen)
- J. VIDAL (CNRS, Univ. Paris VI-VII)
- W. von WALDENFELS (Heidelberg)
- X.J. ZHONG (Oak Ridge)

¹I.R.S.A.M.C. & Laboratoire Émile Picard, Université Paul Sabatier, 118, route de Narbonne, Toulouse Cedex 04, France ²e-mail: jeanbel@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

Main References

J. BELLISSARD, H. SCHULZ-BALDES, A Kinetic Theory for Quantum Transport in Aperiodic Media, J. Stat. Phys., **91**, 991-1026, (1998).

D. SPEHNER, J. BELLISSARD, A Kinetic Model for Quantum Jumps, J. Stat. Phys., **104**, 525-572, (2001).

J. BELLISSARD, R. REBOLLEDO, D. SPEHNER, W. VON WALDENFELS, The Quantum Flow of Electronic transport I: The finite volume case, in preparation.

Content

- 1. Why Revisiting Transport ?
- 2. Dissipation: a Heuristic Approach
- 3. Aperiodic Solids
- 4. Kinetic Models
- 5. Coherent Transport

I - Why Revisiting Transport ?

- It is a very old problem BOLTZMANN (1872-80) for classical systems; DRUDE (1900) for electrons.
- It is treated in textbooks: phenomenology, perturbation theory, numerical calculations.

I.1)- Motivations

Conceptual Difficulties

1. No mathematically rigorous proof of the Kubo formulæ for transport coefficients.

(However substantial progress for classical systems (LEBOWITZ's school) and for quantum ones (PILLET-JAKSIC, FRÖHLICH *et. al.*)).

- 2. Low temperature effects are difficult to describe ex. : Mott's variable range hopping (see e.g. EFROS & SCHKLOVSKY)
- 3. Aperiodic materials escape Bloch theory : need for a more systematic treatment
 (ex. : quasicrystals).
- 4. Aperiodic media exhibit anomalous quantum diffusion

Transport is complex

 Thermodynamic quantities are much easier to measure: experiments are cleaner, easier to control.
 Ex. : heat capacity, magnetic susceptibility, structure factors....

But they do not separate various mechanisms.

• Transport measurements are mostly indirect: harder to interpret (especially at low temperature). Too many mechanisms occur at once.

Few mechanisms

1. For metals, $\sigma(\mathbf{T})$ increases as temperature decreases

 $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) \stackrel{T\downarrow 0}{\sim} \mathbf{T}^{-2}, \ (\text{Fermi liquid theory}).$

2. For a thermally activated process

 $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) \stackrel{T\downarrow 0}{\sim} \mathbf{e}^{-\Delta/\mathbf{T}} (If a gap holds at Fermi level).$

3. For weakly disordered systems

 $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) \stackrel{T\downarrow 0}{\rightarrow} \sigma(\mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{residual conductivity}).$

4. For strongly disordered systems in 3D

 $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) \stackrel{T\downarrow 0}{\sim} \mathbf{e}^{-(\mathbf{T}_0/\mathbf{T})^{1/4}}$ (variable range hopping).

I.2)- Mott's variable range hopping

N. MOTT, (1968).

B. SHKLOVSKII, A. L. EFFROS, *Electronic Properties of Doped Semiconductors*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1984).



- \bullet Strongly localized regime, dimension d
- Low electronic DOS, Low temperature

 \bullet Absorption-emission of a phonon of energy ε

 $\mathbf{Prob} \propto \mathbf{e}^{-\varepsilon/\mathbf{k_BT}}$

 \bullet Tunnelling probability at distance ${\bf r}$

 ${f Prob} \propto {f e}^{-{f r}/\xi}$

• Density of state at Fermi level n_F ,

 $\varepsilon \, n_F \, r^d \approx 1$

- Optimizing, the conductivity satisfies $\sigma \propto e^{-(\mathbf{T_0}/\mathbf{T})^{1/d+1}} \qquad Mott's \ law$
- Optimal energy $\varepsilon_{opt} \sim \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{d}/(\mathbf{d}+1)} \gg \mathbf{T}$
- \bullet Optimal distance $\mathbf{r_{opt}} \sim \mathbf{1}/\mathbf{T^{1/(d+1)}} \gg \xi$

I.3)- Transport in Quasicrystals

Lectures on Quasicrystals, F. Hippert & D. Gratias Eds., Editions de Physique, Les Ulis, (1994),

S. ROCHE, D. MAYOU AND G. TRAMBLY DE LAISSARDIÈRE, Electronic transport properties of quasicrystals, J. Math. Phys., **38**, 1794-1822 (1997).

Quasicrystalline alloys :

Metastable QC's: AlMn (Shechtman D., Blech I., Gratias D. & Cahn J., PRL 53, 1951 (1984))

Defective stable QC's: AlLiCu (Sainfort-Dubost, (1986)) GaMgZn (Holzen et al., (1989))

High quality QC's: **AlCuT** (T = Fe, Ru, Os)(*Hiraga, Zhang, Hirakoyashi, Inoue, (1988); Gurnan et al., Inoue et al., (1989);* Y. Calvayrac et al., (1990))

"Perfect" QC's:

AlPdMn AlPdRe



Typical values of the resistivity (Taken from C. Berger in ref. Lectures on Quasicrystals)



Comparison between conductivities of the two QC's

For QC's

- Al, Fe, Cu, Pd are very good metals : why is the conductivity of quasicrystalline alloys so low ? Why is it decreasing ?
- 2. At high enough temperature

 $\sigma \propto {f T}^\gamma \qquad 1 < \gamma < 1.5$

There is a new mechanism here!

3. At low temperature for $Al_{70.5}Pd_{22}Mn_{7.5}$,

 $\sigma \approx \sigma(\mathbf{0}) > \mathbf{0}$

4. At low temperature for $Al_{70.5}Pd_{21}Re_{8.5}$,

 $\sigma \propto \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{T}_0/\mathrm{T})^{1/4}}$ C. BERGER *et al.* (1998)

Disorder may dominate in both alloys at very low temperature.

II - Dissipation: Heuristics

H. B. CALLEN, Thermodynamics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New-York, London, (1963).
H. J. KREUZER, Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics and its Statistical Foundations, Clarendon Press Ed., Oxford, (1981).

- \mathcal{S} is a system in a finite volume in \mathbb{R}^d .
- For simplicity it will be considered as classical.
- For a quantum one, the space of microstates is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Probabilities are replaced by density matrices.

II.1)- Gibbs States

- Space of microstates \mathcal{Q} (a large but finite set).
- Evolution and first integrals X_1, \dots, X_K . $X_1 = U$ is the *energy*.
- X is a fluctuating first integral if the system is partially open and exchange X with the outside.
- An ensemble is given by a subset $\Phi \subset \{1, \cdots, K\}$ indexing the fluctuating variables.
- The space \mathcal{Q} depends on $\{X_{\alpha}; \alpha \notin \Phi\}$. If $\alpha \in \Phi, X_{\alpha}$ becomes a function $\hat{X}_{\alpha}(q)$.
- The *state* of the system is a *probability* on Q:

$$\mathbb{P}(q) \ge 0, \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{P}(q) = 1.$$

• The *Shannon entropy* of the state is

$$s(\mathbb{P}) = (-1) \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{P}(q) \ln \mathbb{P}(q).$$

It measures the *lack of information* contained in the state.

- The only information conserved under the evolution is the values of the first integrals X_1, \dots, X_K .
- For a fluctuating variable this value is

$$X_{\alpha} = \langle \hat{X}_{\alpha} \rangle = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{P}(q) \ \hat{X}_{\alpha}(q).$$

- 2nd Principle of Thermodynamics : no information can be spontaneously created during the evolution.
- A Gibbs state is an equilibrium state. Its entropy must be maximal among the states with given X_1, \dots, X_K 's. Using Lagrange multipliers,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbb{P}(q)} \left(s(\mathbb{P}) - \lambda_0 \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{P}(q) - \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \lambda_\alpha \left\langle \hat{X}_\alpha \right\rangle \right) = 0.$$

• The solution is

$$\mathbb{P}(q) = \frac{e^{-\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \lambda_{\alpha} \hat{X}_{\alpha}(q)}}{\mathcal{Z}}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a normalization: the *partition function*

- II.2)- Thermodynamics
 - Boltzmann's relation:

 $S = k_B s(\mathbb{P})$ $k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} J \cdot K^{-1}$ Where S is the *Clausius entropy*.

• Under an infinitesimal change of equilibrium:

$$TdS = dU + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{K} F_{\alpha} \, dX_{\alpha}$$

where F_{α} are the *conjugate variables* and T is the *temperature*.

• It then follows

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha}/k_{\rm B}T \qquad \alpha \in \Phi$$

- The energy conjugate variable is $F_1 = 1$.
- The *thermodynamic potential* is

 $A_{\Phi} = -k_{B}T \ln \mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} F_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} - T S$ $dA_{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} dF_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha \notin \Phi} F_{\alpha} dX_{\alpha} - S dT$

Name of X	Notation \hat{X}	Average	Conj. Var. F	Name of F
Energy	$\hat{E}(q)$	U	1	T =temperature
Local momentum	$\hat{p}_i(q) \\ i = x, y, z$	$\vec{p} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)$	$-ec{v}$	$\vec{v} = \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{local} \\ \operatorname{velocity} \end{array}$
Angular momentum	$\hat{L}_i(q) \\ i = x, y, z$	$\vec{L} = (L_x, L_y, L_z)$	$-ec{\omega}$	$\vec{\omega} = \underset{\text{velocity}}{\text{local}}$
Volume	$\hat{V}(q)$	V	Р	P = pressure
Number of particles of species a	$\hat{N}_a(q)$	N_a	$-\mu_a$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{chemical} \\ \mu_a = \text{potential} \\ \text{of species } a \end{array}$
Magneti- zation	$\hat{M}_i(q) \\ i = x, y, z$	$\vec{M} = (M_x, M_y, M_z)$	$-\vec{B}$	$\vec{B} = \underset{\text{field}}{\text{magnetic}}$
Electric charge	$\hat{Q}(q)$	Q	$-\mathcal{V}$	$\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{Electric}_{\operatorname{potential}}$
Deformation tensor (in solids)	$\hat{\Sigma}_{i,j}(q)$ $i, j \in \{x, y, z\}$	$\Sigma_{i,j}$	$\Pi_{i,j}$	$\Pi = \text{stress} \\ \text{tensor}$

First Integrals and their Conjugate Variables in 3D

II.3)- Local Equilibrium Approximation

• Length Scales:

$\ell \ll \delta L \ll L$

 ℓ is a typical *microscopic* length scale *L* the typical *macroscopic* length scale. Then δL is called *mesoscopic*.

• Time Scales:

$$au_{rel} \ll \delta t \ll t$$

 au_{rel} is a typical *microscopic* time scale t the typical *macroscopic* time scale. Then δt is called *mesoscopic*.

- The system is partitionned into *mesoscopic cells* the time is partitionned into *mesoscopic intervals*.
- Mesoscopic cells are *completely open* systems After a time $O(\delta t)$ they return to *equilibrium*.

• The Gibbs state for the mesoscopic cell centered at $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ at time t is:

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\vec{x},t)}(q) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\vec{x},t)} e^{-\frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{K} F_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) \hat{X}_{\alpha}(q)}{k_{B}T(\vec{x},t)}}$$

- The $T(\vec{x}, t), F_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, t)$'s are the conjugate variables attached to each cell and time interval.
- The average values of the first integrals are

$$\delta X_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}(\vec{x},t)} \mathbb{P}_{(\vec{x},t)}(q) \hat{X}_{\alpha}(q).$$

- The *volume* of the cell $\delta V(\vec{x}, t) = \delta V$ is mesoscopic and chosen constant in space and time.
- Then $\delta X_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) = O(\delta V)$ and

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) = \frac{\delta X_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t)}{\delta V}.$$

is the *local density* of X_{α}

II.4)- Fluxes, Currents



• Transfer of X_{α} from cell $\Delta^{(1)}$ to cell $\Delta^{(0)}$ across area $\delta\Sigma$ during time δt gives a variation in time

$$\delta X_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) = -\vec{j}_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) \cdot \vec{n}^{(1)} \delta \Sigma \delta t \,.$$

where $\vec{n}^{(1)}$ is the normal to area oriented from $\Delta^{(1)}$ to $\Delta^{(0)}$.

• $\vec{j}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, t)$ is the *local current* associated with X_{α} .

• Since X_{α} is conserved under evolution the balance leads to the *continuity equation*

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\alpha}}{\partial t}(\vec{x},t) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j}_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) = 0.$$

• The entropy density is $s = \frac{\delta S}{\delta V}$ The entropy variation is then given by

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{K} \frac{F_{\alpha}}{T} \frac{\partial \rho_{\alpha}}{\partial t}$$

• The *current entropy* is define through

$$\vec{j}_s(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^K \frac{F_\alpha}{T} \ \vec{j}_\alpha(\vec{x},t) \,.$$

• The *entropy production rate* is then

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j}_s = \sum_{\alpha=1}^K \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{F_\alpha}{T}\right) \vec{j}_\alpha(\vec{x}, t).$$

and is *positive* thanks to the 2nd Principle.

II.5)- Linear Response

• A variation of the F_{α}/T 's produces currents. In the local equilibrium approximation

$$\vec{j}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{K} L_{\alpha,\beta} \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{F_{\beta}}{T} \right) + O\left\{ \left| \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{F_{\beta}}{T} \right) \right|^2 \right\}$$

- The $L_{\alpha,\beta}$'s are $d \times d$ matrices called Onsager coefficients.
- The gradient of F_{α}/T is an *affinity*. It plays a role similar to *forces*.
- By 2nd Principle, the positivity of entropy production rate implies

 $\mathbb{L} = ((L_{\alpha,\beta}))_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{K} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{L} + \mathbb{L}^{t} \geq 0$

• **Reciprocity Relations:** if, under time reversal symmetry, $X_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{TR} \varepsilon_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ then

 $L_{\beta,\alpha}(\text{parameters}) = \varepsilon_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\beta} L^{t}_{\alpha,\beta}(\text{TR-parameters}).$

II.5)- Dissipative & Nondissipative Response

• Dissipation = Loss of Information Dissipation contributes to entropy production. Hence

$$\mathbb{L}^{(diss)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{L} + \mathbb{L}^t \right)$$

• The *nondissipative* part

$$\mathbb{L}^{(nondis)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{L} - \mathbb{L}^t \right)$$

contains quantities exhibiting *quantization* at very low temperature !

- The *Hall conductivity* is nondissipative. It is quantized a T = 0.
- Quantization of currents in superconductors.
- Warning: In *mesoscopic* systems, the quantization of conductance, thermal conductance, mechanical response, is due to the lack of dissipation. The system is too small for the local equilibrium approximation to hold.