A Toy Model for Viscosity



#### Jean BELLISSARD

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster Department of Mathematics

Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta School of Mathematics & School of Physics e-mail: jeanbel@math.gatech.edu







#### Main References

C. A. ANGELL Formation of Glasses from Liquids and Biopolymers, Science, **267**, No. 5206 (Mar. 31, 1995), pp. 1924-1935.

T. EGAMI, *Elementary Excitation and Energy Landscape in Simple Liquids*, Mod. Phys. Lett. B, **28**, (2014), 1430006:1-19.

J. BELLISSARD Delone Sets and Material Science: a Program, in Mathematics of Aperiodic Order, J. Kellendonk, D. Lenz, J. Savinien, Eds., "Progress in Mathematics" series, 309, Birkhäuser, pp. 405-428, (2015).

#### Content

**Warning** *This talk is reporting on a work in progress.* 

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Anankeons and Phonons
- 3. Constructing the Model
- 4. Computing Maxwell's Time
- 5. Conclusion

### I - Motivation



C. A. Angell, "Formation of Glasses from Liquids and Biopolymers", *Science*, **267**, No. 5206 (Mar. 31, 1995), pp. 1924-1935.







Comparison between the Maxwell relaxation time  $\tau_M$ and the relaxation time  $\tau_{LC}$  for local configurations.

T. Iwashita, D. M. Nicholson, T. Egami, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **110**, 205504-1:5, (2013).



Specific Heat for Fragile Glasses

M. H. Cohen, D. Turnbull, J. *Chem. Phys.*, **31**, 1164 (1959).

FIG. 4. Smoothed values of specific heats,  $C_p$ , of a Au<sub>0.77</sub>Ge<sub>0.136</sub>Si<sub>0.094</sub>



Specific Heat for Fragile Glasses:

contributions of *phonons* & *anankeons* 

- At temperature  $T > T_{co}$  larger than the *crossover* temperature, only the *anankeons* contribute to the specific heat.
- If  $T_g < T < T_{co}$  phonons and anankeon *interact*.
- Question: *How* ?

# II - Anankeons and Phonons



The set *L* of position of atomic nuclei is a *Delone set*, namely

- The *minimum distance* between atoms is  $2r_0 > 0$ .
- The *maximum* diameter of a *hole* without atoms is  $2r_1 < \infty$ .





























• Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be Delone. If  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  its *Voronoi cell* is defined by

$$V(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d ; |y - x| < |y - x'| \,\forall x' \in \mathcal{L} , \, x' \neq x \}$$

V(x) is open. Its closure  $T(x) = \overline{V(x)}$  is called the *Voronoi tile* of x

• Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be Delone. If  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  its *Voronoi cell* is defined by

$$V(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d ; |y - x| < |y - x'| \, \forall x' \in \mathcal{L} , \, x' \neq x \}$$

V(x) is open. Its closure  $T(x) = \overline{V(x)}$  is called the *Voronoi tile* of x



• Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be Delone. If  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  its *Voronoi cell* is defined by

$$V(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d ; |y - x| < |y - x'| \ \forall x' \in \mathcal{L} , x' \neq x \}$$

V(x) is open. Its closure  $T(x) = \overline{V(x)}$  is called the *Voronoi tile* of x



**Proposition:** If  $\mathcal{L}$  is Delone, the Voronoi tile of any  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  is a convex polytope

• Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be Delone. If  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  its *Voronoi cell* is defined by

$$V(x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d ; |y - x| < |y - x'| \ \forall x' \in \mathcal{L} , x' \neq x \}$$

V(x) is open. Its closure  $T(x) = \overline{V(x)}$  is called the *Voronoi tile* of x



**Proposition:** If  $\mathcal{L}$  is Delone, the Voronoi tile of any  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  is a convex polytope containing the closed ball  $\overline{B}(x;r_0)$  and contained in the ball  $\overline{B}(x;r_1)$ 



**Proposition:** *the Voronoi tiles of a Delone set touch face-to-face* 



**Proposition:** *the Voronoi tiles of a Delone set touch face-to-face* 

Two atoms are *nearest neighbors* if their Voronoi tiles touch along a face of *maximal dimension*.



**Proposition:** *the Voronoi tiles of a Delone set touch face-to-face* 

Two atoms are *nearest neighbors* if their Voronoi tiles touch along a face of *maximal dimension*.

An *edge* is a pair of nearest neighbors. *E* denotes the set of edges.



**Proposition:** *the Voronoi tiles of a Delone set touch face-to-face* 

Two atoms are *nearest neighbors* if their Voronoi tiles touch along a face of *maximal dimension*.

An *edge* is a pair of nearest neighbors. E denotes the set of edges.

The family  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  is the Delone graph.



Fig. 1. Diagram of neighbourhood polyhedra, geometrical and physical, for two-dimensional arrays of points. (a) High co-ordinated; -, physical neighbours; (b) low co-ordinated; ...., geometrical neighbours

taken from J. D. BERNAL, Nature, 183, 141-147, (1959)

Modulo graph isomorphism, the Delone graph encodes the local topology

#### **Atomic Movements**



(edges in red)

(edges in red and black)

small, deterministic, conserves local topology

large, unpredictable, quick jump, change the local topology

# III - Constructing the Model

#### Harmonic Motion

- The vibration of an atom around its equilibrium position is assumed to be *harmonic*.
- To simplify further, the oscillator will be supposed to be *one-dimensional*.
- The frequency  $\omega$  of the harmonic oscillator is defined by the *curvature* of the potential energy near the equilibrium position.
- Let *q* denotes the position of the harmonic particle *relative* to the equilibrium position. Then *X* will denote the *phase space vector*

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \omega q \\ \cdot \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Harmonic Motion

• Equation of motion

$$m\frac{d^2q}{dt^2}+kq=0\,,\qquad\qquad\omega=\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}\,.$$

• Equivalently

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \omega JX, \qquad \qquad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Or else

$$X(t) = e^{\omega t J} X(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega t & \sin \omega t \\ -\sin \omega t & \cos \omega t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(0) \\ v(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

#### **Anankeon Interaction**

- At *random times*  $\cdots < \tau_{n-1} < \tau_n < \tau_{n+1} < \cdots$ , the atom *jumps quickly* from one potential well to another one.
- Each jump will be considered as *instantaneous*.
- In the new potential well, the *curvature is different*, hence, after the time *τ<sub>n</sub>*, the new frequency is *ω<sub>n</sub>*.
- After the jump, the new relative *phase space position is changed* by a vector  $\xi_n$ , namely

 $X(\tau_n + 0) = X(\tau_n - 0) + \xi_n$ 

#### **Randomness:** Assumptions

• The random times are *Poissonian*, namely the variables  $\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n$  are *i.i.d*, with exponential distribution and average

$$\mathbb{E}\{\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n\} = \langle \tau_{n+1} - \tau_n \rangle = \tau_{\scriptscriptstyle LC}$$

- The frequencies  $\omega_n$  are also *random* and *i.i.d.*, such that  $\mathbb{E}(\omega_n) = \omega$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{(\omega_n - \omega)^2\} = \sigma^2$
- The phase-space initial positions  $\xi_n$  are also *random* and *i.i.d*, with *Gibbs distribution*

$$\operatorname{Prob}\{\xi_n \in \Lambda\} = \int_{\Lambda} e^{-\beta m |\xi|^2/2} \frac{d^2 \xi}{2\pi k_{\mathrm{B}} T/m}, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}$$

#### **Correlation Function**

• The goal is to compute the *stress-stress correlation function*. It is sufficient to compute

 $C_f(t) = \mathbb{E}\{f(X(t))\overline{f(X(0))}\}$ 

for any complex valued function f defined on the phase space and vanishing at infinity.

• The *viscosity* is given by the Green-Kubo formula

$$\eta = \frac{V}{k_{\rm B}T} \int_0^\infty C_f(t) \, dt$$

for a suitable f

#### **Correlation Function**

• Then, the goal is to show that

$$C_f(t) \stackrel{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} e^{-t/\tau_M}$$

• Hence  $\eta \sim \tau_M$ , which allows to interpret  $\tau_M$  as the *Maxwell relax*-*ation time*.

#### **Correlation Function**

• The *dissipative evolution* operator *P*<sub>t</sub> acting on the *set of functions f* is defined by

 $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}\{f(X(t)) | X(0) = x\}$ 

• Then

$$C_f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(x)} P_t f(x) d^2 x$$

IV - Computing Maxwell's Time

#### Laplace Transform

• The *Laplace transform* of **C**(*t*) is defined by

$$\mathfrak{L}C(\zeta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\zeta} C(t) dt$$

• The function C admits the asymptotic  $C(t) \stackrel{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} e^{-t/\tau_M}$ 

if and only if

 $\mathfrak{L}(\zeta)$  is *holomorphic w.r.t.*  $\zeta$  in the domain  $\mathfrak{R}\zeta > -1/\tau_M$ 

• In practice,  $-1/\tau_{M}$  is the *singularity nearest to the origin* in the complex plane.

#### **Dual Actions**

• **Phase Space Rotation:** If *f* is a function, and x = (u, v) a point in the phase space, then

$$f\left(e^{\omega t J}x\right) = \left(e^{-\omega t \mathbb{J}}f\right)(x), \qquad -\mathbb{J} = v\partial_u - u\partial_v$$

Hence **J** is the *phase-space angular momentum*.

• **Phase Space Translation:** Similarly, if  $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2)$  is a phase-space vector,

$$f(x + \xi) = \left(e^{\xi \cdot \nabla} f\right)(x), \qquad \xi \cdot \nabla = \xi^1 \partial_u + \xi^2 \partial_v$$

Hence  $\xi \cdot \nabla$  is the *phase-space momentum* along the vector  $\xi$ .

- Let X(t) the stochastic value of the *phase-space position* at time t, with initial condition X(0) = x.
- If *n* anankeons occurred during this time then  $\tau_n \le t < \tau_{n+1}$ , with  $\tau_0 = 0$ , so that

$$f(X(t)) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( e^{-(\tau_j - \tau_{j-1})\omega_{j-1} \mathbb{J}} e^{\xi_j \cdot \nabla} \right) e^{-(t - \tau_n)\omega_n \mathbb{J}} f \right\} (x)$$

• In this expression the the  $\tau_j - \tau_{j-1}$ 's, the  $\omega_j$ 's and the  $\xi_j$ 's are *random, independent and identically distributed*.

• *Averaging* and taking the *Laplace transform* leads to

$$\mathfrak{L}P_{\zeta}f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\zeta} P_t f(x) \, dt \,, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$$

• Equivalently

$$\mathfrak{Q}P_{\zeta}f(x) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{\tau_n}^{\tau_{n+1}} e^{-t\zeta} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(e^{-(\tau_j-\tau_{j-1})\omega_{j-1}\mathbb{J}} e^{\xi_j\cdot\nabla}\right) e^{-(t-\tau_n)\omega_n\mathbb{J}} f(x) dt\right\}$$

• **Remark:**  $\tau_0 = 0$ , thus  $t = t - \tau_n + (\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}) + \dots + (\tau_1 - \tau_0)$ . Hence  $\omega_{j-1}$  can be replaced by  $\omega_{j-1}$  +  $\zeta$  and the exponential pre-factor  $e^{-t\zeta}$  disappears.

• First *evaluate* the integral *over time* between  $\tau_n$  and  $\tau_{n+1}$ , by setting  $s = t - \tau_n$ 

$$\int_0^{\tau_{n+1}-\tau_n} e^{-s\zeta} e^{-s\omega_n \mathbb{J}} ds = \frac{1-e^{(\tau_{n+1}-\tau_n)(\zeta+\omega_n \mathbb{J})}}{\zeta+\omega_n \mathbb{J}}$$

• Second, *average* over the  $\tau_j - \tau_{j-1}$ 's, using the formula (here *A* is an operator)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tau}\left\{e^{-(\tau_{j}-\tau_{j-1})A}\right\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s/\tau_{LC}} - sA \frac{ds}{\tau_{LC}} = \frac{1}{1+\tau_{LC}A}$$

• **Reminder:** if two random variables *X*, *Y* are *stochastically independent* then  $\mathbb{E}{XY} = \mathbb{E}{X} \mathbb{E}{Y}$ .

• The average over the  $\tau_j - \tau_{j-1}$ 's gives

$$\mathfrak{Q}P_{\zeta}f(x) = \tau_{LC}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{1+\tau_{LC}(\zeta+\omega_{j-1}]\mathbb{J})} e^{\xi_{j}\cdot\nabla}\right) \frac{1}{1+\tau_{LC}(\zeta+\omega_{n}]\mathbb{J})} f(x)\right\}$$

• This gives a new averaging over a product of *independent* variables.

• Averaging over the  $\xi_i$ 's can be done using (Gibbs average is a Gaussian integral)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi}\left\{e^{\xi_{j}\cdot\nabla}\right\} = e^{k_{\mathrm{B}}T\Delta/2m}, \qquad \Delta = \nabla\cdot\nabla = \partial_{u}^{2} + \partial_{v}^{2}$$

• *Averaging* over the  $\omega_i$ 's leads to defining the following operator

$$\mathbb{A}(\zeta) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\scriptscriptstyle LC}(\zeta + \omega_{j-1}\mathbb{J})}\right\}$$

• **Remark:**  $A(\zeta)$  does not depend on *j* since all the  $\omega_j$ 's have same distribution.

• *Inserting* into the expression of the Laplace transform leads to

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{L}P_{\zeta}f(x) &= \tau_{\scriptscriptstyle LC} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathbb{A}(\zeta) \ e^{k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}T\Delta/2m} \right\}^n \mathbb{A}(\zeta) \ f(x) \\ &= \tau_{\scriptscriptstyle LC} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{A}(\zeta) \ e^{k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}T\Delta/2m}} \ \mathbb{A}(\zeta) \ f(x) \end{split}$$

- Questions:
  - How do we evaluate this function ?
  - How can we compute the *domain of analyticity* in  $\zeta$  ?

- Trick: the operator A(ζ) is a function of the phase-space *angular momentum* J.
- The *polar coordinates* in the 2*D*-phase space are given by

$$\begin{cases} u = r \cos \theta \\ v = r \sin \theta \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} r^2 = u^2 + v^2 \\ \tan \theta = v/u \end{cases}$$

• It follows that

$$\mathbb{J} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

- Consequently the *eigenvalues* of J are given by *l* with *l* = 0, ±1, ±2, ···, namely *l* ∈ Z.
- The eigenfunctions have the form

 $g_{\ell}(r,\theta) = \hat{g}_{\ell}(r) \ e^{i\ell\theta}$ 

• *Projecting* a function f onto the eigenspace of eigenvalue l is given by

$$\Pi_{\ell} f(r,\theta) = e^{i\ell\theta} \int_0^{2\pi} f(r,\theta) \ e^{-i\ell\theta} \ \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

• Hence the *spectral decomposition* gives

$$\mathbb{J} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \iota \ \ell \ \Pi_{\ell}$$

• Any function of **J** can be written as

$$F(\mathbb{J}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} F(\iota\ell) \, \Pi_{\ell}$$

• It leads to

$$\mathbb{A}(\zeta) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\ell}(\zeta) \, \Pi_{\ell}$$

with  $a_{\ell}(\zeta)$  a complex number given by

$$a_{\ell}(\zeta) = \mathbb{E}_{\omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\scriptscriptstyle LC}(\zeta + \iota \ell \omega_j)} \right\}$$

• **New Trick:** the operator △ commutes with **J**, more precisely, the polar decomposition gives

$$\Delta = -p_r^2 + \frac{\mathbb{J}^2}{r^2} \qquad \qquad -p_r^2 = \partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r$$

• This gives

$$\mathfrak{L}P_{\zeta} = \tau_{\scriptscriptstyle LC} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - a_{\ell}(\zeta)e^{-k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}T(p_r^2 + \ell^2/r^2)}} a_{\ell}(\zeta) \Pi_{\ell}$$



• Remark that if  $\omega > 0$  the function  $(1 + \tau_{LC}(\zeta + \iota \ell \omega))^{-1}$  admits a pole at

$$\zeta = -\frac{1}{\tau_{LC}} - \iota \ell \omega$$

- It follows that  $a_{\ell}(\zeta)$  is analytic in  $\Re(\zeta) > -1/\tau_{LC}$
- The operator p<sup>2</sup><sub>r</sub> + ℓ<sup>2</sup>/r<sup>2</sup> is positive, and its spectrum is the *entire positive real line*. Hence, 𝔅P<sub>ζ</sub> is analytic in the domain for which there is no ℓ ∈ ℤ nor any p ≥ 0 such that a<sub>ℓ</sub>(ζ) = e<sup>p<sup>2</sup></sup> ≥ 1. It means the set of ζ must satisfy a<sub>ℓ</sub>(ζ) ∉ [1,∞)





The *domain of analyticity* of  $a_l(\zeta)$  is given by  $\Re \zeta > -1/\tau_{LC}$ 

# Analyticity

- The *correlation function* for X(t) involves only the angular momentum  $\ell = \pm 1$ .
- Assume that the distribution of  $\omega_j$  is *uniform* with average  $\omega$  and variance  $\sigma$ . Then the  $\omega_j$ 's are uniformly distributed in the interval  $[\omega \sqrt{3}\sigma, \omega + \sqrt{3}\sigma]$  and

$$a_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2\iota\sqrt{3}\tau_{LC}\sigma} \ln\left(\frac{1+\tau_{LC}(\zeta+\iota\omega+\iota\sqrt{3}\sigma)}{1+\tau_{LC}(\zeta+\iota\omega-\iota\sqrt{3}\sigma)}\right)$$

• If  $\zeta + \iota \omega$  is *not real*, then the imaginary part of the *r.h.s.* is non zero, so that  $a_{\pm 1} \notin [1, \infty)$ .

## Analyticity

• If  $\xi = \zeta + \iota \omega$  is *real* then

$$a_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2\iota\sqrt{3}\tau_{LC}\sigma} \ln\left(\frac{1+\tau_{LC}(\zeta+\iota\omega+\iota\sqrt{3}\sigma)}{1+\tau_{LC}(\zeta+\iota\omega-\iota\sqrt{3}\sigma)}\right) = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{3}\tau_{LC}\sigma}$$

where

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\sqrt{3}\tau_{\rm LC}\sigma}{1+\tau_{\rm LC}\xi} \qquad \qquad |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

• After some algebra, this gives

$$\tau_{M} = \begin{cases} \tau_{LC} & \text{if } \sqrt{3}\tau_{LC}\sigma \geq \pi/2 \\ \tau_{LC} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}\tau_{LC}\sigma}{\tan\sqrt{3}\tau_{LC}\sigma}\right)^{-1} > \tau_{LC} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Using *a uniform distribution* of oscillator frequencies is a reasonable approximation. If  $\tau_{LC} \sigma$  decreases to zero as  $T \downarrow 0$ , then
  - This gives a *crossover* temperature  $T_{co}$  above which the *anankeon dominates* and  $\tau_{LC} = \tau_M$  for  $T \ge T_{co}$
  - If  $T < T_{co}$ , the *phonons resist* and  $\tau_{\rm M}/\tau_{\rm LC} > 1$ .

- The *variance*  $\sigma$  of the random frequencies depend upon the *modification of the local landscape* by anankeons. However, each anankeon involves several atoms, at least d + 2 atoms in dimension d. So the landscape is modified in a region that might be large compared with the mean atomic distance.
- For this reason,  $\sigma$  is expected to be proportional to a Gibbs factor, namely to follow also an *Arrhenius law*

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\infty} e^{-W_v/k_{\rm B}T} \qquad \sigma_{\infty} = \lim_{T\uparrow\infty} \sigma(T)$$

• **Question:** What is the meaning of  $W_v$ ?

• Similarly the local configuration time  $\tau_{LC}$  is also given by a similar expression, thanks to *Kramers formula*, where now *W* is the *potential energy barrier* to be crossed when an analyse occurs

$$\tau_{LC} = \tau_{\infty} e^{W/k_{\rm B}T} \qquad \qquad \tau_{\infty} = \lim_{T\uparrow\infty} \tau(T)$$

• If the hypothesis made on  $\sigma$  is correct, then

 $K(T) = \tau_{LC}(T)\sigma(T) = K_{\infty} e^{-(W_v - W)/k_{\rm B}T} \qquad K_{\infty} = \sigma_{\infty} \tau_{\infty}$ 

*The condition*  $K(T) \xrightarrow{T\downarrow 0} 0$  *requires*  $W_v > W$ 

• Hence, if  $T_g < T < T_{co}$ 

$$\frac{\tau_{M}}{\tau_{LC}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}K(T)}{\tan(\sqrt{3}K(T))}} \quad \stackrel{T\downarrow 0}{\sim} \quad \frac{e^{2(W_{v} - W)/k_{B}T}}{K_{\infty}^{2}}$$

• Similarly the *crossover temperature* is reached whenever  $\sqrt{3}\tau_{LC}\sigma = \pi/2$ , which gives

$$(W_{\mathcal{V}} - W) = \frac{k_{\rm B}T_{co}}{10.2}$$

# V - Conclusion

#### To Summarize

- The liquid phase of *fragile glasses* is dominated by *anankeons* at least above the crossover temperature  $T > T_{co}$ .
- If  $T_g < T < T_{co}$ , the *phonon-anankeon interaction* becomes essential to explain the difference between the Maxwell and the local configuration times. Hence the change of the Arrhenius behavior of the viscosity is explained through a *dynamical effect*. As the temperature decreases, phonons become more coherent and *limit the dissipative* effect of the anankeons.
- The crossover temperature is related to the difference *W<sub>s</sub> W* between the activation energies associated with the *phonon frequency fluctuation* and the *anankeon potential barrier*.