

DISCRETE CIRCULAR BETA ENSEMBLES

D. S. LUBINSKY

ABSTRACT. Let μ be a measure with support on the unit circle and $n \geq 1, \beta > 0$. The associated circular β ensemble involves a probability distribution of the form

$$\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(n)}(\mu; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = C |V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu(t_1) \dots d\mu(t_n),$$

where C is a normalization constant, and

$$V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j - t_i).$$

We explicitly evaluate the m -point correlation functions when μ is replaced by a discrete measure on the unit circle, generated by paraorthogonal orthogonal polynomials associated with μ , and use this to investigate universality limits for sequences of such measures. We also consider ratios of products of random characteristic polynomials.

1. IDENTITIES

Let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on the unit circle $\Gamma = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]\}$, or equivalently on $[-\pi, \pi]$, with infinitely many points in its support. Let $\beta > 0$ and $n \geq 2$. The β -ensemble with temperature $1/\beta$, associated with the measure μ , involves a probability distribution on Γ^n of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(n)}(\mu; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \\ (1.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{Z_n} |V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu(t_1) \dots d\mu(t_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j - t_i) = \det \left[t_i^{j-1} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad Z_n = \int \dots \int |V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu(t_1) \dots d\mu(t_n).$$

These ensembles arise in analysing random unitary ($\beta = 2$), orthogonal ($\beta = 1$), and symplectic matrices ($\beta = 4$) in mathematical physics [1], [7],

Date: October 30, 2012.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A10, 41A17, 42C99; Secondary 33C45.

Key words and phrases. Random Matrices, Circular Ensembles.

Research supported by NSF grant DMS1001182 and US-Israel BSF grant 2008399.

[8], [10], [19]. The case of general β is attracting more and more attention [5], [22].

One of the most important statistics is the m -point correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} & R_n^{m,\beta}(\mu; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \frac{\int \dots \int |V(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu(t_{m+1}) \dots d\mu(t_n)}{\int \dots \int |V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu(t_1) \dots d\mu(t_n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

In its analysis, it is standard to use orthonormal polynomials

$$\varphi_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \dots, \kappa_n > 0,$$

$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, associated with μ , satisfying the orthonormality conditions

$$\int_{\Gamma} \varphi_n \overline{\varphi_m} d\mu = \delta_{jk}.$$

Throughout we use μ' to denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ . The n th reproducing kernel for μ is

$$K_n(\mu, z, \zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(z) \overline{\varphi_k(\zeta)}.$$

We note that many researchers use n as the upper index of summation in the sum defining K_n . The n th Christoffel function is

$$\lambda_n(\mu, z) = 1/K_n(\mu, z, z) = 1/\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\varphi_j(z)|^2.$$

When it is clear that the measure is μ , we'll omit the μ , just writing $\lambda_n(z)$ and $K_n(z, \zeta)$.

The $*$ operation plays a basic role in orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. If P is a polynomial of degree n , we define

$$P^*(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\bar{z})}.$$

It permits us to formulate analogues of the Christoffel-Darboux formula [20, p. 124]:

$$(1.5) \quad K_n(\mu, z, \zeta) = \frac{\overline{\varphi_n^*(\zeta)} \varphi_n^*(z) - \overline{\varphi_n(\zeta)} \varphi_n(z)}{1 - \zeta z}$$

$$(1.6) \quad = \frac{\overline{\varphi_{n-1}^*(\zeta)} \varphi_{n-1}^*(z) - z \overline{\varphi_{n-1}(\zeta)} \varphi_{n-1}(z)}{1 - \bar{\zeta} z}.$$

For a given $\zeta \in \Gamma$, and n , let

$$(1.7) \quad \chi = \chi_n(\zeta) = -\frac{\overline{\varphi_{n-1}^*(\zeta)}}{\bar{\zeta} \overline{\varphi_{n-1}(\zeta)}},$$

so that $|\chi| = 1$, and

$$(1.8) \quad K_n(\mu, z, \zeta) = \left(-\overline{\zeta \varphi_{n-1}(\zeta)} \right) \frac{z \varphi_{n-1}(z) + \chi \varphi_{n-1}^*(z)}{1 - \overline{\zeta} z}.$$

It is known that $z \varphi_{n-1}(z) + \chi \varphi_{n-1}^*(z)$ has n simple zeros $\{z_{kn}^{(\zeta)}\}$ on Γ , one of which is ζ [20, p. 129]. There χ is denoted by β , (and n is replaced by $n+1$) but of course we have already assigned a different role to β . The Gauss quadrature due to Jones, Njastad, and Thron [20, p. 129] asserts that

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(\mu, z_{kn}^{(\zeta)}) P(z_{kn}^{(\zeta)}) = \int P d\mu$$

for every Laurent polynomial P of the form

$$(1.10) \quad P(z) = \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} c_k z^k.$$

We define the discrete measure

$$(1.11) \quad \mu_{n,\zeta} = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(\mu, z_{kn}^{(\zeta)}) \delta_{z_{kn}^{(\zeta)}}.$$

Thus the Gauss quadrature (1.9) may be expressed as

$$(1.12) \quad \int P d\mu_{n,\zeta} = \int P d\mu$$

for every P of the form (1.10).

Our basic identity is:

Theorem 1.1

Let μ be a measure on the unit circle Γ , with infinitely many points in its support. Let $|\zeta| = 1$ and $n \geq 1$; and $\mu_{n,\zeta}$ be the discrete measure defined by (1.11). For any $m \geq 1$ and $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & R_n^{m,\beta}(\mu_{n,\zeta}; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \leq n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(\mu, z_{j_k n}^{(\zeta)}) \right)^{\beta-1} \\ & \quad \times \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(\mu, z_{j_1 n}^{(\zeta)}, u_1) & \dots & K_n(\mu, z_{j_1 n}^{(\zeta)}, u_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(\mu, z_{j_m n}^{(\zeta)}, u_1) & \dots & K_n(\mu, z_{j_m n}^{(\zeta)}, u_m) \end{bmatrix} \right|^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

(1.13)

Remarks

(a) The point of the theorem is that all the determinants in the last line are $m \times m$, and m is fixed, while typically we want to investigate the behavior as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus instead of having to deal with the $n - m$ fold integral in the numerator in (1.4), we can analyze fixed size determinants.

(b) Suppose that $u_k = z_{j_k n}^{(\zeta)}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, for some distinct $1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \leq n$. Then the above reduces to

$$R_n^{m,\beta} \left(\mu_{n,\zeta}; z_{j_1 n}^{(\zeta)}, z_{j_2 n}^{(\zeta)}, \dots, z_{j_m n}^{(\zeta)} \right) = \prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n \left(\mu, z_{j_k n}^{(\zeta)} \right)^{-1}.$$

(c) The above is a unit circle analogue of an identity derived in [16] for beta ensembles on the real line, associated with Gauss quadratures.

(d) When $\beta = 2$, this reduces to a familiar identity in random matrix theory:

Corollary 1.2

For $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & R_n^{m,2} \left(\mu_{n,\zeta}; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \right) \\ &= R_n^{m,2} \left(\mu; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \right) \\ (1.14) \quad &= \det [K_n(\mu, u_i, u_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}. \end{aligned}$$

Another standard quantity in random matrix theory is expected values of products of characteristic polynomials, or their ratios [2], [6], [9], [18]. In our case, these are simple to compute, and are somewhat different from the continuous analogue:

Proposition 1.3

Let $f : \Gamma^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric function of n variables. Then

$$(1.15) \quad \int f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) d\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(n)}(\mu_{n,\zeta}; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = f\left(z_{1n}^{(\zeta)}, z_{2n}^{(\zeta)}, \dots, z_{nn}^{(\zeta)}\right).$$

In particular, if

$$S(\alpha; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n (\alpha - t_k),$$

and $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^m, \{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^m$ are complex numbers,

$$(1.16) \quad \int \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{S(\alpha_k; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)}{S(\beta_k; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)} d\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(n)}(\mu; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{K_n(\alpha_k, \zeta)(1 - \alpha_k \bar{\zeta})}{K_n(\beta_k, \zeta)(1 - \beta_k \bar{\zeta})}.$$

We prove Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2 and Proposition 1.3 in Section 3.

2. ASYMPTOTICS

The formulae of Section 1 permit us to establish *universality limits* as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The latter are a major topic in random matrix theory, with many different facets, and we cannot hope to survey this here. See the monographs [1], [3], [7], [8], [10], [19]. For $\beta = 2$, the narrower setting on which we focus is covered in, for example, [12], [13], [14], [16], [21], [25]. As noted above, asymptotic aspects of general β ensembles, are considered, for example, in [5], [16].

We need to assume that the underlying measure μ is *regular* on Γ in the sense of Stahl, Totik, and Ullman [23], that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n^{1/n} = 1.$$

A sufficient condition for regularity is that $\mu' > 0$ a.e. on Γ (equivalently on $[-\pi, \pi]$). See [23] for further background on this concept. We also need the sinc kernel

$$(2.1) \quad S(t) = \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t}.$$

We prove:

Theorem 2.1

Let μ be a regular measure on Γ . Let Γ_1 be an open subarc of Γ and Γ_2 be a compact subarc of Γ_1 . Assume that μ' is positive and continuous in $\overline{\Gamma_1}$, and moreover, that either

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} n \|\lambda_n(\mu, \cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\Gamma)} < \infty$$

or, for some compact subarc Γ_3 of Γ_1 containing Γ_2 in its interior,

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\varphi_n\|_{L_\infty(\Gamma_3)} < \infty.$$

For $n \geq 1$, let $\zeta_n \in \Gamma_2$ and let μ_{n, ζ_n} be the measure defined by (1.11). Then for $\beta \geq 2$, and real a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m ,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^m} R_n^{m, \beta} \left(\mu_{n, \zeta_n}; \zeta_n e^{2\pi i a_1/n}, \dots, \zeta_n e^{2\pi i a_m/n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m = -\infty}^{\infty} \left| \det [S(a_i - j_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 < \beta < 2$, the same result holds if we assume (2.3) and the additional condition

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_n^{-1} \left(z_{jn}^{(\zeta_n)} \right) = o \left(n^{\frac{1}{1-\beta/2}} \right).$$

Remarks

(a) Note that if μ is absolutely continuous on Γ , satisfying there $0 < C_1 \leq \mu' < C_2 < \infty$, then both (2.2) and (2.5) hold for all $\beta > 1$. Indeed in this

case, the sum in the left-hand side of (2.5) is $O(n^2)$. More generally, if $\log \mu' \in L_1(\Gamma)$ and

$$\sup_{e^{i\phi} \in \Gamma_1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\mu'(e^{i\theta}) - \mu'(e^{i\phi})}{\theta - \phi} \right|^2 d\theta < \infty,$$

then (2.3) holds [11, p. 223, Thm. V.4.4].

(b) In the special case $\beta = 2$, the limit (2.4) reduces to the usual universality limit, and the right-hand side of (2.4) equals $\det [S(a_i - a_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m}$.

For ratios of characteristic polynomials, we prove:

Theorem 2.2

Let μ be a regular measure on Γ . Let Γ_1 be an open subarc of Γ and Γ_2 be a compact subarc of Γ_1 . Assume that μ' is positive and continuous in Γ_1 . For $n \geq 1$, let $\zeta_n \in \Gamma_2$ and let μ_{n, ζ_n} be the measure defined by (1.11). Then for $\beta \geq 2$, and real $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$, and real non-integer $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^m$,

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{S(\zeta_n e^{2\pi i a_k/n}; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)}{S(\zeta_n e^{2\pi i b_k/n}; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)} d\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(n)}(\mu; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \\ = \prod_{k=1}^m \left(e^{i\pi(a_k - b_k)} \frac{\sin(\pi a_k)}{\sin(\pi b_k)} \right).$$

We prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in Section 4. Throughout C, C_1, C_2, \dots denote positive constants independent of n, x, t , that are different in different occurrences.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1, COROLLARY 1.2, AND PROPOSITION 1.3

We shall fix n, ζ and abbreviate $z_{j_n}^{(\zeta)}$ as z_j and $\mu_{n, \zeta}$ as μ_n in this section. We also abbreviate $\lambda_n(\mu, z)$ as $\lambda_n(z)$ and $K_n(\mu, z, u)$ as $K_n(z, u)$. We often use

$$(3.1) \quad K_n(z_j, z_k) = 0, \quad j \neq k.$$

Indeed, as $\varphi_n^*(z) = z^n \overline{\varphi_n(z)}$ for $|z| = 1$ and as (1.5) shows that

$$\frac{\varphi_n^*(z_j)}{\varphi_n(z_j)} = \frac{\overline{\varphi_n^*(\zeta)}}{\overline{\varphi_n(\zeta)}} = \frac{\varphi_n^*(z_k)}{\varphi_n(z_k)},$$

so

$$K_n(z_j, z_k) = \frac{\overline{\varphi_n^*(z_k)} \varphi_n^*(z_j) - \overline{\varphi_n(z_k)} \varphi_n(z_j)}{1 - \overline{z_k} z_j} \\ = \frac{\overline{\varphi_n^*(z_k)} z_j^n \overline{\varphi_n(z_j)} - \overline{\varphi_n(z_k)} z_j^n \overline{\varphi_n^*(z_j)}}{1 - \overline{z_k} z_j} = 0.$$

We also use the notations

$$\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n); \quad \mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n); \quad d\mu^{\times n}(\mathbf{t}) = d\mu(t_1) d\mu(t_2) \dots d\mu(t_n)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad D(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) = D(\underline{r}) = \det [K_n(r_i, r_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

Lemma 3.1

$$(3.3) \quad \int \dots \int |V(\underline{t})|^\beta d\mu_n^{\times n}(\underline{t}) = (\kappa_0 \dots \kappa_{n-1})^{-\beta} n! \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_k) \right)^{1-\beta/2}.$$

Proof

We see by taking linear combinations of columns that

$$\kappa_0 \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_n V(\underline{t}) = \det [\varphi_{k-1}(t_j)]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}.$$

Then as the determinant of a matrix equals that of its transpose,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\kappa_0 \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_{n-1})^2 |V(\underline{t})|^2 \\ &= \det [\varphi_{k-1}(t_j)]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \overline{\det [\varphi_{k-1}(t_\ell)]_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n}} \\ &= \det \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_{k-1}(t_j) \overline{\varphi_{k-1}(t_\ell)} \right]_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq n} \\ (3.4) \quad &= \det [K_n(t_j, t_\ell)]_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq n} = D(\underline{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Let (j_1, \dots, j_n) be a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$. Then

$$[\kappa_0 \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_{n-1} |V(z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_n})|^2] = \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, z_{j_\ell})]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq n} = \prod_{j=1}^n K_n(z_j, z_j),$$

by (3.1). Note that this is independent of the permutation (j_1, \dots, j_n) . (Alternatively, this follows as $|V|$ is symmetric in its entries). Then by definition of μ_n , and as $V(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ vanishes unless t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n are distinct,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\kappa_0 \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_{n-1}]^\beta \int \dots \int |V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu_n(t_1) \dots d\mu_n(t_n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_1=1 \\ j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \\ \text{distinct}}}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \left[(\kappa_0 \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_{n-1})^2 |V(z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_n})|^2 \right]^{\beta/2} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_1=1 \\ j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \\ \text{distinct}}}^n \sum_{j_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_k) \right) \left[\prod_{k=1}^n K_n(z_k, z_k) \right]^{\beta/2} \\ &= n! \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_k) \right)^{1-\beta/2}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 3.2

Let $m \geq 2$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $j_{m+1}, j_{m+2}, \dots, j_n$ be distinct indices in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_{m+1}, \dots, j_n\}$. Then

$$D(y_1 \dots y_m, z_{j_{m+1}}, z_{j_{m+2}}, \dots, z_{j_n}) \\ = \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) \right) \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq m} \right|^2.$$

(3.5)

Proof

Using orthogonality, we see that for any $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, and any $u \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$K_n(u, y_\ell) = \int K_n(u, t) K_n(t, y_\ell) d\mu(t).$$

Here, for $|t| = 1$, $K_n(u, t) K_n(t, y_\ell)$ is a Laurent polynomial in t of the form (1.10). The Gauss quadrature formula (1.9) gives

$$(3.6) \quad K_n(u, y_\ell) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_i}) K_n(u, z_{j_i}) K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)$$

since $\{j_1 \dots j_n\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Substituting (3.6) with $u \in \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, z_{j_{m+1}}, \dots, z_{j_n}\}$ in the first m rows of $D = D(y_1 \dots y_m, z_{j_{m+1}}, \dots, z_{j_n})$ and then extracting each of the m sums, gives

$$D = \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_m=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_{i_k}}) K_n(y_k, z_{j_{i_k}}) \right) \times \\ \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, y_1) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, y_m) & K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, z_{j_{m+1}}) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, z_{j_n}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, y_1) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, y_m) & K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, z_{j_{m+1}}) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, z_{j_n}) \\ K_n(z_{j_{m+1}}, y_1) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_{m+1}}, y_m) & K_n(z_{j_{m+1}}, z_{j_{m+1}}) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_{m+1}}, z_{j_n}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_n}, y_1) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_n}, y_m) & K_n(z_{j_n}, z_{j_{m+1}}) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_n}, z_{j_n}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We see that this last determinant vanishes unless $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ (for if not, two rows of the determinant are identical). When $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\} =$

$\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, the determinant in the last equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, y_m) & & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, y_m) & & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ K_n(z_{j_{m+1}}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_{m+1}}, y_m) & K_n(z_{j_{m+1}}, z_{j_{m+1}}) & \cdots & & 0 \\ & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_n}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_n}, y_m) & & 0 & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_n}, z_{j_n}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_{i_1}}, y_m) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_{i_m}}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) \\
&= \varepsilon_\sigma \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_1}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_1}, y_m) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_m}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_m}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}),
\end{aligned}$$

where ε_σ denotes the sign of the permutation $\sigma = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, that is $i_j = \sigma(j)$ for each j , $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= \sum_{\substack{i_1=1 \\ \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{i_m=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_{i_k}}) K_n(y_k, z_{j_{i_k}}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) \right) \times \\
&\quad \times \varepsilon_\sigma \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_1}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_1}, y_m) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_m}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_m}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) \right) \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_1}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_1}, y_m) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_m}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_m}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_\sigma \prod_{k=1}^m K_n(y_k, z_{j_{\sigma(k)}}) \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) \right) \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq m} \det [K_n(y_\ell, z_{j_i})]_{1 \leq \ell, i \leq m} \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) \right) \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq m} \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first deal with the numerator in $R_n^{m, \beta}$ defined by (1.4), but multiplied

by $(\kappa_0 \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_{n-1})^\beta$. Using the definition (1.11) of μ_n , the identity (3.4), and then Lemma 3.2,

(3.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
I &: = (\kappa_0 \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_{n-1})^\beta \int \dots \int |V(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu_n(t_{m+1}) \dots d\mu_n(t_n) \\
&= \sum_{j_{m+1}=1}^n \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \\
&\quad \times |D(y_1, \dots, y_m, z_{j_{m+1}}, z_{j_{m+2}}, \dots, z_{j_n})|^{\beta/2} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{j_{m+1}=1 \\ j_{m+1} \dots j_n \text{ distinct}}}^n \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right) \left(\prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) \right) \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq m} \right|^2 \right\}^{\beta/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_{m+1}, \dots, j_n\}$. Because of the symmetry in this last expression, it is the same as it would be if $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m$. Moreover, once we have chosen j_1, \dots, j_m , there are $(n-m)!$ choices for $\{j_{m+1}, \dots, j_n\}$ (not ordered in increasing size). Also

$$\prod_{k=m+1}^n K_n(z_{j_k}, z_{j_k}) = \prod_{k=m+1}^n \lambda_n^{-1}(z_{j_k}) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n^{-1}(z_k) \right) \prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= (n-m)! \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_k) \right\}^{1-\beta/2} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m \leq n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right)^{\beta-1} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq m} \right|^\beta \\
&= \frac{(n-m)!}{m!} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_k) \right\}^{1-\beta/2} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \leq n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right)^{\beta-1} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq m} \right|^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (1.4), (3.3), and our definition (3.7) of I give

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_n^{m,\beta}(\mu_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \\
&= \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \frac{\int \dots \int |V(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu_n(t_{m+1}) \dots d\mu_n(t_n)}{\int \dots \int |V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu_n(t_1) \dots d\mu_n(t_n)} \\
&= \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \frac{I}{(\kappa_0 \dots \kappa_{n-1})^\beta \int \dots \int |V(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)|^\beta d\mu_n(t_1) \dots d\mu_n(t_n)} \\
&= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \leq n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \right)^{\beta-1} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_\ell)]_{1 \leq i, \ell \leq m} \right|^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Proof of Corollary 1.2

For $\beta = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |V(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)|^2 \\
&= V(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n) \overline{V(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)}
\end{aligned}$$

is a Laurent polynomial of form (1.10) in $t_{m+1}, t_{m+2}, \dots, t_n \in \Gamma$. Similarly for $|V(t_1, \dots, t_n)|^2$. Then the Gauss quadrature formula (1.9) gives the first equality in (1.14). Next for $\beta = 2$, (1.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \leq n} \prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k}) \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i}, y_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{m!} \int \dots \int |\det [K_n(t_i, y_j)]|^2 d\mu(t_1) d\mu(t_2) \dots d\mu(t_m),
\end{aligned}$$

by repeated application of (1.9). It is well known that this integral equals $\det [K_n(y_i, y_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$, but we provide the details. Let σ, η denote permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, m)$ with signs $\varepsilon_\sigma, \varepsilon_\eta$. We continue the above as

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_\sigma \sum_\eta \varepsilon_\sigma \varepsilon_\eta \int \dots \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^m K_n(t_i, y_{\sigma(i)}) \right) \overline{\left(\prod_{i=1}^m K_n(t_i, y_{\eta(i)}) \right)} d\mu(t_1) \dots d\mu(t_m) \\
&= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_\sigma \sum_\eta \varepsilon_\sigma \varepsilon_\eta \prod_{i=1}^m K_n(y_{\eta(i)}, y_{\sigma(i)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_\sigma \sum_\eta \varepsilon_{\sigma \circ \eta^{-1}} \prod_{j=1}^m K_n(y_j, y_{\sigma \circ \eta^{-1}(j)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_\sigma \det [K_n(y_i, y_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} = \det [K_n(y_i, y_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, we used $\varepsilon_\sigma \varepsilon_\eta = \varepsilon_{\sigma \circ \eta^{-1}}$, and that $\sigma \circ \eta^{-1}$ runs through all permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, m)$ as η does. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.3

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) d\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(n)}(\mu; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{Z_n} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_{i_k}) \right) f(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, \dots, z_{i_n}) |V(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, \dots, z_{i_n})|^\beta \\
&= \frac{f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{Z_n} \sum_{\substack{i_1=1 \ i_2=1 \ \dots \ i_n=1 \\ \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_n(z_{i_k}) \right) f(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, \dots, z_{i_n}) |V(z_{i_1}, z_{i_2}, \dots, z_{i_n})|^\beta \\
&= f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n),
\end{aligned}$$

by the symmetry of f , and as $V = 0$ unless all its arguments are distinct. Thus we have (1.15). Since

$$K_n(z, \zeta) = \text{Constant} \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j)}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}},$$

(1.16) also follows. ■

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1 AND 2.2

In this section, we assume μ is as in Theorem 2.1, except that we don't assume (2.2) or (2.3). For $n \geq 1$, let $\zeta^{(n)} \in \Gamma_2$, and let

$$(4.1) \quad z_{0n}^{(\zeta_n)} = \zeta_n = e^{i\theta_{0n}}$$

and write $z_{kn}^{(\zeta_n)} = e^{i\theta_{jn}}$ for other k . It is important to note that in the sequel, k no longer runs from 1 to n , so there is a notational change from (1.9) and (1.11). Rather, as we center our indexing around $z_{0n}^{(\zeta_n)} = \zeta_n$, the index k may now take both positive and negative values, and

$$(4.2) \quad -\pi \leq \dots < \theta_{-1,n} < \theta_{0n} < \theta_{1n} < \theta_{2n} < \dots \leq \pi$$

Of course there are only n distinct θ_{kn} , so the sequence terminates on both sides. Throughout Γ_1, Γ_2 and Γ_3 are as in Theorem 2.1. We also continue to abbreviate $\lambda_n(\mu, z)$ as $\lambda_n(z)$, etc. We begin with

Lemma 4.1

(a) *Uniformly for a, b in compact subsets of \mathbb{C} , we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_n\left(\zeta_n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi ia}{n}\right), \zeta_n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi ib}{n}\right)\right)}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)} = e^{i\pi(a-b)} S(a-b).$$

(b) *Uniformly for $\zeta \in \overline{\Gamma_1}$,*

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\lambda_n(\zeta) = 2\pi\mu'(\zeta).$$

Moreover, there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for $n \geq 1$ and all $\zeta \in \overline{\Gamma_1}$,

$$(4.5) \quad C_1 \leq n\lambda_n(\zeta) \leq C_2.$$

(c) There exists $C_3 > 0$ such that for all n, j with $e^{i\theta_{jn}}, e^{i\theta_{j-1,n}} \in \Gamma_2$,

$$(4.6) \quad \theta_{jn} - \theta_{j-1,n} \geq C_3/n.$$

(d) For each integer j ,

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\theta_{jn} - \theta_{0n}) \frac{n}{2\pi} = j.$$

Proof

(a) This is proved in [12, p. 559, Theorem 6.3], in the form

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_n \left(e^{i\theta} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi ia}{n} \right), e^{i\theta} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi ib}{n} \right) \right)}{K_n(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta})} = e^{i\pi(a-b)} S(a-b),$$

uniformly for a, b in compact subsets of the plane and $e^{i\theta} \in \overline{\Gamma_1}$. Since

$$e^{2\pi ia} = 1 + \frac{2\pi ia}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right),$$

the uniformity of the convergence in a, b , gives the result.

(b) See for example, Theorem 3.1 in [12, Theorem 3.1, p. 549]. Much more general asymptotics are known [17].

(c) We need the fundamental polynomial ℓ_{kn} of Lagrange interpolation that satisfies

$$\ell_{kn}(z_{jn}) = \delta_{jk}.$$

One well known representation of ℓ_{kn} , which follows from (3.1) above, is

$$\ell_{kn}(z) = K_n(z, z_{kn}) / K_n(z_{kn}, z_{kn}).$$

Assume that $z_{jn}, z_{j-1,n} \in \Gamma_2$. Then

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &= \ell_{jn}(z_{jn}) - \ell_{jn}(z_{j-1,n}) \\ &= \int_{\theta_{j-1,n}}^{\theta_{j,n}} \ell'_{jn}(e^{it}) i e^{it} dt \\ &\leq Cn \sup_{\zeta \in \overline{\Gamma_1}} |\ell_{jn}(\zeta)| (\theta_{jn} - \theta_{j-1,n}), \end{aligned}$$

by Videnskii's inequality for the derivative of a polynomial on an arc of the circle - see, for example [4, p. 243]. Here for $\zeta \in \overline{\Gamma_1}$, our bounds on the Christoffel function, and Cauchy-Schwarz give

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell_{jn}(\zeta)| &= |K_n(\zeta, z_{jn})| / K_n(z_{jn}, z_{jn}) \\ &\leq K_n(\zeta, \zeta)^{1/2} K_n(z_{jn}, z_{jn})^{1/2} / K_n(z_{jn}, z_{jn}) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

by (4.5). Then (4.6) follows from (4.8).

(d) The functions

$$f_n(a) = \frac{K_n\left(\zeta_n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi ia}{n}\right), \zeta_n\right)}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)}, n \geq 1,$$

are entire and satisfy, uniformly for a in compact subsets of the plane,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(a) = e^{i\pi a} S(a).$$

Hurwitz' Theorem on zeros of uniformly convergent sequences of analytic functions, shows that the only zeros of f_n are zeros $a_{j,n}$, with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{j,n} = j, \quad j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots .$$

As the only zeros of $K_n(\cdot, \zeta_n)$ are $z_{jn} = e^{i\theta_{jn}} = \zeta_n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i a_{j,n}}{n}\right)$, we deduce that

$$\theta_{jn} - \theta_{0n} = \frac{2\pi a_{j,n}}{n} = \frac{2\pi j}{n} (1 + o(1)).$$

■

We now analyze the main part of the sum in (1.13). Recall that we have changed the range of the indices of summation j_i , which now takes both positive and negative values, with $j_i = 0$ corresponding to $z_{0n} = \zeta_n = e^{i\theta_{0n}}$. In particular in (1.13), instead of $1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \leq n$, the j_i now take positive and negative values.

Lemma 4.2

Assume that for $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$(4.9) \quad y_k = y_k(n) = \zeta_n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i a_{n,k}}{n}\right),$$

where for $1 \leq k \leq m$, $a_{n,k} \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} = a_k,$$

and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m are fixed. Then for each fixed positive integer L ,

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|j_1|, |j_2|, \dots, |j_m| \leq L} \frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})\right)^{\beta-1}}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)^m} \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_1) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_1) & \dots & K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \right|^{\beta}$$

$$= \sum_{|j_1|, |j_2|, \dots, |j_m| \leq L} |\det(S(j_i - a_k))|^{\beta}.$$

Proof

Note that for each fixed j , Lemma 4.1(b), (d), and the uniform continuity of μ' give

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{K_n(z_{jn}, z_{jn})}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)} = 1 + o(1).$$

Moreover,

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{K_n(z_{jn}, y_k)}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)} = \frac{K_n\left(\zeta_n e^{\frac{2\pi i j(1+o(1))}{n}}, \zeta_n e^{\frac{2\pi i a_k(1+o(1))}{n}}\right)}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)} = e^{\pi i(j-a_k)} S(j-a_k) + o(1),$$

because of the uniform convergence in Lemma 4.1(a). Hence, for each m -tuple of integers j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m ,

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)^m} \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \right| \\ &= \left| \det \left[e^{\pi i(j_i - a_k)} S(j_i - a_k) \right]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right| + o(1) \\ &= \left| \det [S(j_i - a_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right| + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Then using (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|j_1|, |j_2|, \dots, |j_m| \leq L} \frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n}) \right)^{\beta-1}}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)^m} \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \right|^{\beta} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \sum_{|j_1|, |j_2|, \dots, |j_m| \leq L} K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)^{-m\beta} \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_1 n}, y_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_1) & \cdots & K_n(z_{j_m n}, y_m) \end{bmatrix} \right|^{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows from (4.13). ■

Now we estimate the tail. We assume (4.9) throughout. First we deal with the (known) case $\beta = 2$:

Lemma 4.3

As $L \rightarrow \infty$,

(4.14)

$$T_{L,2} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m): \\ \max_i |j_i| > L}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)^m} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof

Recall that from Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_m} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})}{K_n(\xi, \xi)^m} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^2 \\ &= \det \left[\frac{K_n(y_i, y_j)}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \\ &\rightarrow \det [S(a_i - a_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the limit (4.3). Moreover, from the proof of Corollary 1.4 in [16, p. 162],

$$\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_m = -\infty}^{\infty} \left| \det [S(a_i - a_{j_k})]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^2 = \det [S(a_i - a_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_m} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})}{K_n(\xi, \xi)^m} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j_1 \dots j_m = -\infty}^{\infty} \left| \det [S(a_i - a_{j_k})]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can apply (4.10), and use the convergence of series in the last right-hand side. ■

Next we handle the case $\beta > 2$:

Lemma 4.4

Let $\beta > 2$. Assume all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, except (2.2) and (2.3). Instead of those, assume

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{\zeta \in \Gamma, u \in \Gamma_3} \lambda_n(\zeta) |K_n(\zeta, u)| \leq C, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then as $L \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(4.16) \quad T_{L, \beta} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m): \\ \max_i |j_i| > L}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1}}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)^m} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^\beta \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, (4.15) holds when (2.2) or (2.3) holds.

Proof

We see that

$$(4.17) \quad T_{L,\beta} \leq T_{L,2} \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m): \\ \max_i |j_i| > L}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n}) \right] \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right| \right\}^{\beta-2},$$

where by Lemma 4.3, $T_{L,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Next, if σ denotes a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_i n}) \right] \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_i n}) |K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_{\sigma(i)})| \\ & \leq m! \left(\sup_{\zeta \in \Gamma, u \in \Gamma_3} \lambda_n(\zeta) |K_n(\zeta, u)| \right)^m \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

by our hypothesis (4.15). Combined with (4.17), this gives the result. We turn to proving (4.15) under (2.2) or (2.3). Suppose first (2.2) holds. Then for $\zeta \in \Gamma, u \in \Gamma_3$,

$$\lambda_n(\zeta) |K_n(\zeta, u)| \leq \lambda_n(\zeta) K_n(\zeta, \zeta)^{1/2} K_n(u, u)^{1/2} \leq C,$$

by (4.5). So (4.15) holds in this case. Next, suppose (2.3) holds. We still have (4.5) for $\zeta \in \Gamma_1, u \in \Gamma_3$, so this last argument gives the requisite bound in this case. Now suppose $\zeta \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1, u \in \Gamma_3$, so that $|\zeta - u| \geq C$. From the Christoffel-Darboux formula (1.6),

$$(4.18) \quad |K_n(\zeta, u)| \leq 2 \frac{|\varphi_{n-1}(\zeta)| |\varphi_{n-1}(u)|}{|\zeta - u|}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(\zeta) |K_n(\zeta, u)| & \leq C \lambda_n(\zeta) |\varphi_{n-1}(\zeta)| |\varphi_{n-1}(u)| \\ & \leq C \lambda_n^{1/2}(\zeta) |\varphi_{n-1}(u)| \leq C \lambda_1^{1/2}(\zeta) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.3). So we still have (4.15). ■

The case $\beta < 2$ is more difficult:

Lemma 4.5

Assume all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, including (2.3) and (2.5). Let $1 < \beta < 2$. Then as $L \rightarrow \infty$, (4.16) holds.

Proof

Each term in $T_{L,\beta}$ has the form

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1}}{K_n(\zeta_n, \zeta_n)^m} \left| \det [K_n(z_{j_i n}, y_k)]_{1 \leq i, k \leq m} \right|^\beta \\ \leq \frac{C}{n^m} \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{k=1}^m \left(\lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |K_n(z_{j_k n}, y_{\sigma(k)})|^\beta \right),$$

Here the sum is over all permutations σ of $(1, 2, \dots, m)$. If first $z_{j_k n} \in \Gamma_2$, then by the estimate (4.5) for λ_n , and by (4.18),

$$\frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |K_n(z_{j_k n}, y_{\sigma(k)})|^\beta \\ \leq \frac{C}{n^\beta} \frac{|\varphi_n(z_{j_k n}) \varphi_n(y_{\sigma(k)})|^\beta}{|z_{j_k n} - y_{\sigma(k)}|^\beta} \\ \leq \frac{C}{(n |z_{j_k n} - y_{\sigma(k)}|)^\beta},$$

by our bound (2.3) on φ_n . Here, recalling (4.9),

$$|z_{j_k n} - y_{\sigma(k)}| = \left| z_{j_k n} - \xi \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a_{n,\sigma(k)}}{n}\right) \right) \right| \\ \geq C_1 \frac{|j_k|}{n} - C_2 \frac{\max_i |a_i|}{n},$$

by (4.6). It follows that there exists $B > 0$ depending only on $\max_i |a_i|$ such that for $|j_k| \geq B$,

$$|z_{j_k n} - y_{\sigma(k)}| \geq C_3 \frac{|j_k|}{n}.$$

In particular, B is independent of L and n . Then for $|j_k| \geq B$, and $z_{j_k n} \in \Gamma_2$,

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |K_n(z_{j_k n}, y_{\sigma(k)})|^\beta \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |j_k|)^\beta}.$$

Now if $|j_k| \leq B$, we can just use our bound (4.5) on λ_n and Cauchy-Schwarz to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |K_n(z_{j_k n}, y_{\sigma(k)})|^\beta \leq C \frac{1}{n^\beta} n^\beta \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |j_k|)^\beta}.$$

Thus again (4.20) holds, so we have (4.20) for all j_k with $z_{j_k n} \in \Gamma_2$. Next if $z_{j_k n} \notin \Gamma_2$, then $|z_{j_k n} - y_{\sigma(k)}| \geq C$, so

$$\frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |K_n(z_{j_k n}, y_{\sigma(k)})|^\beta \\ \leq \frac{C}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |\varphi_n(z_{j_k n}) \varphi_n(y_{\sigma(k)})|^\beta \\ \leq \frac{C}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |\varphi_n(z_{j_k n})|^\beta,$$

by (2.3). Note that there is no dependence on σ in the bound in this last inequality nor in (4.20). Then

$$T_{L,\beta} \leq C \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m): \\ \max_i |j_i| > L}} \left(\prod_{z_{j_k n} \in \Gamma_2} (1 + |j_k|)^{-\beta} \right) \prod_{z_{j_k n} \notin \Gamma_2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_k n})^{\beta-1} |\varphi_n(z_{j_k n})|^\beta \right).$$

We can bound this above by a sum of m terms, such that in the k th term, the index j_k exceeds L in absolute value, while all remaining indices may assume any integer value. As each such term is identical, we may assume that j_1 is the index with $|j_1| \geq L$, and deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{L,\beta} &\leq C \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{|j_1| \geq L} (1 + |j_1|)^{-\beta} + \sum_{z_{j_1 n} \notin \Gamma_2} \frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_1 n})^{\beta-1} |\varphi_n(z_{j_1 n})|^\beta \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |j|)^{-\beta} + \sum_{z_{j_1 n} \notin \Gamma_2} \frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_1 n})^{\beta-1} |\varphi_n(z_{j_1 n})|^\beta \right)^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here by Hölder's inequality with parameters $p = \frac{2}{\beta}$ and $q = \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{z_{j_1 n} \notin \Gamma_2} \frac{1}{n} \lambda_n(z_{j_1 n})^{\beta-1} |\varphi_n(z_{j_1 n})|^\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j_1} \left(\lambda_n(z_{j_1 n}) |\varphi_n(z_{j_1 n})|^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \lambda_n(z_{j_1 n})^{\beta/2-1} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \left(\sum_{j_1} \lambda_n(z_{j_1 n}) |\varphi_n(z_{j_1 n})|^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \left(\sum_{j_1} \lambda_n(z_{j_1 n})^{-1} \right)^{1-\beta/2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \left(\sum_{j_1} \lambda_n(z_{j_1 n})^{-1} \right)^{1-\beta/2} = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

by our hypothesis (2.5). Thus

$$T_{L,\beta} \leq CL^{1-\beta},$$

and the lemma follows. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1

This follows directly from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4 for $\beta > 2$, and from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5 for $\beta < 2$: we can choose L so large that the tail in Lemma 4.4 or 4.5 is as small as we please. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2

By Lemma 4.1(a), for $1 \leq k \leq m$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{K_n(\zeta_n e^{2\pi i a_k/n}, \zeta_n) (1 - (\zeta_n e^{2\pi i a_k/n}) \bar{\zeta}_n)}{K_n(\zeta_n e^{2\pi i b_k/n}, \zeta_n) (1 - (\zeta_n e^{2\pi i b_k/n}) \bar{\zeta}_n)} \\ &= \frac{e^{i\pi a_k} S(a_k)}{e^{i\pi b_k} S(b_k)} (1 + o(1)) \frac{e^{i\pi a_k/n} \sin(\pi a_k/n)}{e^{i\pi b_k/n} \sin(\pi b_k/n)} \\ &= \frac{e^{i\pi a_k} \sin(\pi a_k)}{e^{i\pi b_k} \sin(\pi b_k)} (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply (1.16) of Proposition 1.3. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, O. Zeitouni, *An Introduction to Random Matrices*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [2] J. Baik, P. Deift, E. Strahov, *Products and ratios of characteristic polynomials of random Hermitian matrices. Integrability, topological solitons and beyond*, J. Math. Phys. 44 (2003), 3657–3670.
- [3] J. Baik, T.Kriecherbauer, K.McLaughlin and P.Miller, *Discrete orthogonal polynomials. Asymptotics and applications*, Annals of Math Studies, Vol. 164, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ 2007.
- [4] P. Borwein, T. Erdelyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1995.
- [5] P. Bourgade, L. Erdos, H-T Yau, *Universality of General β -Ensembles*, manuscript.
- [6] J. Breuer, E. Strahov, *A Universality Theorem for ratios of Random Characteristic Polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, 164(2012), 803-814.
- [7] P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, Courant Institute Lecture Notes, Vol. 3, New York University Pres, New York, 1999.
- [8] P. Deift, D. Gioev, *Random Matrix Theory: Invariant Ensembles and Universality*, Courant Institute Lecture Notes, Vol. 18, New York University Pres, New York, 2009.
- [9] P. Desrosiers and D-Z Liu, *Asymptotics for products of Characteristic Polynomials in Classical β -Ensembles*, manuscript.
- [10] P. Forrester, *Log-Gases and Random Matrices*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2010.
- [11] G. Freud, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Pergamon Press/ Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1971.
- [12] Eli Levin and D.S. Lubinsky, *Universality Limits Involving Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle*, Comp. Methods Func. Theory, 7(2008), 543-561.
- [13] Eli Levin and Doron Lubinsky, *Universality Limits in the bulk for varying measures*, Advances in Mathematics, 219(2008), 743-779.
- [14] D.S. Lubinsky, *A New Approach to Universality Limits involving Orthogonal Polynomials*, Annals of Mathematics, 170(2009), 915-939.
- [15] D.S. Lubinsky, *Bulk Universality Holds in Measure for Compactly Supported Measures*, Journal d'Analyse Math, 116(2012), 219-253.
- [16] D.S. Lubinsky, *Discrete beta ensembles based on Gauss quadratures*, Contemporary Mathematics, 578(2012), 143-163.
- [17] A. Maté, P. Nevai, V. Totik, *Szegő's Extremum Problem on the Unit Circle*, Annals of Mathematics, 134(1991), 433-453.
- [18] S. Matsumoto, *Moments of characteristic polynomials of a random matrix associated with compact symmetric spaces*, (in) Infinite dimensional harmonic analysis IV, 196–208, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2009.

- [19] L. Pastur, M. Shcherbina, *Eigenvalue Distribution of Large Random matrices*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 171, American Math. Soc., Providence, 2010.
- [20] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle*, Vol. 1,, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publns., Vol. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2005.
- [21] B. Simon, *Two Extensions of Lubinsky's Universality Theorem*, J. d'Analyse Mathématique, 105 (2008), 345-362.
- [22] C. Sinclair, *Ensemble Averages when β is a Square Integer*, Monatsh. Math. 166(2012), 121-144.
- [23] H. Stahl and V. Totik, *General Orthogonal Polynomials*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [24] V. Totik, *Asymptotics for Christoffel Functions for General Measures on the Real Line*, J. d' Analyse de Mathématique, 81(2000), 283-303.
- [25] V. Totik, *Universality and fine zero spacing on general sets*, Arkiv för Matematik, 47(2009), 361-391.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160

E-mail address: `lubinsky@math.gatech.edu`