Asymptotics for Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials for Exponential Weights

J. S. Geronimo[1], D. S. Lubinsky[2], F. Marcellan[3]
[1,2]School of Mathematics,
Georgia Institute of Technology,
Atlanta, GA 30332-0160,
USA.
lubinsky@math.gatech.edu
geronimo@math.gatech.edu
[3]Departamento de Matematicas,
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid,
Spain.
pacomarc@ing.uc3m.es

June 1, 2004

Abstract

Let $\lambda > 0, \alpha > 1$ and $W(x) = \exp(-|x|^\alpha)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\psi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be positive on a set of positive measure. For $n \geq 1$, one may form Sobolev orthonormal polynomials (q_n), associated with the Sobolev inner product

$$(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} fg(\psi W)^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'g'W^2.$$

We establish strong asymptotics for the (q_n) in terms of the ordinary orthonormal polynomials (p_n) for the weight W^2, on and off the real line. More generally, we establish a close asymptotic relationship between (p_n) and (q_n) for exponential weights $W = \exp(-Q)$ on a real interval I, under mild conditions on Q. The method is new and will apply to many situations beyond that treated in this paper.

1 Introduction

Let

$$I = (c,d)$$

be a real interval, finite or infinite, and let $Q : I \to [0, \infty)$ be convex. We also assume that $Q(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to c+$ or $x \to d-$. The weight

$$W = \exp(-Q)$$

is then called an *exponential weight.* Provided all power moments exist, we may define orthonormal polynomials

$$p_n(x) = p_n\left(W^2; x\right), n \geq 0,$$

satisfying

$$\int_I p_n p_m W^2 = \delta_{mn}.$$

The leading coefficient of p_n is denoted $\gamma_n = \gamma_n\left(W^2\right)$ and assumed positive:

$$p_n\left(W^2; x\right) = \gamma_n\left(W^2\right) x^n + ..., \gamma_n\left(W^2\right) > 0.$$

Analysis of orthonormal polynomials for exponential weights has been a major theme in orthogonal polynomials for at least the last thirty years [4], [5], [9], [12], [14], [15], [18], [24], [28], [33]. Asymptotics for $p_n(x)$ as the degree $n \to \infty$ have been established for large classes of exponential weights, including

$$W_\alpha(x) = \exp\left(-|x|^\alpha\right) \text{ on } I = \mathbb{R},$$

for any $\alpha > 0$. The case $\alpha = 2$ is the classical Hermite weight. See for example [14], [15], [32].

Another theme in orthogonal polynomials that is attracting much interest, is that of Sobolev orthogonal polynomials (q_n) associated with a Sobolev inner product. Let W be a weight as above, and $\psi : I \to [0, \infty)$ be measurable, and positive on a set of positive measure. Let $\lambda > 0$, and define the Sobolev inner product

$$(f, g) = \int_I f g \psi^2 W^2 + \lambda \int_I f' g' W^2, \tag{1}$$

for all functions f, g for which the inner product is meaningful. Provided all monomials are integrable with respect to W^2 and $\psi^2 W^2$, this inner product generates orthonormal polynomials (q_n) satisfying

$$(q_n, q_m) = \delta_{mn}. \tag{2}$$

We shall denote the leading coefficient of q_n by κ_n, so that

$$q_n(x) = \kappa_n x^n + ..., \kappa_n > 0.$$

The leading coefficient κ_n admits a key extremal property

$$\kappa_n^{-2} = \inf \{(P, P) : P \text{ monic of degree } n\}. \tag{3}$$

Sobolev orthogonal polynomials have found application in a number of contexts. See for instance the survey papers [19], [23], as well as [16]. In particular in [13], a study of Fourier series of Sobolev orthogonal polynomials was initiated for smooth functions. Legendre and Sobolev-Legendre Fourier series were compared numerically. The measures involved in the inner product satisfied a simple algebraic relation, and were close to classical (Jacobi and Legendre) measures. Consequently, the analytical properties of the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials could be determined from those of classical orthogonal polynomials. This investigation was continued in [23] for the bounded (Jacobi) case and in [20] for the unbounded Laguerre case.

For more general measures with bounded support, a key contribution was given by Martinez-Finkelshtein [22]. There the support of the measures is a C^{2+} Jordan curve, and the main requirement is that the measure in the derivative term satisfies a Szegö condition, while the other measure is arbitrary. Only the derivative part of the inner product has a significant impact on the asymptotic behaviour of the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials. Indeed q_n' behaves very much like a multiple of p_{n-1} in the exterior domain of the curve. If the first measure also belongs to the Szegö class, then there are relative asymptotics for q_n in terms of p_n in the exterior domain of the curve.

In the unbounded case, the first example different from the Laguerre case, was considered in [1], with

$$W(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \text{ and } \psi(x) = \sqrt{x^2 + \zeta^2} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}.$$

Ratio asymptotics were given for q_n in terms of the Hermite polynomials. An interesting feature is that the asymptotics depend on the parameter λ, a notable difference from the bounded case. For

$$W(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^4\right) \text{ and } \psi(x) = 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R},$$

a similar approach was taken in [3], using known asymptotics for Freud orthogonal polynomials.

In this paper, we shall see that q_n' behaves like $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}$ for fairly general weights on an unbounded interval, but some growth restriction on ψ is necessary. If ψ grows too fast at ∞, then the first term in the inner product

will swamp the derivative term. Our first result involves Freud weights, and for these, we need to define the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff number [25], [26], [31]: for Q even and convex on $\mathbb{R}$, and for $n \geq 1$, we let a_n denote the positive root of the equation

$$n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 a_n t Q'(a_n t) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}.$$

For example, if $Q(x) = |x|^\alpha$, then

$$a_n = Cn^{1/\alpha}, n \geq 1,$$

where the constant C may be expressed in terms of gamma functions. We note that in all the cases that we consider,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = 0.$$

We also need the conformal map

$$\phi(z) = z + \sqrt{z^2-1}, z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash[-1,1],$$

of the exterior of $[-1,1]$ onto the exterior of the unit disk, and the Szegö function [34] of a measurable function $f : [-\pi,\pi] \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying Szegö's condition

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f(\theta)\, d\theta > -\infty. \tag{4}$$

It is defined by

$$D(f;z) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f\left(e^{it}\right) \frac{e^{it}+z}{e^{it}-z} dt\right), |z| < 1, \tag{5}$$

and has boundary values on the unit circle that satisfy

$$\left|D\left(f;e^{i\theta}\right)\right|^2 = f(\theta) \text{ a.e. } \theta \in [-\pi,\pi].$$

We shall also need the argument of $D\left(f;e^{i\theta}\right)$ on the unit circle. We write (whenever meaningful),

$$D\left(f;e^{i\theta}\right) = f(\theta)^{1/2} \exp\left(i\Gamma(f;\theta)\right).$$

An explicit representation for $\Gamma(f;\theta)$ is

$$\Gamma(f;\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} PV \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log f(t) \cot\left(\frac{\theta-t}{2}\right) dt,$$

where PV denotes Cauchy principal value integral.

Theorem 1.1
Let $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be even and continuous in $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that Q'' is continuous in $(0,\infty)$, and $Q' > 0$ in $(0,\infty)$. Assume furthermore that for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$,

$$\alpha \leq \frac{xQ''(x)}{Q'(x)} \leq \beta, x \in (0,\infty). \tag{6}$$

Let $W = e^{-Q}$, and let (p_n) denote the orthonormal polynomials for W^2. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\psi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be positive on a set of positive measure. Let (q_n) denote the orthonormal polynomials associated with the Sobolev inner product (1). Then as $n \to \infty$,
(I)

$$\| \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} = O\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right) = o(1) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\| \left(1 + |Q'|\right) \left(q_n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^x p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}\right). \tag{8}$$

(II)

$$\| \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}\right) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\| \left(1 + |Q'|\right) \left(q_n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^x p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}\right). \tag{10}$$

(III) Let

$$W_n(\theta) = W(a_n \cos\theta), \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]. \tag{11}$$

Uniformly in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,1]$,

$$\left| \frac{\left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} \right)(a_n z)}{\phi(z)^{n-1} D^{-2}\left(W_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)} \right| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}\right). \tag{12}$$

Uniformly in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,1]$,

$$\left| \frac{\left(q_n(a_n z) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^{a_n z} p_{n-1} \right)}{\phi(z)^n D^{-2}\left(W_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)} \right| = O\left(\frac{a_n^{3/2}}{n^2}\right). \tag{13}$$

Remarks
(a) The condition (6) allows

$$Q(x) = |x|^{\alpha}$$

if $\alpha > 1$.
(b) What is surprising is the degree of closeness of q_n' to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}$. The L_2 asymptotics are sufficiently strong to imply the uniform bound in (II) with the aid of a simple Nikolskii inequality.
(c) The result holds assuming less smoothness of Q, namely for Freud weights in the class $\mathcal{F}(Dini)$, defined in [15]. Likewise the corollary below holds for Freud weights in the class $\mathcal{F}\left(lip\frac{1}{2}\right)$, defined in [15]. One may also allow non-Freud Q, the chief requirement being that for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{xQ'(x)}{Q(x)} = O\left(Q(x)^{\varepsilon}\right)$$

as x approaches the endpoints of the interval of orthogonality. However, the formulations become more technical, so we omit them.
(d) We note that one can replace $\int_0^x p_{n-1}$ by $p_n \gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right) / \left(n\gamma_n\left(W^2\right)\right)$ in (8), but with a worse error term. This may be achieved with the aid of Lemma 2.3 below.
(e) We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 5. Because of known asymptotics for (p_n) [15] on and off the real line, we can deduce:

Corollary 1.2
(a) *As* $n \to \infty$,

$$\kappa_n = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}} \left(\frac{a_n}{2}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{Q(a_n s)}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} ds\right) (1 + o(1)). \tag{14}$$

(b) *We have as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} | \sqrt{\lambda a_n} q_n'(a_n x) W(a_n x)$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}}{(1-x^2)^{1/4}} \cos\left[(n - \frac{1}{2}) \arccos x + 2\Gamma(W_n; \arccos x) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right] |^2 \, dx = o(1). \tag{15}$$

(c) Uniformly for z in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}\backslash[-1,1]$, we have as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sqrt{\lambda a_n} q_n'(a_n z) / \left\{ \phi(z)^{n-1} D^{-2}(W_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)})(1-\phi(z)^{-2})^{-1/2} \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(1+o(1)). \tag{16}$$

(d) There exists $\eta > 0$ such that as $n \to \infty$, we have uniformly for $|x| \leq 1 - n^{-\eta}, x = \cos\theta$,

$$\sqrt{\lambda a_n} q_n'(a_n x) W(a_n x)(1-x^2)^{1/4}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left((n-\frac{1}{2})\theta + 2\Gamma(W_n;\theta) - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + O(n^{-\eta}) \tag{17}$$

We note that for $\exp(-|x|^\alpha), \alpha > 1$, more precise asymptotics follow from results of Kriecherbauer and McLaughlin [14]. For example, one can give asymptotics for q_n' even around the endpoints $\pm a_n$ of the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff interval.

For a fairly general class of weights, for which asymptotics for the polynomials (p_n) have not been established, we can at least prove that q_n' behaves like $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}$. To state part of the asymptotics, we need the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers for a non-symmetric interval or non-even Q, generalizing that above [15, p. 13], [33, Theorem 1.11, p. 201]. For Q convex on a (not necessarily symmetric) interval I, there are unique numbers $a_{\pm n}$, the so-called Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers $a_{\pm n}$, satisfying

$$c < a_{-n} < 0 < a_n < d$$

and

$$n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n}}^{a_n} \frac{x Q'(x)}{\sqrt{(x-a_{-n})(a_n - x)}} dx; \tag{18}$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{-n}}^{a_n} \frac{Q'(x)}{\sqrt{(x-a_{-n})(a_n - x)}} dx.$$

We also let

$$\delta_n = \frac{1}{2}(a_n + |a_{-n}|), \tag{19}$$

and let L_n denote the linear map of $[a_{-n}, a_n]$ onto $[-1,1]$ so that

$$L_n(z) = -1 + \frac{z - a_{-n}}{\delta_n}. \tag{20}$$

Its inverse linear map is denoted $L_n^{[-1]}$. Amongst the properties of $a_{\pm n}$ is the Mhaskar-Saff identity [24], [25], [26], [33]

$$\|PW\|_{L_\infty(I)} = \|PW\|_{L_\infty[a_{-n},a_n]},$$

valid for polynomials P of degree $\leq n$.

Theorem 1.3
Let $I = (c,d)$ be a finite or infinite interval containing 0. Let $Q : I \to [0,\infty)$ and let Q' be an increasing absolutely continuous function in I, with $Q'(0) = 0 = Q(0)$ and Q positive in $I \setminus \{0\}$. Assume also that for $j = 0, 1$,

$$\lim_{x \to c+} \left|Q^{(j)}(x)\right| = \infty = \lim_{x \to d-} \left|Q^{(j)}(x)\right|.$$

Let $W = e^{-Q}$, and let (p_n) denote the orthonormal polynomials for W^2. Let $\lambda > 0$, $\psi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be positive on a set of positive measure, and (q_n) denote the orthonormal polynomials associated with the Sobolev inner product (1). Then
(I)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}\right) W \|_{L_2(I)} = 0. \tag{21}$$

If we assume in addition that

$$\limsup_{x \to c+ \text{ or } x \to d-} \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)^2} < 1, \tag{22}$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| \left(1 + \left|Q'\right|\right) \left(q_n - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^x p_{n-1}\right) W \|_{L_2(I)} = 0. \tag{23}$$

(II) Let

$$W_n(\theta) = W\left(L_n^{[-1]}(\cos\theta)\right), \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]. \tag{24}$$

Uniformly in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,1]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{\delta_n^{1/2} \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}\right)\left(L_n^{[-1]}(z)\right)}{\phi(z)^{n-1} D^{-2}\left(W_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)} \right| = 0. \tag{25}$$

Let

$$W_n^*(\theta) = \left(1 + \left|Q'\left(L_n^{[-1]}(\cos\theta)\right)\right|\right) W_n(\theta), \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]. \tag{26}$$

If we also assume (22), then uniformly in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}\backslash[-1,1]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{\delta_n^{1/2}\left(q_n\left(L_n\left(z\right)\right)-q_n\left(0\right)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^{L_n(z)}p_{n-1}\right)}{\phi\left(z\right)^n D^{-2}\left(W_n^*;\frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)}\right|=0. \tag{27}$$

Remarks

(a) Note that since Q' grows to infinity as we approach the endpoints of I, (23) actually gives a rate of convergence for the limit.

(b) The restriction (22) is a regularity condition, rather than a growth one. If we do not assume it, we can still prove a version of (23) in which $|Q'|$ is replaced by a function that grows more slowly as we approach the endpoints of I.

One of our main tools is an estimate relating the leading coefficient κ_n of q_n to the leading coefficient $\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)$ of $p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)$. Its formulation involves the weighted L_2 error of approximation

$$E_n\left[f;W\right]=\inf\left\{\|\left(f-P\right)W\|_{L_2(I)}\colon \deg\left(P\right)\leq n\right\} \tag{28}$$

and the linear operator

$$I\left[R\right]\left(x\right)=W\left(x\right)^{-2}\int_c^x R\left(t\right)W^2\left(t\right)\psi^2\left(t\right)dt, x\in\left(c,d\right), \tag{29}$$

defined on suitably restricted classes of functions R. We prove:

Theorem 1.4

Let I be a finite or infinite interval, and $W:I\to\mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function such that W^2 has all finite power moments and corresponding orthonormal polynomials (p_n). Let $\psi:I\to\mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function such that $(\psi W)^2$ has all finite power moments, and assume that ψ is positive on a set of positive measure. Let $\lambda>0$, and (q_n) denote the orthonormal polynomials associated with the inner product (1), with leading coefficients (κ_n). Then

$$0\leq\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\kappa_n}\right)^2-\left\{\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\gamma_n\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2\right)}\right)^2+\lambda\right\}\leq\sup E_{n-2}^2\left[I\left[R\right];W\right], \tag{30}$$

where the sup *is taken over all polynomials R of degree $\leq n-1$ satisfying both*

$$\|RW\psi\|_{L_2(I)}=1 \tag{31}$$

and

$$\int_I R(W\psi)^2 = 0. \tag{32}$$

To estimate the error in approximation, one needs a Jackson type estimate. This reduces the right-hand side in (30) to a bound on the weighted derivative $I[R]'$, and standard methods enable one to prove weighted boundedness of $I[R]'$ at least for exponential weights.

Theorem 1.5
Let $I = (c,d)$ be a finite or infinite interval containing 0. Let $Q : I \to [0,\infty)$ and let Q' be an increasing absolutely continuous function in I, with $Q'(0) = 0 = Q(0)$ and Q positive in $I\setminus\{0\}$. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\psi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be positive on a set of positive measure. Assume also that there exists a sequence of positive numbers (η_n) such that W admits the Jackson estimate

$$E_n[f;W] \le \eta_n \| f'W \|_{L_2(I)} \tag{33}$$

for all $n \ge 1$ and absolutely continuous functions $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}{n\kappa_n} \right)^2 - \lambda \right| \\ \le\ &\left(\| \psi \|_{L_\infty(I)} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}{n\gamma_n(W^2)} \right)^2 + C_1\eta_{n-2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The constant C_1 is independent of n, λ but depends on W, ψ.

Under the conditions on Q in Theorem 1.3, we shall establish the Jackson estimate (33) in Section 3. We now show that some restriction on the growth of ψ is necessary near the endpoints of I :

Example 1.6
In Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, we assumed that ψ is bounded. We now show by example, that if ψ grows faster than $\sqrt{Q'}$ near the endpoints of I, then the limit (21) can fail. Observe that

$$q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} = \left[\frac{n\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right] p_{n-1} + S,$$

where S has degree $\le n-2$, and hence

$$\int_I \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}(x) \right)^2 W^2 \ge \left[\frac{n\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right]^2. \tag{35}$$

Let W be the Hermite weight

$$W(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right), x \in \mathbb{R},$$

let $\Delta > 1$, and

$$\psi(x) = |x|^{\Delta}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We shall show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty, n \text{ even}} \frac{n\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} = 0$$

so (35) gives

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty, n \text{ even}} \int_I \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}(x)\right)^2 W^2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} > 0,$$

so (21) fails. By the left inequality in (30),

$$\frac{n\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} \leq \frac{n\gamma_n\left((\psi W)^2\right)}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}. \tag{36}$$

We can use explicit formulae for the leading coefficients in this last right-hand side to show that it decays to 0. Firstly [34, pp.105-106],

$$\gamma_n(W^2) = \pi^{-1/4}\left(2^n/n!\right)^{1/2}.$$

Next,

$$(\psi W)^2(x) = |x|^{2\Delta}\exp\left(-x^2\right)$$

is the symmetrized form of the Laguerre weight $t^{-1/2+\Delta}\exp(-t)$, under the transformation $t = x^2$ in the integral defining orthonormality. Representations for Laguerre polynomials [34, pp. 100-101] give for $n = 2m$,

$$\gamma_n\left((\psi W)^2\right) = \left\{\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\Delta\right)}\frac{1}{\binom{m+\Delta-\frac{1}{2}}{m}}\right\}^{1/2}\cdot\frac{1}{m!}.$$

Substituting these representations into (36) and applying Stirling's formula, gives for even n,

$$\frac{n\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} \leq C\left(n^{1-\Delta}\right)^{1/2}.$$

This decays to 0 if $\Delta > 1$.

At least for Freud weights, it seems likely that (21) will persist if we ensure that

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \psi^2(x)/Q'(x) = 0.$$

However the growth of ψ affects the error term in (7), and we no longer necessarily obtain the uniform asymptotic (9).

We note finally that in the limit case $\Delta = 1$, the results of [1] imply that there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for n large enough and for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$C_1/\sqrt{\phi(1+2\lambda)} \leq \frac{n\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} \leq C_2/\sqrt{\phi(1+2\lambda)},$$

so the ratio does not decay to 0.

As a final example in this section, we apply Theorem 1.1 to orthonormal expansions:

Application 1.7
Let $W = \exp(-Q)$ be as in Theorem 1.1 and let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable a.e. with (f, f) finite. Assume for simplicity that $\psi \equiv 1$. We may then form the Sobolev orthonormal expansion

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f, q_n)\, q_n.$$

We shall show that there is a close relationship between the term by term derivative of this series, namely

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f, q_n)\, q_n',$$

and the standard orthonormal expansion of f' in (p_n). More precisely, we shall show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left[\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (f, q_n)\, q_n' - \sum_{n=m-1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f' p_n W^2 \right) p_n \right] W \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq\ & C(f,f)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^2}{n^2} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where C is independent of f and m. If for example, $Q(x) = |x|^\alpha$, where $\alpha > 2$, then this series converges, and the right-hand side of (37) is $O\left(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$.

To establish (37), we write

$$(f,q_n)\,q_n' = (f,q_n)\left[q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,(f,q_n)\,p_{n-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,(f,q_n)\,p_{n-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f q_n W^2\right) p_{n-1} + \sqrt{\lambda}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'\left[q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right] W^2\right) p_{n-1} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f' p_{n-1} W^2\right) p_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these last two identities, we see that the left-hand side in (37) is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |(f,q_n)|\,\left\| \left[q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right] W\right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f q_n W^2\right]^2\right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\lambda}\left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'\left[q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right] W^2\right]^2\right)^{1/2} \\
&= : T_1 + T_2 + T_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Here by (7), the triangle inequality, and Bessel's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &\le C\left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |(f,q_n)|^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty}\frac{a_n^2}{n^2}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\le C\,(f,f)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty}\frac{a_n^2}{n^2}\right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f q_n W^2\right]^2 \le \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 W^2\right]\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} q_n^2 W^2\right] \le (f,f)\,C\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2,$$

see (78), (88) below. Then T_2 admits a similar estimate to T_1. Finally, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'\left[q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right] W^2\right]^2 &\le \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'^2 W^2\right]\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right]^2 W^2\right] \\
&\le \frac{1}{\lambda}\,(f,f)\,C\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

by (7) again, T_3 also admits an estimate similar to that for T_1. Then (37) follows.

2 Proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5

Throughout, we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4. We also let $\mathcal{P}_n^m$ denote the set of monic polynomials of degree n.

Proof of Theorem 1.4
Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_n^{-2} &= \inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}_n^m}(P,P)\\ &\geq \inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}_n^m}\int_I P^2(\psi W)^2+\lambda\inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}_n^m}\int_I\left(P'\right)^2W^2\\ &= \gamma_n^{-2}\left((\psi W)^2\right)+\lambda n^2\gamma_{n-1}^{-2}\left(W^2\right), \qquad (38)\end{aligned}$$

as $P\in\mathcal{P}_n^m$ implies that $P'/n\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^m$, and by the extremal property of leading coefficient of orthonormal polynomials. In the other direction, fix $a\in I$, and define a monic polynomial P_* by

$$P_*(x)=\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}\int_a^x p_{n-1}\left(W^2;t\right)dt+C,$$

where the constant of integration C is chosen so that

$$\int_I P_*p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right)(\psi W)^2=0. \qquad (39)$$

Observe that

$$\lambda\int_I\left(P_*'\right)^2W^2=\lambda\left(\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}\right)^2. \qquad (40)$$

Now expand in terms of the orthonormal polynomials for the weight $(\psi W)^2$:

$$P_*=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}b_jp_j\left((\psi W)^2\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma_n\left((\psi W)^2\right)}p_n\left((\psi W)^2\right), \qquad (41)$$

so

$$\int_I P_*^2(\psi W)^2=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}b_j^2+\gamma_n^{-2}\left((\psi W)^2\right). \qquad (42)$$

(The coefficient of $p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right)$ is zero by (39)). An integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}b_j &= \int_I P_*p_j\left((\psi W)^2\right)(\psi W)^2\\ &= -\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}\int_c^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)\left\{\int_c^x p_j\left((\psi W)^2;t\right)(\psi W)^2(t)\,dt\right\}dx.\end{aligned}$$

(43)

To see that the first terms in the integration by parts, namely

$$\left[P_*(x)\int_c^x p_j\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2;t\right)\left(\psi W\right)^2(t)\,dt\right]_{x=c}^{x=d},$$

do indeed vanish, we note that it is trivial if c, d are finite. Suppose now that $c=-\infty$. It suffices to show that for each pair of non-negative integers (k,ℓ), we have

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}|x|^k\int_c^x|t|^\ell\left(\psi W\right)^2(t)\,dt=0.$$

The integral in this last limit is bounded above for $x<0$ by

$$\int_c^x|t|^{\ell+k}\left(\psi W\right)^2(t)\,dt$$

and that decays to 0 as $x\to-\infty$ by convergence of the power moments of $(\psi W)^2$. Similarly we may handle the case $d=\infty$, also using that

$$\int_c^x p_j\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2;t\right)\left(\psi W\right)^2(t)\,dt=-\int_x^d p_j\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2;t\right)\left(\psi W\right)^2(t)\,dt.$$

So (43) is correct as stated. Next, we use the dual formulation,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}b_j^2\right)^{1/2}=\sup\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}c_jb_j:\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}c_j^2=1\right\}.$$

For any such $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$, set

$$R=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}c_jp_j\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2\right),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}c_jb_j &= -\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}\int_c^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)\left\{\int_c^x R(t)\left(\psi W\right)^2(t)\,dt\right\}dx\\ &= -\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}\int_c^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)I\left[R\right](x)\,W^2(x)\,dx\end{aligned}$$

with the notation (29). If S is any polynomial of degree $\leq n-2$, we can use orthogonality to continue this as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j b_j &= -\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} \int_c^d p_{n-1}(W^2;x)\,(I[R](x) - S(x))\,W^2(x)\,dx \\ &\leq \frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} \left\{ \int_c^d (I[R](x) - S(x))^2 W^2(x)\,dx \right\}^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since S is any such polynomial, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j b_j \leq \frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} E_{n-2}[I[R];W].$$

Finally the only restriction on R apart from having degree $\leq n-1$, and that the sum of the squares of the coefficients is 1, is that its coefficient of $p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right)$ is zero, that is,

$$\int_I R p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right)(\psi W)^2 = 0.$$

Since p_0 is a constant, this reduces to (32). Hence, taking sup over all $(c_j)_{j=1}^{n-1}$ whose sum of squares is 1, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j^2\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} \sup E_{n-2}[I[R];W]$$

where the sup is taken over all polynomials R of degree$\leq n-1$ satisfying both (31) and (32). Combining this with (40) and (42), gives

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_n^{-2} &\leq (P^*,P^*) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\right)^2 (\sup E_{n-2}[I[R];W])^2 + \gamma_n^{-2}\left((\psi W)^2\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging this and using (38) gives the result. ■

In the course of the proof above, we actually proved:

Lemma 2.1
Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4. Let $a \in I, n \geq 1$, and let b_0 denote

the coefficient of $p_0\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2;x\right)$ *in the orthonormal expansion of the polynomial* $\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\int_a^x p_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)$ *with respect to the polynomials* $\left\{p_j\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2;x\right)\right\}_{j=0}^n$. *Then*

$$\| \left(\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}\int_a^x p_{n-1}\left(W^2\right) - b_0 p_0\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2\right) - \frac{1}{\gamma_n\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2\right)} p_n\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2\right)\right)\psi W \|_{L_2(I)}$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)} \sup E_{n-2}\left[I\left[R\right];W\right], \tag{44}$$

where the sup is taken over all polynomials R *of degree*$\leq n-1$ *satisfying both (31) and (32).*

For the proof of Theorem 1.5, we need a lemma, which follows classical ideas of Freud. It is presented in Mhaskar's book [24, p. 84ff.] for Freud weights.

Lemma 2.2
Assume the conditions on W *and* ψ *in Theorem 1.5. Let* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. *Let* $h: I \to \mathbb{R}$ *be measurable, with*

$$\int_I h\left(\psi W\right)^2 = 0. \tag{45}$$

Then

$$\|I\left[h\right]' W \|_{L_p(I)} \leq C_p \| hW\psi \|_{L_p(I)}, \tag{46}$$

where C_p *depends only on* p, W *and* ψ.

Proof
We prove this first for $p=\infty$, then $p=1$, and then use interpolation to do $1<p<\infty$.

Step 1: $p=\infty$

Assume first $x \in (c,0)$ and recall that we are assuming that $Q'(x) \leq Q'(0) = 0$ for such x. Now

$$I\left[h\right](x) = e^{2Q(x)}\int_c^x h\left(t\right)e^{-2Q(t)}\psi^2\left(t\right)dt,$$

so for a.e. $x \in (c,0)$,

$$I\left[h\right]'(x) = 2Q'(x)\,e^{2Q(x)}\int_c^x h\left(t\right)e^{-2Q(t)}\psi^2\left(t\right)dt + h\left(x\right)\psi^2\left(x\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left|I\left[h\right]'(x)\,W(x)\right| \leq \|hW\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\,\|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\left\{2\left|Q'(x)\right|e^{Q(x)}\int_c^x e^{-Q(t)}dt + 1\right\}.$$

Because Q' is increasing, and negative in $(c,0)$,

$$\left|Q'(x)\right| e^{Q(x)} \int_c^x e^{-Q(t)} dt \leq e^{Q(x)} \left| \int_c^x e^{-Q(t)} Q'(t)\, dt \right| = 1. \qquad (47)$$

So for $x < 0$,

$$\left| I[h]'(x)\, W(x) \right| \leq 3 \|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)} \|hW\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}.$$

Next, for $x > 0$, we use (45) to deduce that

$$I[h](x) = -e^{2Q(x)} \int_x^d h(t)\, e^{-2Q(t)} \psi^2(t)\, dt$$

and proceed similarly. In summary,

$$\|I[h]' W\|_{L_\infty(I)} \leq 3 \|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)} \|hW\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}.$$

Step 2: $p = 1$
Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I[h]' W\|_{L_1(c,0)} &= \int_c^0 \left| I[h]'(x)\, W(x) \right| dx \\
&\leq \int_c^0 2 \left|Q'\right|(x) e^{Q(x)} \left| \int_c^x h(t)\, e^{-2Q(t)} \psi^2(t)\, dt \right| dx \\
&\quad + \int_c^0 |h(x)|\, e^{-Q(x)} \psi^2(x)\, dx \\
&\leq \|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \int_c^0 |h(t)|\, e^{-2Q(t)} \psi(t) \left[\int_t^0 \left|Q'\right|(x) e^{Q(x)} dx \right] dt \\ + \int_c^0 |h(x)|\, e^{-Q(x)} |\psi(x)|\, dx \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, as $Q' \leq 0$ in $(c,0)$, in the integral,

$$\int_t^0 \left|Q'\right|(x) e^{Q(x)} dx = e^{Q(t)} - e^{Q(0)} \leq e^{Q(t)},$$

so

$$\|I[h]' W\|_{L_1(c,0)} \leq \left(3 \|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right) \|hW\psi\|_{L_1(c,0)}.$$

Similarly, using (45), we deduce that

$$\|I[h]' W\|_{L_1(0,d)} \leq \left(3 \|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right) \|hW\psi\|_{L_1(0,d)}.$$

In summary,

$$\|I[h]' W\|_{L_1(c,d)} \leq \left(3\|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right) \|hW\psi\|_{L_1(c,d)} .$$

Step 3: $1 < p < \infty$
We would like to interpolate this inequality to get an estimate of the norm of $\left(3\|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right)$ in any L_p space. However, the standard interpolation theorems do not seem to apply to a space of functions restricted by the condition (45). Accordingly, we define (as did Mhaskar [24, p. 86 ff.])

$$A_0[h] = \int_I h(\psi W)^2 \Big/ \int_I (\psi W)^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}[h] = I[h - A_0[h]]' .$$

Note that $h - A_0[h]$ satisfies (45), that is,

$$\int_I (h - A_0[h])(\psi W)^2 = 0.$$

The above estimates show that for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}[h] W\|_{L_p(c,d)} &\leq \left(3\|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right) \|(h - A_0[h]) W\psi\|_{L_p(c,d)} \\ &\leq \left(3\|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right) \left(\|hW\psi\|_{L_p(c,d)} + |A_0[h]| \|W\psi\|_{L_p(c,d)}\right) \\ &\leq \left(3\|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right) \|hW\psi\|_{L_p(c,d)} \left(1 + \|W\psi\|_{L_q(c,d)} \Big/ \int_I (\psi W)^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

where q is the dual parameter of p. The standard form of the Riesz-Thorin theorem gives for $1 < p < \infty$ and some C depending on p, W, ψ,

$$\|\mathcal{L}[h] W\|_{L_p(c,d)} \leq C_p \|hW\psi\|_{L_p(c,d)} .$$

Then for functions h satisfying (45), which is equivalent to $A_0[h] = 0$, we have $\mathcal{L}[h] = I[h]'$ and so obtain (46). ■
We turn to

The Proof of Theorem 1.5
Let R be a polynomial satisfying (31) and (32). The Jackson inequality (33), followed by the lemma above gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n-2}[I[R]; W] &\leq \eta_{n-2} \|I[R]' W\|_{L_2(I)} \\ &\leq C_2 \eta_{n-2} \|RW\psi\|_{L_2(I)} = C_2 \eta_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (30) gives

$$0 \leq \left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\kappa_n} \right)^2 - \left\{ \left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\gamma_n\left((\psi W)^2\right)} \right)^2 + \lambda \right\} \leq C_2^2 \eta_{n-2}^2.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n^{-2}\left((\psi W)^2\right) &= \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m} \int_I P^2 (\psi W)^2 \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}^2 \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m} \int_I P^2 W^2 \\ &= \|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}^2 \gamma_n^{-2}\left(W^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these last inequalities and rearranging gives (34). ■

We record for future use

Lemma 2.3

Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \left(\frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)} \int_0^x p_{n-1}\left(W^2\right) - \frac{1}{\gamma_n\left((\psi W)^2\right)} p_n\left((\psi W)^2\right) \right) \psi W \|_{L_2(I)} \\ &\leq C_3 \frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)} \left[\eta_{n-2} + \left| \int_I p_{n-1}\left(W^2\right) sign\,(\cdot)\, W^2 \right| \right], \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where the constant C_3 is independent of n but depends on W, ψ.

Proof

In view of Lemma 2.1, we must estimate the term $b_0 p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right)$ that appears in the left-hand side of (44). We showed in the above proof that the right-hand side of (44) in Lemma 2.1 is bounded above by $C_2 \eta_{n-2} \frac{n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}$. In estimating b_0, we can follow similar steps to that for b_j, $j \geq 1$ in the proof of Theorem 1.4, but extra difficulties arise because

$$\int_I p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right) (\psi W)^2 \neq 0. \tag{49}$$

Now as $p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right)$ is constant,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n} b_0 &= p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right) \left[\int_c^0 + \int_0^d \right] \left[\int_0^t p_{n-1}\left(W^2; x\right) dx \right] (\psi W)^2 (t)\, dt \\ &= p_0\left((\psi W)^2\right) (T_1 + T_2), \end{aligned}$$

say. Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= -\int_c^0 p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)\left[\int_c^x (\psi W)^2(t)\,dt\right]dx; \\ T_2 &= \int_0^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)\left[\int_x^d (\psi W)^2(t)\,dt\right]dx. \end{aligned}$$

(It is here that we have to proceed differently from $b_j, j \geq 1$, to circumvent (49)). Let

$$f(x) = sign(x)\, W^{-2}(x) \begin{cases} \int_x^d (\psi W)^2(t)\,dt, & x \in (0,d) \\ \int_c^x (\psi W)^2(t)\,dt, & x \in (c,0] \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{np_0\left((\psi W)^2\right)} b_0 = \int_c^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right) f(x)\, W^2(x)\,dx.$$

Observe that, since $W(0) = 1$,

$$f(0+) = \int_0^d (\psi W)^2 \text{ and } f(0-) = -\int_c^0 (\psi W)^2.$$

To take account of this jump discontinuity at 0, we define a function h such that $h(0\pm) = f(0\pm)$, by

$$h(x) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_I (\psi W)^2\right] sign(x) + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_0^d (\psi W)^2 - \int_c^0 (\psi W)^2\right].$$

Then $f - h$ has limit 0 at 0, so is continuous there. Also for a.e. $x > 0$,

$$(f-h)'(x) = f'(x) = 2Q'(x)\, e^{2Q(x)} \int_x^d (\psi W)^2 - \psi^2(x)$$

and for a.e. $x < 0$,

$$(f-h)'(x) = f'(x) = -2Q'(x)\, e^{2Q(x)} \int_c^x (\psi W)^2 - \psi^2(x).$$

So $(f-h)' + \psi^2$ has essential limit 0 at 0, and then $f - h$ is absolutely continuous. Moreover, the convexity of Q gives, as at (47),

$$\left|(f-h)'(x)\right| \leq 2 \parallel \psi \parallel^2_{L_\infty(I)}, \text{ a.e. } x \in I. \tag{50}$$

Next, split

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{np_0\left(\left(\psi W\right)^2\right)}b_0 \\
&= \int_c^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)(f-h)(x)\,W^2(x)\,dx + \int_c^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)h(x)\,W^2(x)\,dx \\
&= \tau_1+\tau_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tau_1| &\leq E_{n-2}\left[f-h;W\right] \\
&\leq \eta_{n-2}\|\left(f-h\right)'W\|_{L_2(I)} \\
&\leq 2\eta_{n-2}\,\|\,\psi\,\|^2_{L_\infty(I)}\,\|W\|_{L_2(I)},
\end{aligned}$$

by our Jackson estimate (33) and our bound (50). Next, the definition of h and orthogonality of p_{n-1} to constants gives

$$|\tau_2| = \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_I (\psi W)^2\right]\left|\int_c^d p_{n-1}\left(W^2;x\right)sign\,(x)\,W^2(x)\,dx\right|.$$

Combining these last two relations, and that from Lemma 2.1 gives the result. ■

3 A General Jackson Inequality

We shall deduce Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 1.5 and the following crude, but general, Jackson inequality. More precise Jackson theorems for more restricted classes of weights can be found in [7], [8], [24]. In this section only, we use the notation

$$E_{n,p}[f;W] = \inf\left\{\|(f-P)W\|_{L_p(I)} : \deg(P) \le n\right\}.$$

In the notation of the introduction, of course,

$$E_n[f;W] = E_{n,2}[f;W].$$

Theorem 3.1
Let $I = (c,d)$ be a finite or infinite interval containing 0. Let $Q : I \to [0,\infty)$ be convex and Q' be absolutely continuous in I, with $Q'(0) = 0 = Q(0)$ and Q positive in $I\setminus\{0\}$. Assume also that for $j = 0, 1$,

$$\lim_{x\to c+}\left|Q^{(j)}(x)\right| = \infty = \lim_{x\to d-}\left|Q^{(j)}(x)\right|. \tag{51}$$

Let $W = e^{-Q}$ and $1 \le p < \infty$. Then there exists a decreasing sequence (η_n) of positive numbers with limit 0 such that for every absolutely continuous function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f'W \in L_p(I)$, we have

$$E_{n,p}[f;W] \le \eta_n \| f'W \|_{L_p(I)}. \tag{52}$$

We shall prove this in a series of lemmas. We may assume, by dilating I, that

$$I \supset (-2,2).$$

Throughout this section, we use special notation. We shall use integers $n \ge 1$ and $m << n$, as well as parameters

$$a_{-m} \le \lambda_- < -1 \text{ and } 1 < \lambda_+ \le a_m. \tag{53}$$

We denote by $\rho(m)$ an increasing function that depends on m and W, while $\sigma(\lambda_-,\lambda_+)$ denotes a function increasing in λ_+ and decreasing in λ_-. These functions change in different occurrences. The main feature is that σ is independent of m, n and functions f, while ρ is independent of $\lambda_\pm$, and functions f. At the end, we choose m to grow slowly enough as a function

of n, and then $\lambda_\pm$ also to approach the endpoints of I sufficiently slowly.

Our strategy is to use the usual Jackson's theorem to approximate f on $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$, and then to "damp down" this polynomial on $[a_{-m}, a_m] \setminus [\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$ using fast-decreasing polynomials. Restricted range (or infinite-finite range) inequalities give the rest. We begin with a technical lemma:

Lemma 3.2
Assume the hypotheses on Q in Theorem 3.1. Then
(a) For $0 < p \le \infty$ and polynomials P of degree $\le n - \frac{2}{p}$,

$$\|PW\|_{L_p(I)} \le 2^{1/p} \|PW\|_{L_p[a_{-n}, a_n]}.$$

(b)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_{-n} = c \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = d.$$

(c)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n / n = 0.$$

Proof
(a) This is part of Theorem 4.1 in [15, p. 95].
(b) This follows from convexity of Q. See for example, Theorem 2.4 in [15, p. 41].
(c) If I is finite, then (δ_n) is bounded and the result is immediate. Now assume that I is unbounded. Let $n \ge 1$ and assume $a_n \ge |a_{-n}|$, so that $a_n \ge \delta_n$. Then from (18), as $xQ'(x) \ge 0$ in I, and as Q' is nondecreasing in I,

$$\begin{aligned} n &\ge \frac{1}{2} a_n Q'\left(\frac{1}{2} a_n\right) \int_{\frac{1}{2}a_n}^{a_n} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(x - a_{-n})(a_n - x)}} \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2} \delta_n Q'\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_n\right) \int_{\frac{1}{2}a_n}^{a_n} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(x + a_n)(a_n - x)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_n Q'\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_n\right) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $a_n < |a_{-n}|$, we obtain

$$n \ge \frac{1}{2} \delta_n \left| Q'\left(-\frac{1}{2} \delta_n\right) \right| \int_{-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}.$$

Since $\delta_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and since Q' becomes unbounded at both endpoints of I, we then obtain the desired limit. ■

Lemma 3.3
(a) There is a function $\sigma : (c, 0] \times [0, d) \to [0, \infty)$, decreasing in the first variable, and increasing in the second variable, with the following properties: let $m \geq 1$ and $\lambda_\pm$ satisfy (53). For all absolutely continuous f with $f'W \in L_p(I)$, there exists a polynomial R_m of degree $\leq m$ such that

$$\|(f - R_m) W\|_{L_p[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \leq \frac{\sigma(\lambda_-, \lambda_+)}{m} \|f'W\|_{L_p(I)}. \tag{54}$$

(b) Moreover, there is an increasing function $\rho : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to (0, \infty)$ depending only on W such that

$$\|R_m W\|_{L_p(I)} + \|R_m W\|_{L_\infty(I)} \leq \rho(m) \left(\|fW\|_{L_p(I)} + \|f'W\|_{L_p(I)}\right). \tag{55}$$

Proof
(a) By the classical form of Jackson's Theorem [6, Theorem 6.2, p. 219], translated from $[-1, 1]$ to $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$, there exists R_m with

$$\|f - R_m\|_{L_p[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \leq C_0 \frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}{m} \|f'\|_{L_p[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]}. \tag{56}$$

Here C_0 is an absolute constant, independent of $f, m, \lambda_\pm$. Then

$$\|(f - R_m) W\|_{L_p[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \leq C_0 \frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}{m} \|W\|_{L_\infty[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \left\|\frac{1}{W}\right\|_{L_\infty[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \|f'W\|_{L_p(I)}.$$

So we can take

$$\sigma(\lambda_-, \lambda_+) = C_0 (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) \|W\|_{L_\infty[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \left\|\frac{1}{W}\right\|_{L_\infty[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]}.$$

(b) By the restricted range inequalities in Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_m W\|_{L_p(I)} + \|R_m W\|_{L_\infty(I)} &\leq 2^{1/p} \|R_m W\|_{L_p[a_{-m}, a_m]} + \|R_m W\|_{L_\infty[a_{-m}, a_m]} \\ &\leq 2^{1/p} \|R_m\|_{L_\infty[a_{-m}, a_m]} \left(\|W\|_{L_p(I)} + \|W\|_{L_\infty(I)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Recall the Chebyshev inequality [6, Proposition 2.3, p. 101], valid for polynomials P of degree $\leq m$:

$$|P(x)| \leq |T_m(x)| \, \|P\|_{L_\infty[-1,1]}, \, |x| > 1.$$

Here T_m is the classical Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. By translating and dilating this, and using the bound

$$|T_m(x)| \leq (2|x|)^m, |x| > 1,$$

we obtain for some absolute constant C_0,

$$\|R_m\|_{L_\infty[a_{-m},a_m]} \leq \left(C_0 \frac{a_m - a_{-m}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}\right)^m \|R_m\|_{L_\infty[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]}.$$

Using Nikolskii inequalities [6, Theorem 2.6, p. 102], we continue this for some absolute constant C_1 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_m\|_{L_\infty[a_{-m},a_m]} &\leq \left(C_0 \frac{a_m - a_{-m}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}\right)^m \left(\frac{C_1 m^2}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}\right)^{1/p} \|R_m\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} \\
&\leq (C_0\delta_m)^m (C_1 m)^{2/p} \|R_m\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} \\
&\leq (C_0\delta_m)^m (C_1 m)^{2/p} \left(\|f\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} + C_0 \frac{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}{m} \|f'\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

by the fact that $|\lambda_\pm| \geq 1$ and by (56). Using our bound (53) on $\lambda_\pm$, we continue this as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_m\|_{L_\infty[a_{-m},a_m]} &\leq (C_0\delta_m)^m (C_1 m)^{2/p} \|W^{-1}\|_{L_\infty[a_{-m},a_m]} \times \\
&\quad \times \left(1 + C_0 \frac{2\delta_m}{m}\right) \left(\|fW\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} + \|f'W\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (57) gives the result. ■

To handle the norm of fW away from 0, we use the following lemma. It is an extension of one that Mhaskar used extensively [24, pp. 75-76].

Lemma 3.4
Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let W be as in Theorem 3.1. Assume in addition that

$$\limsup_{x \to c+ \text{ or } x \to d-} \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)^2} < 1. \tag{58}$$

(a) There exists $C > 0$ such that for $x \in I$,

$$\left|Q'(x) W(x) \int_0^x W^{-1}(t)\, dt\right| \leq C. \tag{59}$$

(b) There exists C_p depending only on p, W such that

$$\|Q'gW\|_{L_p(I)} \leq \|g'W\|_{L_p(I)}, \tag{60}$$

for all $g : I \to \mathbb{R}$ absolutely continuous, with $g(0) = 0$ and $g'W \in L_p(I)$.
(c) Moreover under the same conditions on g, there exists C_p depending only on p and W such that

$$\| \left(|Q'| + 1 \right) gW\|_{L_p(I)} \leq C_p \|g'W\|_{L_p(I)}. \tag{61}$$

Proof
(a) Choose $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $A \in [0,d)$ such that

$$x \in (A,d) \Rightarrow \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)^2} < 1 - \varepsilon.$$

An integration by parts shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^x e^{Q(t)} dt &= \int_A^x Q'(t)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{Q(t)} \right) dt \\ &= Q'(x)^{-1} e^{Q(x)} - Q'(A)^{-1} e^{Q(A)} + \int_A^x \frac{Q''(t)}{Q'(t)^2} e^{Q(t)} dt \\ &\leq Q'(x)^{-1} e^{Q(x)} + (1-\varepsilon) \int_A^x e^{Q(t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\int_A^x e^{Q(t)} dt \leq \varepsilon^{-1} Q'(x)^{-1} e^{Q(x)}.$$

Note that for $x \in (A,d)$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[Q'(x)^{-1} e^{Q(x)} \right] = e^{Q(x)} \left[1 - \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)^2} \right] > 0,$$

so $Q'(x)^{-1} e^{Q(x)}$ is increasing there. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $x \in [A,d)$,

$$\int_0^A e^{Q(t)} dt \leq C Q'(x)^{-1} e^{Q(x)}.$$

So for $x \in [A,d)$ and some C_1 independent of x,

$$\int_0^x e^{Q(t)} dt \leq C_1 Q'(x)^{-1} e^{Q(x)}.$$

Since the left-hand side is an increasing function of x, while $Q'(x)^{-1}$ is a decreasing function of x, this inequality also holds for $x \in [0, A]$. So we have (59) for $x > 0$. The case $x < 0$ is similar.
(b)We do this first for $p = \infty$, then for $p = 1$, and then interpolate, as did Mhaskar.
Step 1: $p = \infty$
Assume $x \in (0, d)$. Then by (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \left|Q'gW\right|(x) &= \left|Q'(x)\,W(x)\int_0^x \left(g'W\right)(t)\,W^{-1}(t)\,dt\right| \\ &\leq C\|g'W\|_{L_\infty(I)}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: $p = 1$
Now as above

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^d \left|Q'gW\right|(x)\,dx &= \int_0^d \left|Q'(x)\,W(x)\left[\int_0^x \left(g'W\right)(t)\,W^{-1}(t)\,dt\right]\right|dx \\ &\leq \int_0^d \left|g'W\right|(t)\left(\int_t^d Q'(x)\,W(x)\,dx\right)W^{-1}(t)\,dt \\ &= \int_0^d \left|g'W\right|(t)\,dt. \end{aligned}$$

A similar inequality holds in $(c, 0)$, so we obtain

$$\|Q'gW\|_{L_1(I)} \leq \|g'W\|_{L_1(I)}.$$

Step 3: $1 < p < \infty$
We have effectively shown that if we define the linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ on $L_p(I)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}[h](x) = Q'(x)\,W(x)\int_0^x h(t)\,W^{-1}(t)\,dt$$

(above $h = g'W$), then for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{L}[h]\|_{L_p(I)} \leq C_p\|h\|_{L_p(I)}.$$

Now the Riesz-Thorin Theorem [2, Theorem 3.6, p. 213] shows that this holds in $L_p(I)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Taking $h = g'W$ then gives (60).
(c) Because Q' becomes unbounded at c or d, while $W^{\pm 1}$ are bounded in any compact subinterval of I, it suffices to show that for finite $c < r < 0 < s < d$, there exists $C > 0$ (depending on r, s and p) such that

$$\|g\|_{L_p[r,s]} \leq C_p\|g'\|_{L_p[r,s]}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L_p[r,s]} &= \left(\int_r^s \left| \int_0^x g'(t)\, dt \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int_r^s \|g'\|_{L_p[r,s]}^p |x|^{p-1}\, dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

the desired inequality follows. ■

Since we do not assume (58) in Theorem 3.1, we also need a weaker version of Lemma 3.4 that avoids that hypothesis:

Lemma 3.5
Assume W is as in Theorem 3.1. There exists a positive increasing function $\Phi : I \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{x \to c+ \text{ or } x \to d-} |\Phi(x)| = \infty, \tag{62}$$

and with the following properties: Let $g : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be absolutely continuous, with $g(0) = 0$ and $g'W \in L_p(I)$.
(a) There exists $C > 0$ such that for $x \in I$,

$$|\Phi(x)|\, e^{-Q(x)} \int_0^x e^{Q(t)} dt \leq C. \tag{63}$$

(b) There exists C_p depending only on p and W such that

$$\| (|\Phi| + 1)\, gW \|_{L_p(I)} \leq C_p \|g'W\|_{L_p(I)}. \tag{64}$$

Proof
(a) Let $x > 0$ be such that

$$Q(x) > 2Q(1) \tag{65}$$

and choose $A = A(x) > 1$ to be the smallest x such that

$$Q(A) = \frac{1}{2} Q(x).$$

(This will be possible as Q is continuous and increasing). Since Q' is increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^x e^{Q(t)} dt &\leq \int_A^x \frac{Q'(t)}{Q'(A)} e^{Q(t)} dt \\ &= Q'(A)^{-1} \left(e^{Q(x)} - e^{Q(A)} \right) \leq Q'(A)^{-1} e^{Q(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\int_1^A e^{Q(t)}dt \leq Q'(1)^{-1} e^{Q(A)} = Q'(1) e^{\frac{1}{2}Q(x)}.$$

Then

$$e^{-Q(x)} \int_0^x e^{Q(t)}dt \leq e^{-Q(x)} \int_0^1 e^{Q(t)}dt + Q'(1) e^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(x)} + Q'(A)^{-1}. \quad (66)$$

Let $Q_+^{[-1]}$ denote the lower inverse function of Q when restricted to $[0,d)$, so that $Q_+^{[-1]} : [0,\infty) \to [0,d)$ and

$$Q_+^{[-1]}(y) = \min\{x \in [0,d) : Q(x) = y\}.$$

Then

$$A = Q_+^{[-1]}\left(\frac{1}{2}Q(x)\right).$$

Let

$$\Phi(x)^{-1} = e^{-Q(x)} \int_0^1 e^{Q(t)}dt + Q'(1) e^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(x)} + Q'\left(Q_+^{[-1]}\left(\frac{1}{2}Q(x)\right)\right)^{-1}.$$

Then we have by (66),

$$\Phi(x) e^{-Q(x)} \int_0^x e^{Q(t)}dt \leq 1.$$

Also

$$Q_+^{[-1]}\left(\frac{1}{2}Q(x)\right) \leq Q_+^{[-1]}(Q(x)) \leq x,$$

so

$$\Phi(x)^{-1} \geq Q'\left(Q_+^{[-1]}\left(\frac{1}{2}Q(x)\right)\right)^{-1} \geq Q'(x)^{-1}, \quad (67)$$

Moreover, we see that Φ is increasing to ∞ as $x \to d$ with limit ∞ there. For $x > 0$ for which (65) fails, we use the fact that $e^{-Q(x)} \int_0^x e^{Q(t)}dt$ is bounded in a compact subinterval of $[0,d)$, and can just define Φ to be constant there. Similarly, we handle $x < 0$.

(b) One proceeds much as in Lemma 3.4. For $p = \infty$, the inequality (63) ensures (as in Lemma 3.4) that

$$\|(|\Phi| + 1) gW\|_{L_\infty(I)} \leq C_p \|g'W\|_{L_\infty(I)}.$$

Next, note that for $p = 1$ in Lemma 3.4, we did not use (58). Using (67) and its analogues for other ranges of x, we have

$$|\Phi| \leq C\left(\left|Q'\right| + 1\right)$$

and then the case $p = 1$ of Lemma 3.4(b), (c) give

$$\| \left(|\Phi| + 1\right) gW \|_{L_1(I)} \leq C_p \| g'W \|_{L_1(I)}.$$

Now interpolation for $1 < p < \infty$ gives the rest. ■

Next, we need fast decreasing polynomials: χ_S denotes the characteristic function of a set S.

Lemma 3.6
Let

$$-1 \leq r < s \leq 1.$$

There exists $C > 0$, and for $n \geq 1$, polynomials U_n of degree $\leq n/2$ such that for $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\left|U_n(x) - \chi_{[r,s]}(x)\right| \leq C \exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{8} \min\left\{|x - r|, |x - s|\right\}\right]^{1/2}\right).$$

Here C is independent of n, x, r, s.

Proof
Nevai and Totik [30, Corollary 2, p. 117] showed that there exist polynomials P_n of degree $\leq n/4$ such that for $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$$|P_n(x) - sign|(x) \leq C \exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{4}|x|\right]^{1/2}\right).$$

The constant C is independent of n and x. For $a \in [0, 1]$, we set

$$S_{n,a}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + P_n\left(\frac{x - a}{1 + a}\right)\right).$$

Since $x \in [-1, 1] \Rightarrow \frac{x-a}{1+a} \in [-1, 1]$, and since (except at $x = a$),

$$\chi_{(a,\infty)}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + sign\left(\frac{x - a}{1 + a}\right)\right)$$

we have

$$\left|S_{n,a}(x) - \chi_{(a,\infty)}(x)\right| \leq C \exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{4}\left|\frac{x - a}{1 + a}\right|\right]^{1/2}\right) \leq C \exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{8}|x - a|\right]^{1/2}\right).$$

For $a \in [-1,0)$, we set

$$S_{n,a}(x) = 1 - S_{n,-a}(-x),$$

and see that it admits a similar estimate. Now we set

$$U_n(x) = S_{n,r}(x)(1 - S_{n,s}(x))$$

and use

$$\chi_{[r,s]}(x) = \chi_{(r,\infty)}(x)\left(1 - \chi_{(s,\infty)}(x)\right)$$

(except at $x = r$) to deduce that

$$\left|U_n(x) - \chi_{[r,s]}(x)\right| \le C\exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{8}|x-r|\right]^{1/2} + \exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{8}|x-s|\right]^{1/2}\right)\right).$$

■

From this, we conclude:

Lemma 3.7
With the restrictions on $\lambda_\pm$ in (53), there exist polynomials V_n of degree $\le n/2$ such that for $x \in [a_{-2n} - 1, a_{2n} + 1]$,

$$\left|\chi_{[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]}(x) - V_n(x)\right| \le C\exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{8(\delta_{2n}+1)}\min\{|x-\lambda_-|, |x-\lambda_+|\}\right]^{1/2}\right).$$

Here C is independent of n and x.

Proof
Let ℓ_n denote the linear map of $[a_{-2n} - 1, a_{2n} + 1]$ onto $[-1,1]$, so that

$$\ell_n(x) = -1 + \frac{x - (a_{-2n} - 1)}{\delta_{2n} + 1}.$$

Let

$$r = \ell_n(\lambda_-) \text{ and } s = \ell_n(\lambda_+),$$

and

$$V_n(x) = U_n(\ell_n(x)).$$

Then (except possibly at λ_-, λ_+),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\chi_{[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]}(x) - V_n(x)\right| \\
=\ &\left|\chi_{[r,s]}(\ell_n(x)) - U_n(\ell_n(x))\right| \\
\le\ &C\exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{8}\min\{|\ell_n(x) - \ell_n(\lambda_-)|, |\ell_n(x) - \ell_n(\lambda_+)|\}\right]^{1/2}\right) \\
\le\ &C\exp\left(-\left[\frac{n}{8(\delta_{2n}+1)}\min\{|x-\lambda_-|, |x-\lambda_+|\}\right]^{1/2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Finally, we can give

The proof of Theorem 3.1
We may assume that $f(0) = 0$. (If not, replace f by $f - f(0)$ and absorb the constant $f(0)$ into the approximating polynomial). We choose $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq m \leq n/2$, and let $\lambda_\pm$ satisfy (53). Let R_m and V_n denote the polynomials of Lemma 3.3 and 3.7 respectively, and let

$$P_n = R_m V_n.$$

Then P_n is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,p}[f;W] &\leq \|(f-P_n)W\|_{L_p(I)} \\ &\leq \|(f-P_n)W\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} + \|fW\|_{L_p(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} + \|P_nW\|_{L_p(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \\ &= : T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Firstly

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\leq \|(f-R_m)W\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} + \|R_m(1-V_n)W\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} \\ &\leq \|(f-R_m)W\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} + \|R_mW\|_{L_\infty[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]}\|1-V_n\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma(\lambda_-,\lambda_+)}{m}\|f'W\|_{L_p(I)} + \rho(m)\left(\|fW\|_{L_p(I)} + \|f'W\|_{L_p(I)}\right)\|1-V_n\|_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]}, \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

by Lemma 3.3(a), (b). Here by Lemma 3.5(b), for some C independent of $f, m, n, \lambda_\pm$,

$$\|fW\|_{L_p(I)} \leq C\|f'W\|_{L_p(I)}. \tag{70}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \|1-V_n\|^p_{L_p[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} &\leq C\int_{\lambda_-}^{\lambda_+} \exp\left(-p\left[\frac{n}{8(\delta_{2n}+1)}\min\{|x-\lambda_-|,|x-\lambda_+|\}\right]^{1/2}\right)dx \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{\delta_{2n}+1}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

with C independent of $f, m, n, \lambda_\pm$. Combining all these gives

$$T_1 \leq \|f'W\|_{L_p(I)}\left\{\frac{\sigma(\lambda_-,\lambda_+)}{m} + \rho(m)\left(\frac{\delta_{2n}+1}{n}\right)^{1/p}\right\}. \tag{71}$$

The crucial thing here is that σ and ρ are independent of f, n. Next, if Φ is as in Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &\leq \|\Phi f W\|_{L_p(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \left\|\frac{1}{\Phi}\right\|_{L_\infty(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \\ &\leq \|f' W\|_{L_p(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \left\|\frac{1}{\Phi}\right\|_{L_\infty(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

by Lemma 3.5(b). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &\leq \|P_n W\|_{L_p([a_{-2n}-1,a_{2n}+1]\cap I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} + \|P_n W\|_{L_p(I\setminus[a_{-2n}-1,a_{2n}+1])} \\ &= : T_{31} + T_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$T_{31} \leq \|R_m W\|_{L_\infty(I)} \|V_n\|_{L_p([a_{-2n}-1,a_{2n}+1]\cap I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])}.$$

For the first term in the product on the right-hand side, we can use Lemma 3.3(b) and (70). For the second term, we can use Lemma 3.7:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|V_n\|^p_{L_p([a_{-2n}-1,a_{2n}+1]\cap I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \\ &\leq C \int_{I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+]} \exp\left(-p\left[\frac{n}{8(\delta_{2n}+1)} \min\{|x-\lambda_-|, |x-\lambda_+|\}\right]^{1/2}\right) dx \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{\delta_{2n}+1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$T_{31} \leq \rho(m) \|f' W\|_{L_p(I)} \left(\frac{\delta_{2n}+1}{n}\right)^{1/p}. \tag{73}$$

Next, let ℓ_n denote the linear map of $[a_{-2n}, a_{2n}]$ onto $[-1, 1]$, so that

$$\ell_n(x) = -1 + \frac{x - a_{-2n}}{\delta_{2n}}.$$

We see that for $x \geq a_{2n} + 1$,

$$\ell_n(x) \geq \ell_n(a_{2n}) + \frac{1}{\delta_{2n}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_{2n}},$$

so

$$\ell_n^n(x) \geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_{2n}}\right)^n \geq \exp\left(C\frac{n}{\delta_{2n}}\right).$$

A similar estimate holds for $x \le a_{-2n} - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{32} &\le \exp\left(-C\frac{n}{\delta_{2n}}\right) \|\ell_n^n P_n W\|_{L_p(I\setminus[a_{-2n}-1,a_{2n}+1])} \\ &\le 2^{1/p} \exp\left(-C\frac{n}{\delta_{2n}}\right) \|\ell_n^n P_n W\|_{L_p[a_{-2n},a_{2n}]}, \end{aligned}$$

by the restricted range inequality in Lemma 3.2(a). Then as $|\ell_n| \le 1$ in $[a_{-2n}, a_{2n}]$, while V_n is bounded (independent of n there), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{32} &\le C \exp\left(-C\frac{n}{\delta_{2n}}\right) \|R_m W\|_{L_p[a_{-2n},a_{2n}]} \\ &\le \exp\left(-C\frac{n}{\delta_{2n}}\right) \rho(m) \|f'W\|_{L_p(I)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates (namely (68), (71), (72), (73)) gives

$$E_{n,p}[f;W] \le \|f'W\|_{L_p(I)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\sigma(\lambda_-,\lambda_+)}{m} + \|\frac{1}{\Phi}\|_{L_\infty(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \\ +\rho(m)\left[\left(\frac{\delta_{2n}+1}{n}\right)^{1/p} + \exp\left(-C\frac{n}{\delta_{2n}}\right)\right] \end{array} \right\}. \tag{74}$$

The functions σ and ρ obey the conventions listed at the beginning of this section, and are independent of f. For a given large enough $n \ge 1$, we choose $m = m(n)$ to be the largest integer $\le n/2$ such that

$$\rho(m)\left[\left(\frac{\delta_{2n}+1}{n}\right)^{1/p} + \exp\left(-C\frac{n}{\delta_{2n}}\right)\right] \le \left(\frac{\delta_{2n}}{n}\right)^{1/(2p)}.$$

Since (by Lemma 3.2(c)) $\delta_{2n}/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, necessarily $m = m(n)$ approaches ∞ as $n \to \infty$. Next, for the given $m = m(n)$, we choose the largest $t \le m$ such that

$$\sigma(a_{-t}, a_t) \le \sqrt{m}$$

and then set $\lambda_- = a_{-t}$ and $\lambda_+ = a_t$. Then (at least for large n and $m = m(n)$), (53) will be satisfied, and

$$\frac{\sigma(\lambda_-,\lambda_+)}{m} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}.$$

As σ is finite valued, necessarily $\lambda_+ \to d$ and $\lambda_- \to c$ as $n \to \infty$, so (recall (62))

$$\|\frac{1}{\Phi}\|_{L_\infty(I\setminus[\lambda_-,\lambda_+])} \to 0, n \to \infty.$$

Then denoting the term in $\{\}$ in (74) by η_n, we have that (η_n) has limit 0 as $n \to \infty$, and is independent of f. By a straightforward argument, we may modify them so that they are decreasing. ■

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The Proof of (21) of Theorem 1.3
First, recall the estimate (34) of Theorem 1.5:

$$\left|\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\kappa_n}\right)^2-\lambda\right|\leq\left(\|\psi\|_{L_\infty(I)}\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\gamma_n\left(W^2\right)}\right)^2+C_1\eta_{n-2}^2.$$

We shall show that

$$\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{\gamma_n\left(W^2\right)}\leq 4\delta_n, \tag{75}$$

and then using Theorem 3.1, and Lemma 3.2(c),

$$\left|\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\kappa_n}\right)^2-\lambda\right|=O\left(\frac{\delta_n}{n}\right)^2+O\left(\eta_n^2\right)=o\left(1\right). \tag{76}$$

To prove (75), we use the well known identity

$$\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{\gamma_n\left(W^2\right)}=\int_I xp_{n-1}\left(x\right)p_n\left(x\right)W^2\left(x\right)dx.$$

If we apply the restricted range inequality in Lemma 3.2 (a), applied with $p=1$ and to W^2 rather than W, and if we use the fact that $a_{\pm 2n}$ for W^2 is $a_{\pm n}$ for W, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{\gamma_n\left(W^2\right)} &\leq 2\int_{a_{-n}}^{a_n}\left|xp_{n-1}\left(x\right)p_n\left(x\right)W^2\left(x\right)\right|dx\\ &\leq 2\max\left\{a_n,\left|a_{-n}\right|\right\}\int_{a_{-n}}^{a_n}\left|p_{n-1}\left(x\right)p_n\left(x\right)W^2\left(x\right)\right|dx\\ &\leq 4\delta_n,\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Next, define a polynomial $\pi_n\left(x\right)$ of degree $\leq n-2$ by

$$\pi_n\left(x\right)=q_n'-n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}p_{n-1}.$$

We see that by orthogonality of $p_{n-1}W^2$ to polynomials of degree $\leq n-2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_I \pi_n^2 W^2 &= \int_I \left(q_n' - n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}p_{n-1}\right)(x)\,\pi_n(x)\,W^2(x)\,dx \\ &= \int_I q_n'(x)\,\pi_n(x)\,W^2(x)\,dx \\ &= \int_I (q_n')^2 W^2 - n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\int_I q_n' p_{n-1} W^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}\left[1 - \int_I q_n^2 (\psi W)^2\right] - \left(n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Here we have used the definition of the inner product, the normalization $(q_n, q_n) = 1$, and orthogonality. The identity (77) also implies, as its left-hand side is non-negative,

$$\int_I q_n^2 (\psi W)^2 \leq 1 - \lambda\left(n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\right)^2. \tag{78}$$

Now we continue (77) as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_I \left(q_n' - n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}p_{n-1}\right)^2 W^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} - \left(n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\right)^2 \\ &= o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

by (76). Then orthogonality of p_{n-1} to polynomials of degree $\leq n-2$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_I \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right)^2 W^2 \\ =\ &\int_I \left(q_n' - n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}p_{n-1}\right)^2 W^2 \\ &+ \int_I \left(n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^2 p_{n-1}^2 W^2 \\ =\ &o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

■

The Proof of (23) of Theorem 1.3 assuming (22)

Since

$$\left[q_n(x) - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^x p_{n-1}\right]_{|x=0} = 0,$$

Lemma 3.4(c) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \quad (|Q'|+1)\left[q_n - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^x p_{n-1}\right] W \|_{L_2(I)} \\ & \leq \quad C \| \left[q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}\right] W \|_{L_2(I)} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

■

For the asymptotics in the plane, we need an estimate for polynomials in the plane, in terms of their values on a segment:

Lemma 4.1
Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $w \in L_p[-1,1]$ be a non-negative function. Let

$$\widetilde{w}(\theta) = |\sin\theta|^{\frac{1}{p}} w(\cos\theta), \theta \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

satisfy Szegö's condition (4). Let P be a polynomial of degree $\leq m$. Then for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,1]$,

$$\left|\frac{P(z)}{\phi(z)^m}\right| \leq \| Pw \|_{L_p[-1,1]} \pi^{-1/p} \left|\frac{D^{-2}\left(\widetilde{w}; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)}{1 - |\phi(z)|^{-1}}\right|.$$

Proof
See, for example, Lemma 14.6 in [15, p.395]. ■

The Proof of (25)
Let L_n denote the linear map of $[a_{-n}, a_n]$ onto $[-1,1]$, let $L_n^{[-1]}$ denote its inverse, and let as at (24),

$$W_n(\theta) = W\left(L_n^{[-1]}(\cos\theta)\right), \theta \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

and

$$\widetilde{W}_n(\theta) = W_n(\theta)|\sin\theta|^{1/2}, \theta \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

Then the lemma gives (with $p = 2, m = n-1, w = W_n$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\frac{\left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}\right)\left(L_n^{[-1]}(z)\right)}{\phi(z)^{n-1} D^{-2}\left(\widetilde{W}_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)}\right| \left(1 - |\phi(z)|^{-1}\right) \\ \leq \quad & \pi^{-1/2} \| \left[\left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}\right) \circ L_n^{[-1]}\right] W \circ L_n^{[-1]} \|_{L_2[-1,1]} \\ = \quad & \pi^{-1/2} \delta_n^{-1/2} \| \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1}\right) W \|_{L_2[a_{-n}, a_n]} = o\left(\delta_n^{-1/2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

In each closed subset of $\mathbb{C}\backslash[-1,1]$, there exists $r>1$ such that in that set

$$|\phi| \geq r > 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\left|D^{-2}\left(\widetilde{W}_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)/D^{-2}\left(W_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)\right| = \left|D^{-2}\left(|\sin\cdot|^{1/2}; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)\right|$$

is bounded above and below in such a set. Then (25) follows. ■

The Proof of (27)
This very similar to that above, just apply Lemma 4.1 to

$$q_n(L_n(z)) - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^{L_n(z)} p_{n-1}$$

and with W_n^* replacing W. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{q_n(L_n(z)) - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^{L_n(z)} p_{n-1}}{\phi(z)^n D^{-2}\left(W_n^*; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)}\right|\left(1-|\phi(z)|^{-1}\right) \\
\leq\ & \pi^{-1/2}\delta_n^{-1/2} \parallel \left(1+|Q'|\right)\left(q_n - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^x p_{n-1}\right) W \parallel_{L_2[a_{-n},a_n]} \\
=\ & o(1). \qquad (82)
\end{aligned}$$

■

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

We begin with a technical lemma:

Lemma 5.1
Assume that Q is as in Theorem 1.1.
(a)

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)^2} = 0.$$

(b) For $n \geq 1$ and polynomials P of degree $\leq n$,

$$\|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{1/2} \|PW\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{83}$$

(c) Let $n \geq 1$ and

$$g_n(\theta) = 1 + \left|Q'(a_n \cos\theta)\right|, \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]. \tag{84}$$

Let $0 < r < 1$. There exists $C > 1$ such that for $|z| \leq r$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$C^{-1} \leq \left|D(g_n; z)/\sqrt{Q'(a_n)}\right| \leq C. \tag{85}$$

(d)

$$C_1 \leq Q'(a_n)/\frac{n}{a_n} \leq C_2. \tag{86}$$

Proof
(a) By (6) and the unboundedness of Q' at ∞,

$$0 \leq \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)^2} \leq \frac{\beta}{xQ'(x)} \to 0, x \to \infty.$$

(b) This is a special case of Nikolskii inequalities. See for example, [24, p. 94] or [15, p. 295].
(c) Now if $v \geq u \geq 0$,

$$\log\frac{Q'(v)}{Q'(u)} = \int_u^v \frac{Q''(t)}{Q'(t)}dt \geq \int_u^v \frac{\alpha}{t}dt = \alpha\log\frac{v}{u},$$

by (6). Similarly we obtain an upper bound, and hence,

$$\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^\alpha \leq \frac{Q'(v)}{Q'(u)} \leq \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^\beta, 0 < u < v. \tag{87}$$

Then for $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\log\left(g_n\left(t\right)\right) - \log Q'\left(a_n\right)\right| \\ = & \left|\log\left(\frac{1}{Q'\left(a_n\right)} + \left|\frac{Q'\left(a_n \cos t\right)}{Q'\left(a_n\right)}\right|\right)\right| \\ \leq & \max\left\{\log\left(\frac{1}{Q'\left(a_1\right)} + 1\right), \left|\log\left|\cos t\right|^{\beta}\right|\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $|z| \leq r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\log\left|D\left(g_n; z\right) / \sqrt{Q'\left(a_n\right)}\right|\right| \\ = & \left|\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[\log g_n\left(t\right) - \log Q'\left(a_n\right)\right] \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{it}+z}{e^{it}-z}\right) dt\right| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1+r}{1-r}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \max\left\{\log\left(\frac{1}{Q'\left(a_1\right)} + 1\right), \left|\log\left|\cos t\right|^{\beta}\right|\right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

(d) As Q' is increasing,

$$n = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{a_n t Q'\left(a_n t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \leq a_n Q'\left(a_n\right).$$

Also,

$$n \geq \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{\frac{a_n}{2} Q'\left(\frac{a_n}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \geq a_n Q'\left(a_n\right) \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1+\beta},$$

by (87). ■

The Proof of (7) of Theorem 1.1

The weight $W = \exp\left(-Q\right)$ is even, so the numbers $a_{\pm n}$ become just $\pm a_n$, while $\delta_n = a_n$. We apply Theorem 1.5, and note that from (75),

$$\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} \leq 4a_n,$$

while we may take as the number η_n in the Jackson inequality (33),

$$\eta_n = C\frac{a_n}{n}.$$

See [24, p. 81] or [7]. Then (76) becomes

$$\left|\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^2\right)}{n\kappa_n}\right)^2 - \lambda\right| = O\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2, \tag{88}$$

so from (79),

$$\int_I \left(q_n' - n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}p_{n-1}\right)^2 W^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} - \left(n\frac{\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)}\right)^2 = O\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2.$$

Then also,

$$\left|\frac{n\kappa_n}{\gamma_{n-1}(W^2)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right| = O\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2,$$

so (80) yields

$$\int_I \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}p_{n-1}\right)^2 W^2 = O\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2.$$

■

Next, we estimate $q_n(0)$:

Lemma 5.2
Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Then

$$|q_n(0)| \leq C\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}. \tag{89}$$

Proof
First let us denote the Sobolev orthogonal polynomial for the special case $\psi \equiv 1$ by q_n^*. By Lemma 5.1(b),

$$|q_n^* W|(0) \leq C\sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}}\|q_n^* W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}, \tag{90}$$

by (78), with $\psi \equiv 1$ and the estimates (88) above. Now we return to general ψ, denoting as usual the corresponding Sobolev orthogonal polynomials by q_n. The triangle inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} & |q_n(0) - q_n^*(0)|\,\|\psi W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq\ & \|(q_n - q_n^*)\psi W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} + \|[(q_n - q_n^*) - (q_n - q_n^*)(0)]\psi W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

Here by (78) and (88) applied to q_n and q_n^*,

$$\|(q_n - q_n^*)\psi W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|q_n \psi W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} + \|q_n^* \psi W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\frac{a_n}{n}. \tag{92}$$

Next, by Lemma 3.4(c),

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \left[\left(q_n - q_n^* \right) - \left(q_n - q_n^* \right)(0) \right] \psi W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq & \| \psi \|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} C_2 \| \left(q_n - q_n^* \right)' W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq & C_2 \| \psi \|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \| \left[\left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} \right) - \left(q_n^{*\prime} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} \right) \right] W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq & C \frac{a_n}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

by (7) applied to q_n and q_n^*. Combining the last inequality and (91), (92) gives

$$\left| q_n(0) - q_n^*(0) \right| \leq C \frac{a_n}{n}. \tag{93}$$

Then (90) gives the result. ■

Proof of (8)
This follows from what we have just proved, (7) and Lemma 3.4(c). More precisely, Lemma 3.4(c) gives (recall that (58) is valid by the lemma above)

$$\| \left(1 + \left| Q' \right| \right) \left(q_n - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^x p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} = O\left(\frac{a_n}{n} \right). \tag{94}$$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$\left| q_n(0) \right| \| \left(1 + \left| Q' \right| \right) W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}.$$

Note that Lemma 5.1(a) implies that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $Q'^2 W^\varepsilon$ is decreasing for large x, so the norm in the last left-hand side is finite. Then (8) follows. ■

Proof of (9) and (10)
The Nikolskii inequality in Lemma 5.1(b) and (7) give

$$\| \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_n}} \| \left(q_n' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}.$$

Then Lemma 3.4 gives

$$\| \left(1 + \left| Q' \right| \right) \left(q_n - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^x p_{n-1} \right) W \|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}} \right).$$

Our estimate above for $q_n(0)$ then gives the result. ■

The Proof of (12) and (13)

Firstly (12) follows from (7), (81) and the fact that $L_n^{[-1]}(z) = a_n z$ in this even case. For (13), we use (82) and (94) to obtain, uniformly for z in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}\backslash[-1,1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{q_n(a_n z) - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^{a_n z} p_{n-1}}{\phi(z)^n D^{-2}\left(W_n^*; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)}\right|\left(1 - |\phi(z)|^{-1}\right) \\
\leq\ & \pi^{-1/2} a_n^{-1/2} \parallel \left(|Q'| + 1\right)\left(q_n - q_n(0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\int_0^x p_{n-1}\right) W \parallel_{L_2[a_{-n}, a_n]} \\
=\ & O\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}\right). \qquad (95)
\end{aligned}$$

Here by (26) and Lemma 5.1(c), (d),

$$\frac{n}{a_n}\left|D^{-2}\left(W_n^*; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)/D^{-2}\left(W_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)\right| = \frac{n}{a_n}\left|D^{-2}\left(g_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)\right|$$

is bounded above and below for z in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}\backslash[-1,1]$. Also, as $\log W_n \leq 0$,

$$\left|D^2(W_n; u)\right| \leq 1, |u| < 1.$$

Hence the term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|q_n(0) / \left(\phi(z)^n D^{-2}\left(W_n^*; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)\right)\right| \\
\leq\ & C|q_n(0)| \frac{n}{a_n}\left|D^2\left(W_n; \frac{1}{\phi(z)}\right)\right| / |\phi(z)|^n
\end{aligned}$$

decays geometrically, in view of the decay (89) of $q_n(0)$, and the geometric growth of the denominator. We can then drop $q_n(0)$ in (95) and (13) follows. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.2

From [15, Theorem 15.1, p. 402],

$$\gamma_{n-1}(W^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{a_n}{2}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{a_n} \frac{Q(s)}{\sqrt{a_n^2 - s^2}} ds\right)(1 + o(1)).$$

Then (76) gives the result. Similarly the L_2 asymptotics (15) follow directly from (7) of Theorem 1.1 and the L_2 asymptotics for p_{n-1} given in [15, Theorem 15.1, p. 402]. The asymptotics in the plane (16) follow from (12)

and asymptotics in the plane for p_{n-1} given in [15, Theorem 15.1, p. 402]. Finally the pointwise asymptotics on the segment $[-a_n, a_n]$ follow from (9) and Theorem 15.3 in [15, p. 403]. (We warn the reader that the notation used there is a little different). ■

Acknowledgements
The work by F. Marcellan has been supported by Dirección General de Investigación (Ministerio de Ciencia y Technología) of Spain under grant BFM 2003-06335-C03-07, as well as NATO Collaborative grant PST.CLG 979738. J Geronimo and D. Lubinsky acknowledge support by NSF grants.

The authors would like to thank a referee for pointing out a gap in the proof of (8).

References

[1] M. Alfaro, J. J. Moreno-Balcazar, T. E. Perez and M.A. Piñar, M.L. Rezola, *Asymptotics of Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials for Hermite Coherent Pairs*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 133(2001), 141-150.

[2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators,* Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[3] A. Cachafeiro, F. Marcellan and J. J. Moreno-Balcazar, *On Asymptotic Properties of Freud-Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, 125(2003), 26-41.

[4] P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, Courant Lecture Notes, Volume 3, New York, 1999.

[5] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. McLaughlin, S. Venakides and X. Zhou, *Strong Asymptotics of Orthogonal Polynomials with Respect to Exponential Weights,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 52(1999), 1491-1552.

[6] R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.

[7] Z. Ditzian and D. S. Lubinsky, *Jackson and Smoothness Theorems for Freud Weights in* $L_p(0 < p \leq \infty)$, Constr. Approx., 13(1997), 99-152.

[8] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer, New York, 1987.

[9] A. J. Duran, editor, *Proceedings of the VIIIth Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications - Sevilla*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 99(1999), Nos.1-2.

[10] G. Freud, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Akademiai Kiado/ Pergamon Press, Budapest, 1971.

[11] W. Gautschi and A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Zeros and Critical Points of Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, 91(1997), 117-137.

[12] J. S. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, *Relative Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials with Unbounded Recurrence Coefficients*, J. Approx. Theory, 62(1990), 47-69.

[13] A. Iserles, P. E. Koch, S. P. Norsett and J. M. Sanz-Serna, *On Polynomials Orthogonal with Respect to Certain Sobolev Inner Products*, J. Approx. Theory, 65(1991), 151-175.

[14] T. Kriecherbauer and K. T-R McLaughlin, *Strong Asymptotics of Polynomials Orthogonal with Respect to Freud Weights*, International Math. Research Notices, 6(1999), 299-333.

[15] E. Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, *Orthogonal Polynomials for Exponential Weights*, Springer, New York, 2001.

[16] G. L. Lopez, F. Marcellan and W. Van Assche, *Relative Asymptotics for Polynomials Orthogonal with Respect to a Discrete Sobolev Inner Product*, Constr. Approx., 11(1995), 107-137.

[17] G. G. Lorentz, M. von Golitschek and Y. Makovoz, *Constructive Approximation: Advanced Problems*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[18] D. S. Lubinsky, *Asymptotics of Orthogonal Polynomials: Some old, some new, some identities*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, 61(2000), 207-256.

[19] F. Marcellan, M. Alfaro and M. L. Rezola, *Orthogonal Polynomials on Sobolev Spaces: Old and new directions*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 48(1993), 113-131.

[20] F. Marcellan and J. J. Moreno-Balcazar, *Strong and Plancherel-Rotach Asymptotics of non-diagonal Laguerre-Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials,* J. Approx. Theory, 110(2001), 54-73.

[21] A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, *Analytic Aspects of Sobolev Orthogonality Revisited,* J. Comp. Appl. Math., 127(2001), 255-266.

[22] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, *A Bernstein-Szegö's theorem for Sobolev orthogonal polynomials,* Constr. Approx. 16 (2000), 73–84.

[23] A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, J. J. Moreno-Balcazar, T. E. Perez and M. A. Piñar, *Asymptotics of Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials for Coherent Pairs of Measures*, J. Approx. Theory, 92(1998), 280-293.

[24] H. N. Mhaskar, *Introduction to the Theory of Weighted Polynomial Approximation*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.

[25] H. N. Mhaskar and E. B. Saff, *Extremal Problems for Polynomials with Exponential Weights*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 285(1984), 204-234.

[26] H. N. Mhaskar and E. B. Saff, *Where Does The Sup Norm of a Weighted Polynomial Live?*, Constr. Approx, 1(1985), 71-91.

[27] H. N. Mhaskar and E. B. Saff, *Where Does The L_p Norm of a Weighted Polynomial Live?*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 303(1987), 109-124.

[28] P. Nevai, *Geza Freud, Orthogonal Polynomials and Christoffel Functions: A Case Study*, J. Approx. Theory, 48(1986), 3-167.

[29] P. Nevai (ed.), *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: Theory and Practice*, NATO ASI Series, Vol. 294, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.

[30] P. Nevai and V. Totik, *Weighted Polynomial Inequalities*, Constr. Approx., 2(1986), 113-127.

[31] E. A. Rakhmanov, *On Asymptotic Properties of Polynomials Orthogonal on the Real Axis*, Math. USSR. Sbornik, 47(1984), 155-193.

[32] E. A. Rakhmanov, *Strong Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with Exponential Weights on* $\mathbb{R}$, (in) Methods of Approximation Theory in Complex Analysis and Mathematical Physics, (eds. A. A. Gonchar and E. B. Saff), Nauka, Moscow, 1992, pp. 71-97.

[33] E. B. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[34] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol. 23, Providence, 1975.