# COHERENT & DISSIPATIVE TRANSPORT in

## APERIODIC MEDIA

#### Jean BELLISSARD<sup>12</sup>

Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse & Institut Universitaire de France

#### **Collaborators:**

I. GUARNERI (Università di Como )
R. MOSSERI (CNRS, Univ. Paris VI-VII)
R. REBOLLEDO (Pontificia Universidad de Chile)
H. SCHULZ-BALDES (UC Irvine)
D. SPEHNER (Pontificia Universidad de Chile)
J. VIDAL (CNRS, Univ. Paris VI-VII)
W. von WALDENFELS (Heidelberg)
X.J. ZHONG (Oak Ridge)

 $^1\mathrm{I.R.S.A.M.C.},$ Université Paul Sabatier, 118, route de Narbonne, Toulouse Cedex 04, France  $^2\mathrm{e}\text{-mail: jeanbel@irsamc2.ups-tlse.fr}$ 

Transport Princeton Univ Dec. 12 2000

## I)- Aperiodic media :

#### Examples

- 1. Perfect crystals in d-dimensions: translation and crystal symmetries. Translation group  $\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d$ .
- 2. *Quasicrystals*: no translation symmetry, but icosahedral symmetry. Ex.:
  - (a) Al<sub>62.5</sub>Cu<sub>25</sub>Fe<sub>12.5</sub>;
    (b) Al<sub>70</sub>Pd<sub>22</sub>Mn<sub>8</sub>;
    (c) Al<sub>70</sub>Pd<sub>22</sub>Re<sub>8</sub>;
- 3. Disordered media: random atomic positions
  (a) Normal metals (with defects or impurities);
  (b) Doped semiconductors (Si, AsGa, ...);



Conductivity of Quasicrystals vs Temperature

 $\sigma \approx \sigma_0 + a T^{\gamma}$  with  $1 < \gamma < 1.5$ for  $1 K \le T \le 1000 K$ 

#### Mathematical Description

- 1. Closing suitably the set of translated of the set of atomic positions leads to the *Hull* : it is a compact metrizable space  $\Omega$  endowed with an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -action.
- 2. An invariant ergodic probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  is provided by the Gibbs state at zero temperature.
- 3. Observables are random operators  $A = (A_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}$ acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of quantum states (such as  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for spinless electrons) with:
  - (a) Covariance :  $T(a)A_{\omega}T(a)^{-1} = A_{\tau}^{-a_{\omega}}$ .

(b)  $\omega \mapsto A_{\omega}$  is strongly continuous.

4. The trace per unit volume, defined by  $\mathbb{P}$ , exists:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{Tr}(A_{\omega} \restriction_{\Lambda}) = \int_{\Omega} d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \langle x | A_{\omega} | x \rangle$$

5. Differential:  $(\vec{\nabla}A)_{\omega} = -i[\vec{X}, A_{\omega}]$ 

### II)- Coherent Transport :

#### Local Exponents

Given a positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\alpha_{\mu}^{\pm}(E) = \lim \left\{ \sup_{\inf f} \right\}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\ln \int_{E-\varepsilon}^{E+\varepsilon} d\mu}{\ln \varepsilon}$$

For  $\Delta$  a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\alpha^{\pm}_{\mu}(\Delta) = \mu - \operatorname{ess}\left\{\sup_{\inf}\right\}_{E \in \Delta} \alpha^{\pm}_{\mu}(E)$$

1. For all E, 
$$\alpha_{\mu}^{\pm}(E) \ge 0$$
.  
 $\alpha_{\mu}^{\pm}(E) \le 1$  for  $\mu$ -almost all E.

- 2. If  $\mu$  is ac on  $\Delta$  then  $\alpha_{\mu}^{\pm}(\Delta) = 1$ , if  $\mu$  is pp on  $\Delta$  then  $\alpha_{\mu}^{\pm}(\Delta) = 0$ .
- 3. If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are equivalent measures on  $\Delta$ , then  $\alpha^{\pm}_{\mu}(E) = \alpha^{\pm}_{\nu}(E) \mu$ -almost surely.
- 4.  $\alpha_{\mu}^{+}$  coincides with the *packing dimension*.  $\alpha_{\mu}^{-}$  coincides with the *Hausdorff dimension*.

#### **Fractal Exponents**

For  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  :

$$D^{\pm}_{\mu,\,\Delta}(q) \;=\; \lim_{q' \to q} \; \frac{1}{q'-1} \; \lim_{\varepsilon \,\downarrow \, 0} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_{\inf \ \end{array} \right\} \; \frac{\ln\left(\int_{\Delta} \, d\mu(E) \left\{\int_{E-\varepsilon}^{E+\varepsilon} d\mu\right\}^{q'-1}\right)}{\ln \varepsilon}$$

- 1.  $D^{\pm}_{\mu,\Delta}(q)$  is a non decreasing function of q.
- 2.  $D^{\pm}_{\mu,\Delta}(q)$  is **not** an invariant of the measure class, in general.
- 3.(a) If  $\mu$  is ac on  $\Delta$  then  $D^{\pm}_{\mu,\Delta}(q) = 1$ . (b) If  $\mu$  is pp on  $\Delta$  then  $D^{\pm}_{\mu,\Delta}(q) = 0$ .

#### **Spectral Exponents**

Given a Hamiltonian  $H = (H_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , namely a selfadjoint observable, we define:

- 1. The *local density of state* (LDOS) is the spectral measure of  $H_{\omega}$  relative to a vector  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- 2. The corresponding local exponent is obtained after maximizing (+) or minimizing (-) over  $\varphi$ . It is denoted  $\alpha_{\text{LDOS}}^{\pm}$ . It is  $\mathbb{P} a.s.$  independent of  $\omega$ .
- 3. The *density of states* (DOS) as the measure defined by

$$\int d\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}}(E)f(E) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}}(f(H))$$

- 4. The local exponent associated with the DOS is denoted by  $\alpha_{\text{DOS}}^{\pm}$ .
- 5. Inequality :  $\alpha_{\text{LDOS}}^{\pm}(\Delta) \leq \alpha_{\text{DOS}}^{\pm}(\Delta)$ .
- 6. The fractal exponents for the LDOS are defined in the same way, provided we consider the average over  $\omega$  before taking the logarithm and the limit  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

#### **Transport Exponents**

1. For  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  Borel, let  $P_{\Delta,\omega}$  be the corresponding spectral projection of  $H_{\omega}$ . Set:

$$\vec{X}_{\omega}(t) = e^{\imath t H_{\omega}} \vec{X} e^{-\imath t H_{\omega}}$$

2. The averaged spread of a typical wave packet with energy in  $\Delta$  is measured by:

$$L_{\Delta}^{(p)}(t) = \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{t} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbb{P} \langle x | P_{\Delta,\omega} | \vec{X}_{\omega}(t) - \vec{X} |^{p} P_{\Delta,\omega} | x \rangle \right)^{1/p}$$

- 3. Define  $\beta = \beta_p^{\pm}(\Delta)$  similarly so that  $L_{\Delta}^{(p)}(t) \sim t^{\beta}$ .
- 4.  $\beta_p^{-}(\Delta) \leq \beta_p^{+}(\Delta)$ .  $\beta_p^{\pm}(\Delta)$  are non decreasing in p.
- 5. Heuristic

$$\beta = 0 \rightarrow \text{absence of diffusion } (ex: localization),$$

- $\beta = 1 \rightarrow \text{ballistic motion} (ex: in crystals),$
- $\beta = 1/2 \rightarrow$  quantum diffusion
- (ex: weak localization).
- $\beta < 1 \rightarrow$  subballistic regime,
- $\beta < 1/2 \rightarrow$  subdiffusive regime
- (ex: in quasicrystals).

#### Inequalities

- 1. Guarneri's inequality: (Guarneri '89, Combes, Last '96)  $\beta_p^{\pm}(\Delta) \geq \frac{\alpha_{\text{LDOS}}^{\pm}(\Delta)}{d}$
- 2. BGT inequalities: (Barbaroux, Germinet, Tcheremchantsev '00)

$$\beta_p^{\pm}(\Delta) \geq \frac{1}{d} D_{\text{LDOS},\Delta}^{\pm}(\frac{d}{d+p})$$

#### 3. *Heuristics:*

- (a) ac spectrum implies  $\beta \ge 1/d$ .
- (b) ac spectrum implies ballistic motion in d = 1
- (c) ac spectrum is compatible with quantum diffusion in d = 2. This is expected in weak localization regime.
- (d) ac spectrum is compatible with subdiffusion for  $d \ge 3$ .

#### **Results for Models**

- 1. For Jacobi matrices (1D chains), the position operator is defined by the spectral measure (orthogonal polynomials)  $\Rightarrow$  transport exponents should be defined through the spectral ones.
- 2. For Jacobi matrices of a Julia set, with  $\mu$  the  $\sigma$ -balanced measure (*Barbaroux, Schulz-Baldes '99*)

$$\beta_p^+ \leq D_\mu(1-p) \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 \leq p \leq 2$$

3. If  $H_1, \dots, H_d$  are Jacobi matrices,  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d$  are positive numbers and if

$$H^{(\eta)} = \sum_{j=1}^d \eta_j \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{H_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

Then (Schulz-Baldes, Bellissard '00)

$$\beta_p^+(H^{(\eta)}) = \max_j \beta_p^+(H_j)$$
$$\alpha_{\text{LDOS}}(H^{(\eta)}) = \min\{1, \sum_j \alpha_{\text{LDOS}}(H_j)\}$$

for a.e.  $\eta$ . In addition if  $\sum_{j} \alpha_{\text{LDOS}}(H_j) > 1$ ,  $H^{(\eta)}$  has *a.c.* spectrum.

Transport Princeton Univ Dec. 12 2000

- 4. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a Jacobi matix  $H_0$  such that if  $H_j = H_0, \forall j, H^{(\eta)}$  has *a.c. spectrum* for  $d \ge 3$ and spectral exponent  $\le 1/d - \epsilon$  for *a.e.*  $\eta$ . (*Schulz-Baldes, Bellissard '00*)
- 5. There is a class of models of Jacobi matrices on an infinite dimensional hypercube with *a.c. spectrum* and vanishing transport exponents.

(Vidal, Mosseri, Bellissard '99)

## III)- Dissipative Transport :

#### The Drude Model (1900)

Hypothesis :

- 1. Electrons in a metal are free classical particles of mass  $m_*$  and charge q.
- 2. They experience collisions at random poissonnian times  $\cdots < t_n < t_{n+1} < \cdots$ , with average relaxation time  $\tau_{rel}$ .
- 3. If  $p_n$  is the electron momentum between times  $t_n$ and  $t_{n+1}$ , then the  $p_{n+1} - p_n$ 's are independent random variables distributed according to the Maxwell distribution at temperature T.

Then the conductivity follows the  $Drude\ formula$ 

$$\sigma = \frac{q^2 n}{m_*} \, \tau_{\rm rel}$$



#### The Drude Kinetic Model

#### Anomalous Drude formula (RTA)

- 1. Replace the classical dynamics by the quantum one electron dynamic in the aperiodic solid.
- 2. At each collision, force the density matrix to come back to equilibrium. (*Relaxation time Approximation* or RTA).
- 3. There is then one *relaxation time*  $\tau_{rel}$ . The electric conductivity is then given by Kubo's formula:

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \frac{q^2}{\hbar} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}} \left( \partial_j \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(H-\mu)}} \right) \frac{1}{1/\tau_{rel} - \mathcal{L}_H} \partial_i H \right)$$

Here q is the charge of the carriers,  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ ,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential and  $\mathcal{L}_H = i/\hbar [H, .]$ .

4. For the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product defined by  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}}, \mathcal{L}_{H}$  is anti-selfadjoint. Thus as  $\tau_{rel} \uparrow \infty$ , the resolvent of  $\mathcal{L}_{H}$  is evaluated closer to the spectrum near 0. Then (Mayou '92, Sire '93 Bellissard, Schulz-Baldes '95):

$$\sigma \stackrel{ au_{rel} \uparrow \infty}{\sim} au_{rel}^{2eta_F - 1}$$

where  $\beta_F$  is the transport exponent  $\beta_2(E_F)$  evaluated at Fermi level. Transport Princeton Univ Dec. 12 2000

#### Heuristic

- 1. In practice,  $\tau_{rel} \uparrow \infty$  as  $T \downarrow 0$ .
- 2. If  $\beta_F = 1$  (*ballistic motion*),  $\sigma \sim \tau_{rel}$  (*Drude*). The system behaves as a conductor.
- 3. If  $\beta_F = 0$  (absence of diffusion)  $\sigma \sim 1/\tau_{rel}$ . The system behaves as an insulator. The RTA is incorrect however at low temperature.
- 4. If  $\beta_F = 1/2$  (quantum diffusion),  $\sigma \sim const.$ : residual conductivity at low temperature.
- 5. For  $1/2 < \beta_F \leq 1$ ,  $\sigma \uparrow \infty$  as  $T \downarrow$  0:the system behaves as a conductor.
- 6. For  $0 \leq \beta_F < 1/2$ ,  $\sigma \downarrow 0$  as  $T \downarrow 0$ : the system behaves as an insulator.
- 7. If we assume in addition that the Bloch law  $\tau_{rel} \sim T^{-5}$  (*Roche, Fujiwara '98*), then  $\sigma$  follows a scaling law (compatible with the behaviour of quasicrystals).

#### Beyond the RTA

- 1. At low temperature, the RTA is invalid. There is a spectrum of relaxation times.
- 2. A kinetic model of *quantum jumps* has been proposed leading to the validity of linear response. (Spehner, Bellissard '00, Bellissard, Rebolledo, Spehner, von Waldenfels '00).
- 3. The current admits two parts : the coherent one, induced by  $\vec{J} = i[\vec{X}, H]$ , and a dissipative one including other effects like *phonon drag*, etc.
- 4. The Kubo formula becomes more involved and can be decomposed into five contributions in general.
- 5. Applied to strongly localized electrons, this formalism gives rise to a justification of the Abrahams and Miller random resistor network model (*Spehner, Thesis '00, Spehner, Bellissard, '00*).

This model describes the Mott variable range hopping and leads to

$$\sigma \stackrel{T \downarrow 0}{\sim} e^{(-\frac{T_0}{T})^{1/d+1}}$$
 (Mott '64)

## IV)- Conclusions :

- 1. The electron dynamics in an aperiodic solid can be described by using random operators and rules of Non Commutative Calculus.
- 2. The quantum evolution of a typical wave packet leads to anomalous diffusion, described through various spectral and transport exponents.
- 3. These exponents are related by inequalities that allow subdiffusion together with absolutely continuous spectrum for  $d \geq 3$ .
- 4. Dissipative mechanisms, such as electron-phonon interaction, may be described through kinetic models, generalizing the Drude model.
- 5. The interplay between coherent and dissipative transport is revealed at low temperature. Anomalous diffusion then leads to an anomalous Drude formula within the RTA.
- 6. The anomalous Drude formula may explain the behaviour of quasicrystals.
- 7. Beyond the RTA, the kinetic models are still valid but involve more conditions. One consequence is the justification of the Abraham-Miller random resistor network which usually leads to a better understanding of the Mott variable range hopping conductivity, in strongly disordered systems.